





On the convex Poincaré inequality and weak transportation inequalities

#### Radosław Adamczak (joint work with Michał Strzelecki)

Polish Academy of Sciences & University of Warsaw

Geometric functional analysis and applications Berkeley 2017

# Dimension-free concentration

#### **Definition**

*We will say that a probability measure*  $\mu$  on  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  *satisfies a dimension-free concentration inequality (CI*<sup>∞</sup> 2 *) iff there exists a function*  $\alpha$ :  $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \alpha(t) = 0$  *such that for all N*, *all* 1*-Lipschitz functions*  $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  *and*  $f > 0$ *,* 

$$
\mu^{\otimes N}\Big(|f-\mathsf{Med}_{\mu^{\otimes N}}\,f|\geq t\Big)\leq\alpha(t)
$$

*(the distance on*  $\mathcal{X}^N$  *is*  $d(x, y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N d(x_i, y_i)^2}$ *).* 

- Standard examples: uniform measure on the sphere. Gaussian measure
- By CLT, in non-trivial cases,  $\alpha$  cannot decay faster than some Gaussian tail.
- As observed by Talagrand, if  $\mu$  satisfies  $Cl_2^\infty$  then one can take  $\alpha(t) = 2 \exp(-ct)$  for some  $c > 0$ .

# Ways to prove *CI*<sup>∞</sup> 2

- Functional inequalities: Poincaré, modified log-Sobolev
- Transportation cost inequalities
- Infimum convolution inequalities dual to transportation

**Common idea:** Tensorization - the ineq. passes from  $\mu$  to  $\mu^{\otimes N}$ .

#### **Definition**

*We will say that* µ *satisfies the Poincaré inequality iff for some*  $\lambda > 0$  and all locally Lipschitz functions  $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

 $\lambda \text{Var}_{\mu}f \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mu}|\nabla f|^2$ 

*with the length of gradient*

$$
|\nabla f|(x) = \limsup_{y \to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d(x, y)}
$$

*(we set*  $|\nabla f|(x) = 0$  *for isolated points).* 

#### Theorem (Gromov-V. Milman '83)

*If*  $\mu$  satisfies the Poincaré inequality then it satisfies CI<sup>∞</sup> with  $\alpha(t) = 2 \exp(-ct)$ 

The standard exponential distribution satisfies Poincaré so this is optimal for large *t*.

#### Theorem (Gromov-V. Milman '83)

*If*  $\mu$  satisfies the Poincaré inequality then it satisfies CI<sup>∞</sup> with  $\alpha(t) = 2 \exp(-ct)$ 

The standard exponential distribution satisfies Poincaré so this is optimal for large *t*.

#### Theorem (Gozlan-Roberto-Samson '15)

If  $\mu$  satisfies  $Cl_2^{\infty}$  then it satisfies the Poincaré inequality.

#### As a consequence

$$
Cl_2^{\infty} \iff \text{subexp.-}Cl_2^{\infty} \iff \text{Poincaré inequality}
$$

#### Theorem (Bobkov-Ledoux '97, Bobkov-Gentil-Ledoux '01)

If  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies the Poincaré inequality then

*there exist c*, *C s.t. for every locally Lipschitz function f with*  $|\nabla f| < c$ ,

$$
\mathrm{Ent}_{\mu}e^f \leq C\mathbb{E}_{\mu}|\nabla f|^2e^f,
$$

*for some C*, *D, the measure* µ *satisfies the transportation cost inequality with the quadratic-linear cost*

$$
\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|x|^2}{2C} & \text{for } |x| \leq CD, \\ D|x| - \frac{CD^2}{2} & \text{for } |x| > CD, \end{cases}
$$

*i.e. for all measures*  $\nu$  *on*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$
T_{\theta}(\nu,\mu):=\inf_{\Pi}\iint \theta(x-y)\Pi(dx,dy)\leq H(\nu|\mu):=\mathbb{E}_{\nu}\log(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}),
$$

*where the infimum is taken over all couplings* Π *of* µ *and* ν*.*

Why do we care about modified log-Sobolev or transportation cost inequalities?

