Fluctuations of random tilings and discrete Beta-ensembles

ALICE GUIONNET

CNRS (ÉNS Lyon)

Workshop in geometric functional analysis, MSRI, nov. 13 2017

Joint work with A. Borodin, G. Borot, V. Gorin, J.Huang



Consider an hexagon with a hole and take a tiling at random. How does it look ?



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



Petrov's picture.

When the mesh of the tiling goes to zero, one can see a "frozen" region and a "liquid" region. Limits, fluctuations ?

Tiling of the hexagon



Kenyon's picture.

Cohn, Larsen, Propp 98': When tiling an hexagon, the shape of the tiling converges almost surely as the mesh goes to zero.

General domains



Kenyon-Okounkov's picture.

Cohn-Kenyon-Propp 00' and Kenyon- Okounkov 07': The shape of the tiling (e.g the height function) converges almost surely for a large class of domains.

Fluctuations of the surface



Conjecture.(Kenyon-Okounkov) The recentered height function converges to the **Gaussian Free Field** in the liquid region in general domains.

- (Kenyon-06') A class of domains with no frozen regions
- ► (Borodin–Ferrari-08') Some *infinite* domains with frozen regions
- (Boutillier-de Tilière-09', Dubedat-11') On the torus
- (Petrov-12', Bufetov-G.-16') A class of simply-connected polygons
- ► (Berestycki-Laslier-Ray-16+) Flat domains, some manifolds
- Borodin-Gorin-G.- 16', and Bufetov-Gorin.-17' Polygons with holes — trapezoid gluings.

Local fluctuations of the boundary of the liquid region



Ferrari-Spohn 02', Baik-Kriecherbauer-McLaughlin-Miller 03': appropriately rescaled, a generic point in the boundary of the liquid region converges to the Tracy-Widom distribution in the random tiling of the hexagon, the distribution of the fluctuations of the largest eigenvalue of the GUE.

G-Huang. -17': This extends to polygonal domains obtained by trapezoid gluings (on the gluing axis).

Trapezoids gluings





- We can glue arbitrary many trapezoids, where we may cut triangles or lines,
- Always along a single vertical axis

What is good about trapezoids?



Fact: The total number of tilings of trapezoid with **fixed** along the border horizontal lozenges $\ell_N > \cdots > \ell_1$ is proportional to

$$\prod_{i < j} \frac{\ell_j - \ell_i}{j - i}$$

Indeed Tilings = Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns, enumerated through combinatorics of Schur polynomials or characters of unitary groups

Distribution of horizontal tiles



The distribution of horizontal lozenges $\{\ell_i^h\}$ along the axis of gluing has the form: $\ell_{i+1}^h \ge \ell_i + 1$

$$P_N^{\Theta,w}(\ell) = \frac{1}{Z_N^{\Theta,w}} \prod_{i < j} (\ell_i - \ell_j)^{2\Theta[h(i),h(j)]} \prod_{i=1}^N w(\ell_i)$$

h(i) — number of the **cut**. Θ — symmetric $H \times H$ matrix of 1's, 1/2's, and 0's with 1's on the diagonal.

Discrete β -ensembles ($\beta = 2\theta$)

For configurations ℓ such that $\ell_{i+1}^h - \ell_i^h - \theta_{h,h} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell_i^h \in [a_h N, b_h N], \ b_{h-1} < a_h < b_h < a_{h+1}$, it is given by: $P_N^{\theta, w}(\ell) = \frac{1}{Z_N^{\theta, w}} \prod_{1 \le h \le h' \le H} \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le N_h \\ 1 \le j \le N'_h, i < j}} I_{\theta_{h,h'}}(\ell_j^{h'}, \ell_i^h) \prod w_h(\ell_i^h),$ where $I_{\theta}(\ell', \ell) = \frac{\Gamma(\ell' - \ell + 1)\Gamma(\ell' - \ell + \theta)}{\Gamma(\ell' - \ell)\Gamma(\ell' - \ell + 1 - \theta)}$ Note that $I_{\theta}(\ell', \ell) \simeq |\ell' - \ell|^{2\theta}$ with = if $\theta = 1, 1/2$. Discrete β -ensembles ($\beta = 2\theta$)

For configurations ℓ such that $\ell_{i+1}^h - \ell_i^h - \theta_{h,h} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell_i^h \in [a_h N, b_h N], b_{h-1} < a_h < b_h < a_{h+1}$, it is given by: $P_N^{\theta, w}(\ell) = \frac{1}{Z_N^{\theta, w}} \prod_{1 \le h \le h' \le H} \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le N_h \\ 1 \le j \le N'_h, i < j}} I_{\theta_{h,h'}}(\ell_j^{h'}, \ell_i^h) \prod w_h(\ell_i^h),$ where $I_{\theta}(\ell', \ell) = \frac{\Gamma(\ell' - \ell + 1)\Gamma(\ell' - \ell + \theta)}{\Gamma(\ell' - \ell)\Gamma(\ell' - \ell + 1 - \theta)}$

Note that $I_{\theta}(\ell', \ell) \simeq |\ell' - \ell|^{2\theta}$ with = if $\theta = 1, 1/2$.

 We can study the convergence, global fluctuations of the empirical measures

$$\hat{\mu}_N^h = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \delta_{\ell_i^h/N}, 1 \le h \le H$$

and fluctuations of the extreme particles of the liquid region.

Discrete β -ensembles ($\beta = 2\theta$)

For configurations ℓ such that $\ell_{i+1}^h - \ell_i^h - \theta_{h,h} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell_i^h \in [a_h N, b_h N], b_{h-1} < a_h < b_h < a_{h+1}$, it is given by: $P_N^{\theta, w}(\ell) = \frac{1}{Z_N^{\theta, w}} \prod_{1 \le h \le h' \le H} \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le N_h \\ 1 \le j \le N'_h, i < j}} I_{\theta_{h,h'}}(\ell_j^{h'}, \ell_i^h) \prod w_h(\ell_i^h),$ where $I_{\theta}(\ell', \ell) = \frac{\Gamma(\ell' - \ell + 1)\Gamma(\ell' - \ell + \theta)}{\Gamma(\ell' - \ell)\Gamma(\ell' - \ell + 1 - \theta)}$

Note that $I_{\theta}(\ell', \ell) \simeq |\ell' - \ell|^{2\theta}$ with = if $\theta = 1, 1/2$.

 We can study the convergence, global fluctuations of the empirical measures

$$\hat{\mu}_N^h = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \delta_{\ell_i^h/N}, 1 \le h \le H$$

and fluctuations of the extreme particles of the liquid region.

Bufetov-Gorin 17': the fluctuations of the surface of the whole tiling follows from the fluctuations on the gluing axis. Discrete β -ensembles ($\beta = 2\theta$): law of large numbers

Assume $w_h(x) \simeq e^{-NV_h(x/N)}$, $V_h C^0$, $(\theta_{h,h'})_{h,h'} \ge 0$, $\theta_{h,h} > 0$.

• Fixed heigths : $N_h/N \mapsto \varepsilon_h$, Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N_h}\sum_{i=1}^{N_h}\delta_{\ell_i^h/N}\to \mu_\varepsilon^h\quad \text{a.s}\,,$$

► Random heigths : $\theta = \Lambda \Lambda^T$ with ΛN fixed. Then $N_h/N \to \varepsilon_h^*$ and

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N_h}\sum_{i=1}^{N_h}\delta_{\ell_i^h/N}\to \mu_{\varepsilon^*}^h\quad a.s\,,$$

Indeed

$$P_{N}^{\theta,w}(\ell) \simeq e^{-N^{2} \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{1}{N_{h}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \delta_{\ell_{i}^{h}/N}, N_{h}/N, 1 \leq h \leq H\right)}$$

where \mathcal{E} has a unique minimizer.

Assumption on the equilibrium measures towards fluctuations

Note that for all h:

$$0 \le \frac{d\mu_{\varepsilon}^{h}}{dx} \le \theta_{hh}^{-1}$$

We shall assume

- ▶ The liquid regions $\{0 < \frac{d\mu_{\varepsilon}^{h}}{dx} < \theta_{hh}^{-1}\}$ are connected,
- The equilibrium measures are off critical: at the boundary of the liquid region they behave like a square root.

$$\frac{w_h(x)}{w_h(x-1)} = \frac{\phi_{N,h}^+(x)}{\phi_{N,h}^-(x)}, \quad \phi_{N,h}^{\pm} \text{ analytic }, \phi_{N,h}^{\pm} = \phi_h^{\pm} + \frac{1}{N}\phi_{1,h}^{\pm} + o(\frac{1}{N})$$

Rmk: Off-criticality should be generically true.