#### Improved concentration

*For*  $f: (\mathbb{R}^n)^N \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$
\mu^{\otimes N}\Big(|f-\mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\otimes N}}f|\geq t\Big)\leq 2\exp\Big(-c\min\Big(\frac{t^2}{L_2^2},\frac{t}{L_1}\Big)\Big)
$$

#### *where*

$$
L_2=\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^{nN}}|\nabla f(x)|, L_1=\max_{i=1,\dots,N}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^{nN}}|\nabla_i f(x)|.
$$

Why do we care about modified log-Sobolev or transportation cost inequalities?

#### Improved concentration

*For*  $f: (\mathbb{R}^n)^N \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$
\mu^{\otimes N}\Big(|f-\mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\otimes N}}f|\ge t\Big)\leq 2\exp\Big(-c\min\Big(\frac{t^2}{L_2^2},\frac{t}{L_1}\Big)\Big)
$$

*where*

$$
L_2=\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^{nN}}|\nabla f(x)|, L_1=\max_{i=1,\dots,N}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^{Nn}}|\nabla_i f(x)|.
$$

**Simple example:**  $n = 1$ ,  $f(x) = (x_1 + ... + x_N)$ √ *N*,

Poincaré:

$$
\mu^{\otimes N}(|f-\mathbb{E}f|\geq t)\leq 2\exp(-ct)
$$

o modified log-Sobolev:

$$
\mu^{\otimes N}(|f-\mathbb{E} f|\geq t)\leq 2\exp\Big(-c\min(t^2,\sqrt{N}t)\Big).
$$



#### **Definition**

We will say that a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies convex *dimension-free concentration inequality (convCI*<sup>∞</sup> 2 *) iff there exists a function*  $\alpha$ :  $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \alpha(t) = 0$  *such that for all N, all* 1*-Lipschitz* **convex** *functions*  $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  *and*  $t > 0$ *,* 

$$
\mu^{\otimes N}\Big(|f-\mathsf{Med}_{\mu^{\otimes N}}\,f|\geq t\Big)\leq \alpha(t).
$$

#### Theorem (Talagrand '94)

*All measures with bounded support satisfy convCI*<sup>∞</sup> 2

#### **Questions:**

- Do we also have improved concentration?
- Can we get a picture as in the "classical" case?
- Restricting concentration to convex functions allows for significant weakening of assumptions, while still encompassing many important functions (e.g. norms)
- Relation with concentration for polynomials (Marton, Meckes-Szarek, Vu-Wang, A.)
- New arguments or modifications of existing ones needed, since convexity is not preserved under basic operations
- Investigating convex functions sometimes gives new insight into the classical theory (Gozlan-Roberto-Samson, Gozlan-Roberto-Samson-Shu-Tetali, Shu-Strzelecki).

#### **Questions:**

- Do we also have improved concentration?
- Can we get a picture as in the "classical" case?

#### **An annoying complication:**

We have to deal with upper and lower tails separately.

Theorem (Bobkov-Götze '99, Gozlan-Roberto-Samson '15)

A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies convCl $_{2}^{\infty}$  iff it satisfies the *Poincaré inequality for all convex functions.*

#### Theorem (Gozlan-Roberto-Samson '15)

*Dimension free convex concentration from above*

$$
\mu^{\otimes N}(f \geq \mathsf{Med}_{\mu^{\otimes N}} f + t) \leq \alpha(t)
$$

*implies subexponential convex concentration from above and implies the convex Poincaré inequality.*