Global fluctuations: fixed heights

Assume $N_h/N \mapsto \varepsilon_h$,

Theorem (Borodin-Gorin-G 15' Borot-Gorin-G 17') Then for any analytic functions f_h :

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_h} (f_h(\ell_i^h/N) - \mathbb{E}[f_h(\ell_i^h/N)])\right)_h \Rightarrow N(0, \Sigma(f))$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Global fluctuations: Random Heights

Assume ΛN given. Then [WIP Borot-Gorin-G]

$$\frac{N_i}{N} \to \varepsilon_i^*$$

The heights are equivalent to discrete Gaussian ':

$$P_N^{ heta,w}(N_h - \mathbb{E}[N_h] = x) \simeq rac{1}{Z} e^{-rac{1}{2\sigma}(x)^2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Global fluctuations: Random Heights

Assume ΛN given. Then [WIP Borot-Gorin-G]

$$\frac{N_i}{N} \to \varepsilon_i^*$$

The heights are equivalent to discrete Gaussian ':

$$\mathcal{P}_{N}^{ heta,w}(N_{h}-\mathbb{E}[N_{h}]=x)\simeqrac{1}{Z}e^{-rac{1}{2\sigma}(x)^{2}}$$

$$\sum_{i,h} (f(\ell_i^h/N) - \mathbb{E}[f(\ell_i^h/N)]) \simeq \sum_k (N_k - \mathbb{E}[N_k]) \partial_{\varepsilon_k} \mu_{\varepsilon}^h(f)|_{\varepsilon = \varepsilon^*} + G_f$$

where G_f is a centered Gaussian variable, independent from the filling fractions.

Discrete β -ensembles, edge fluctuations [Huang-G 17']



Under the previous assumptions, the boundary fluctuates like a Tracy-Widom distribution.

If $\frac{1}{N_1} \sum \delta_{\ell_i^1/N}$ converges towards μ^1 with liquid region [a, b], $\mu^1((-\infty, a)) = 0$, then for all t real

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathsf{P}_N^{\theta, \mathsf{w}} \left(\mathsf{N}^{2/3}(\ell_1^1/\mathsf{N} - \mathsf{a}) \geq t \right) = \mathsf{f}_{2\theta_{11}}(t)$$

with $f_{2\theta}$ the 2θ -Tracy-Widom distribution appearing in the **continuous** β -ensembles.

Corollary: Fluctuations of the first rows of Young diagrams under Jack deformation of Plancherel measure.

Continuous β -ensembles

The distribution of continuous β -ensembles is given by

$$dP_N^{\beta,V}(\lambda) = rac{1_{\lambda_1}}{ ilde{Z}_N^{\beta,V}} \prod_{i < j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^{\beta} e^{-\beta N \sum_{i=1}^N V(\lambda_i)} \prod d\lambda_i \, .$$

When V(x) = ¼x², and β = 1 (resp. β = 2,4), P_N^{β,V} is the distribution of the eigenvalues of a symmetric (resp. Hermitian, resp. symplectic) N × N matrix with centered Gaussian entries with covariance 1/N, the GOE (resp. GUE, resp. GSE).

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Continuous β -ensembles

The distribution of continuous β -ensembles is given by

$$dP_N^{\beta,V}(\lambda) = rac{1_{\lambda_1}}{\widetilde{Z}_N^{\beta,V}} \prod_{i < j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^{\beta} e^{-\beta N \sum_{i=1}^N V(\lambda_i)} \prod d\lambda_i \,.$$

When V(x) = ¼x², and β = 1 (resp. β = 2,4), P_N^{β,V} is the distribution of the eigenvalues of a symmetric (resp. Hermitian, resp. symplectic) N × N matrix with centered Gaussian entries with covariance 1/N, the GOE (resp. GUE, resp. GSE).

• when
$$w(x) \simeq e^{-\beta NV(x/N)}$$
, and $H = 1$,

$$Z_N^{\theta,w} P_N^{\theta,w}(\ell) \simeq \tilde{Z}_N^{2\theta,V} \frac{dP_N^{2\theta,V}}{d\lambda} (\ell/N)$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Some techniques to deal with β -ensembles

Integrable systems. In some cases, these distributions have particular symmetries allowing for special analysis, e.g when β = 2θ = 2 the density is the square of a determinant, allowing for orthogonal polynomials analysis [Mehta, Deift, Baik, Johansson etc]

General case.

-*Dyson-Schwinger (or loop) Equations.* Use equations for the correlators, e.g the moments of the empirical measures, and try to solve them asymptotically [Johansson, Shcherbina, Borot-G, etc]

-*Universality.* Compare your law to one you can analyze [Erdös-Yau et al, Tao-Vu]. An important step is to prove *rigidity* (particles are very close to their deterministic limit), see [Bourgade-Erdös-Yau]

Continuous β -ensembles: Dyson-Schwinger equations(DSE)

Let

$$\hat{\mu}^{N} = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{\lambda_{i}}: \quad \hat{\mu}_{N}(f) = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(\lambda_{i})$$

If f is a smooth test function, then integration by parts yields the DSE:

$$N\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\mu}_{N}(V'f) - \frac{1}{2}\int\int\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}d\hat{\mu}_{N}(x)d\hat{\mu}_{N}(y)\right]$$
$$= (\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{2})\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_{N}(f'_{0})]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Continuous β -ensembles: Dyson-Schwinger equations

Linearizing this equation around its limit, we get

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}\mathbb{E}[(\hat{\mu}_{N}-\mu)(\Xi f)] &= (\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{2})\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_{N}(f')] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2N}\mathbb{E}[\int \int \frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y}dN(\hat{\mu}_{N}-\mu)(x)dN(\hat{\mu}_{N}-\mu)(y)] \end{split}$$

where

$$\Xi f(x) = V'(x)f(x) - \int \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} d\mu(y).$$

If we can show that the last term is negligible and Ξ is invertible (off-criticality), we can solve asymptotically this equation to get

$$\mathsf{N}\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_{\mathsf{N}}-\mu](f)\simeq (rac{1}{eta}-rac{1}{2})\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_{\mathsf{N}}((\Xi^{-1}f)')]$$

We can get an infinite system of DSE for all moments of $\hat{\mu}_N$ and get large N expansion up to any order. This gives the CLT.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Concentration inequalities

► First concentration inequalities (Maida–Maurel Segala) Let $\bar{\lambda}_i = \bar{\lambda}_{i-1} + \max\{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1}, N^{-p}\}, \bar{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 \text{ and}$ $\tilde{\mu}_N = u_N * \frac{1}{N} \sum \delta_{\bar{\lambda}_i}, u_N \text{ uniform law on } [0, N^{-p-1}].$ Then $P_N^{\beta,V} \left(D(\tilde{\mu}_N, \mu_V) \ge t \right) \le e^{CpN \ln N - \frac{\beta}{2}t^2N^2}$

with

$$D(\mu,\nu)^2 = -\int \ln|x-y|d(\mu-\nu)(x)d(\mu-\nu)(y)$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t} \left|\int e^{itx}d(\mu-\nu)(x)\right|^2 dt$$

Indeed, one can show that

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}_{N}^{\beta,V}}{d\lambda} \leq e^{C_{\rho}N\ln N - \frac{\beta}{2}N^{2}D(\tilde{\mu}_{N},\mu_{V})^{2}}$$

Dyson-Schwinger equations can be used to improve the concentration inequalities to get a concentration of order 1/N.

Continuous β -ensembles: Fluctuations at the edge

Dumitriu-Edelman 02': Take $V(x) = \beta x^2/2$. Then $P_N^{\beta,\beta x^2/2}$ is the law of the eigenvalues of

$$H_{N}^{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{1}^{\beta} & \xi_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \xi_{1} & Y_{2}^{\beta} & \xi_{2} & 0 & \vdots\\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \xi_{N-1} & Y_{N}^{\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$

where ξ_i are iid N(0,1) and $Y_i^\beta \simeq \chi_{i\beta}$ independent.

Continuous β -ensembles: Fluctuations at the edge

Dumitriu-Edelman 02': Take $V(x) = \beta x^2/2$. Then $P_N^{\beta,\beta x^2/2}$ is the law of the eigenvalues of

$$H_{N}^{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{1}^{\beta} & \xi_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \xi_{1} & Y_{2}^{\beta} & \xi_{2} & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \xi_{N-1} & Y_{N}^{\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$

where ξ_i are iid N(0,1) and $Y_i^\beta \simeq \chi_{i\beta}$ independent.

Ramirez-Rider-Viràg 06': The largest eigenvalue fluctuates like Tracy-Widom β distribution.