#### Definition (Gozlan-Roberto-Samson-Tetali '14)

*For a convex cost function*  $\theta$ :  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  and two probability *measures*  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite first moments define the weak *transportation cost*  $\overline{T}(\nu|\mu)$  *as* 

$$
\overline{T}(\nu|\mu)=\inf_{\Pi}\int \theta(x-\int yp_x(dy))d\mu(x),
$$

*where the infimum is taken over all couplings* Π *of* µ*and* ν *and p<sup>x</sup> is the conditional distribution given by*

$$
\Pi(dxdy)=p_x(dy)\mu(dx).
$$

*In probabilistic notation*

$$
\overline{\mathcal{T}}(\nu|\mu)=\inf_{X\sim\mu,\,Y\sim\nu}\mathbb{E}\theta(X-\mathbb{E}(Y|X)).
$$

 $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  – set of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite first moment

Definition (Gozlan-Roberto-Samson-Tetali '14)

*We will say that*  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *satisfies* 

$$
\bullet \ \overline{T}_{\theta}^{+} \text{ if for all } \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^n),
$$

 $\overline{T}_{\theta}(\nu|\mu) \leq H(\nu|\mu)$ 

 $\overline{T}_{\theta}^{\perp}$  $\overline{\theta}$  *if for all*  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

 $\overline{T}_{\theta}(\mu|\nu) \leq H(\nu|\mu)$ 

 $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}$  *if it satisfies both*  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}^+$  *and*  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}^$ θ *.*

For measures on **the real line** by combining results by Bobkov-Götze, Gozlan-Roberto-Samson, Gozlan-Roberto-Samson-Tetali, Feldheim-Marsiglietti-Nayar-Wang, Strzelecki-A., Gozlan-Roberto-Samson-Shu-Tetali one obtains



**Remark:** Many of the proofs rather indirect, based on the characterization of the convex Poincaré inequality on the line due to Bobkov-Götze



#### Theorem (Strzelecki-A. '17)

The above picture holds for measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

More specifically we proved that the convex Poincaré inequality implies modified log-Sobolev inequalities for convex and concave functions, which in turn imply  $T_{\theta}$ 

#### Theorem (Strzelecki-A. '17)

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which satisfying the *convex Poincaré inequality*

 $\lambda$ Var $f \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mu} |\nabla f|^2$ 

*for all convex functions*  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ *. Then for some*  $c, C, D$ *,* 

µ *satisfies the modified log-Sobolev inequality*

$$
\mathrm{Ent}_{\mu}e^f\leq C\mathbb{E}|\nabla f|^2e^f
$$

*for all convex or concave functions f with*  $|\nabla f| \leq c$ ,  $\bullet$   $\mu$  satisfies the weak transportation inequality  $\overline{T}_{\theta}$ :

$$
\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}(\nu|\mu), \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}(\mu|\nu) \leq \mathcal{H}(\nu|\mu)
$$

*where*

$$
\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|x|^2}{2C} & \text{for } |x| \leq CD, \\ D|x| - \frac{CD^2}{2} & \text{for } |x| > CD. \end{cases}
$$

### However...

- **•** For concave functions in the modified log-Sobolev inequality and for the inequality  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}^+$  $_{\theta}^{+}$  the constants we get depend not only on  $\lambda$  from the convex Poincaré inequality, but also on some quantiles of the measure  $\mu$ , which may be dimension dependent.
- This is not the case for convex functions and the inequality *T* −  $_{\theta}$  .
- One can remove the dependence on quantiles if the following question has affirmative answer
- **•** For concave functions in the modified log-Sobolev inequality and for the inequality  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}^+$  $_{\theta}^{+}$  the constants we get depend not only on  $\lambda$  from the convex Poincaré inequality, but also on some quantiles of the measure  $\mu$ , which may be dimension dependent.
- This is not the case for convex functions and the inequality *T* −  $_{\theta}$  .
- One can remove the dependence on quantiles if the following question has affirmative answer

#### **Question**

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the convex Poincaré inequality with constant  $\lambda$ . Does there exist a constant  $c(\lambda)$  such that for all convex functions  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  and all  $t > 0$ ,

$$
\mu(f\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mu}f-t)\leq 2\exp(-c(\lambda)f)?
$$

# A few words about the proof

- To prove modified log-Sobolev inequalities we modify the argument by Bobkov-Ledoux from the classical case.
- WLOG we can assume that Med  $f = 0$ .