Bourgade-Erdòs-Yau 11', Shcherbina 13', Bekerman-Figalli-G 13': Universality: This remains true for general potentials provided off-criticality holds. Discrete β -ensembles: Nekrasov's equation Recall $\ell_{i+1} - \ell_i - \theta \in \mathbb{N}$ and set

$$P_N^{\theta,w}(\ell) = \frac{1}{Z_N} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{\Gamma(\ell_j - \ell_i + 1)\Gamma(\ell_j - \ell_i + \theta)}{\Gamma(\ell_j - \ell_i)\Gamma(\ell_j - \ell_i + 1 - \theta)} \prod w(\ell_i, N)$$

and assume there exists $\phi_{\textit{N}}^{\pm}$ analytic so that

$$\frac{w(x,N)}{w(x-1,N)}=\frac{\phi_N^+(x)}{\phi_N^-(x)}\,.$$

Then

$$\phi_{N}^{-}(\xi)\mathbb{E}_{P_{N}^{\theta,w}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(1-\frac{\theta}{\xi-\ell_{i}}\right)\right]+\phi_{N}^{+}(\xi)\mathbb{E}_{P_{N}^{\theta,w}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(1+\frac{\theta}{\xi-\ell_{i}-1}\right)\right]$$

is analytic.

Discrete β -ensembles: Nekrasov's equation Recall $\ell_{i+1} - \ell_i - \theta \in \mathbb{N}$ and set

$$P_N^{\theta,w}(\ell) = \frac{1}{Z_N} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{\Gamma(\ell_j - \ell_i + 1)\Gamma(\ell_j - \ell_i + \theta)}{\Gamma(\ell_j - \ell_i)\Gamma(\ell_j - \ell_i + 1 - \theta)} \prod w(\ell_i, N)$$

and assume there exists $\phi_{\it N}^{\pm}$ analytic so that

$$\frac{w(x,N)}{w(x-1,N)}=\frac{\phi_N^+(x)}{\phi_N^-(x)}\,.$$

Then

$$\phi_{N}^{-}(\xi)\mathbb{E}_{P_{N}^{\theta,w}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(1-\frac{\theta}{\xi-\ell_{i}}\right)\right]+\phi_{N}^{+}(\xi)\mathbb{E}_{P_{N}^{\theta,w}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(1+\frac{\theta}{\xi-\ell_{i}-1}\right)\right]$$

is analytic.

 $\Rightarrow \text{ Gives asymptotic equations for } G_N(z) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{z - \frac{\ell_i}{N}}$ which can be analyzed as DSE.

Consequences of Nekrasov's equation

 One can estimate all moments of N(G_N(z) − G(z)) for z ∈ C\R, proving CLT,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Consequences of Nekrasov's equation

- One can estimate all moments of N(G_N(z) − G(z)) for z ∈ C\R, proving CLT,
- One can estimate all moments of $N(G_N(z) G(z))$ for $\Im z = \frac{1}{N^{1-\delta}}, \delta > 0$ proving rigidity : for any a > 0

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\theta,w}(\sup_{i}|\ell_{i}-\mathcal{N}\gamma_{i}| \geq \frac{\mathcal{N}^{a}}{\min\{i/\mathcal{N},1-i/\mathcal{N}\}^{1/3}}) \leq e^{-(\log \mathcal{N})^{2}}\\ \text{where } \mu((-\infty,\gamma_{i})) = i/\mathcal{N}. \end{split}$$

Consequences of Nekrasov's equation

- One can estimate all moments of N(G_N(z) − G(z)) for z ∈ C\R, proving CLT,
- One can estimate all moments of $N(G_N(z) G(z))$ for $\Im z = \frac{1}{N^{1-\delta}}, \delta > 0$ proving rigidity : for any a > 0

$$P_N^{ heta,w}(\sup_i |\ell_i - N\gamma_i| \ge rac{N^a}{\min\{i/N, 1 - i/N\}^{1/3}}) \le e^{-(\log N)^2}$$

where $\mu((-\infty, \gamma_i)) = i/N$.

• One can compare the law of the extreme particles, at distance of order $N^{1/3} \gg 1$ (the mesh of the tiling) with the law of the extreme particles for the continuous model and deduce the 2θ -Tracy-Widom fluctuations.

Some open questions

- ► Critical case. In continuous setting, Bekerman, Leblé, Serfaty 17' derived CLT for functions in Im(Ξ). What about the discrete case ? Can we get universality of local fluctuations ? How are the fluctuations for functions which are not in Im(Ξ) ?
- Universality for CLT. What if we have true Coulomb gas interaction in the discrete case ?
- General domains ?
- Local fluctuations in the bulk. When $\theta = 1$ correlations functions in the bulk converge to discrete Sine process. What about other θ ?



Many thanks to Alexei Borodin, Vadim Gorin and Leonid Petrov for the pictures. And thank you for your attention!