#### Lemma

*If* µ *satisfies the convex Poincaré ineq. and f is convex, then*

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f-\text{Med }f)^2\leq \frac{2}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_{\mu}|\nabla f|^2.
$$

#### Lemma

*Assume that f is convex,* Med<sub>*u</sub>*  $f = 0$ ,  $|\nabla f| \le c(\lambda)$ *. Then*</sub>

 $\mathbb{E}_{\mu} f^2 \boldsymbol{e}^f \leq \pmb{C}(\lambda) \mathbb{E}|\nabla f|^2 \boldsymbol{e}^f,$  $\mathbb{E}_\mu f^2 \leq C(\lambda) \mathbb{E}|\nabla f|^2 \bm{e}^f.$ 

#### Lemma

*Assume that f is convex,* Med<sub>*u</sub>*  $f = 0$ ,  $|\nabla f| \le c(\lambda)$ *. Then*</sub>

 $\mathbb{E}_{\mu} f^2 \boldsymbol{e}^f \leq \pmb{C}(\lambda) \mathbb{E}|\nabla f|^2 \boldsymbol{e}^f,$  $\mathbb{E}_{\mu} f^2 \leq C(\lambda) \mathbb{E}|\nabla f|^2 e^f.$ 

Denote  $F(t) = \mathbb{E} f^2 e^{t}$ .

Ent
$$
e^f
$$
 ≤ E( $te^f - e^f + 1$ )  
\n= E  $\int_0^1 t f^2 e^{t f} dt = \int_0^1 t F(t) dt$   
\n $\leq \int_0^1 t(1-t)F(0) + t^2 F(1) dt = \frac{1}{6}F(0) + \frac{1}{3}F(1)$ .

We use the lemma to estimate the right-hand side.

#### For concave functions one proves

#### Lemma

*Assume that f is concave,* Med<sub>*u</sub>*  $f = 0$ ,  $|\nabla f| \le c$ . Then</sub>

$$
\mathbb{E} f^2 e^f \mathbf{1}_{\{f \ge 0\}} \le C(\lambda, \mu) \mathbb{E} |\nabla f|^2 e^f
$$
  

$$
\mathbb{E} f^2 \le C(\lambda) \mathbb{E} |\nabla f|^2 e^f.
$$

As before, for  $F(t) = \mathbb{E} f^2 e^{t\bar{t}}$  we have

$$
\text{Ent}e^f\leq \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{F}(0)+\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{F}(1).
$$

Moreover

$$
\digamma(1)\leq \digamma(0)+\mathbb{E} f^2e^f{\bf 1}_{\{f\geq 0\}},
$$

so one can use the lemma.

To pass from log-Sobolev to transportation one uses the dual form of the latter.

Lemma (Gozlan-Roberto-Samson-Tetali '14)

$$
Q_tf(x):=inf_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n}\big\{f(y)+t\theta\Big(\frac{x-y}{t}\Big)\big\}.
$$

*Then*

(i)  $\mu$  satisfies  $\overline{T}_{\theta}^{+}$  $_{\theta}^{+}$  *iff for all convex, Lipschitz f* :  $\mathbb{R}^{n}\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$ *, bounded from below,*

$$
\exp\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q_1\text{ }f\text{ }d\mu\Big)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{-f}d\mu\leq 1;
$$

(ii)  $\mu$  *satisfies*  $\overline{T}_{\theta}^ _{\theta}^{-}$  iff for all convex, Lipschitz f :  $\mathbb{R}^{n}\rightarrow\mathbb{R},$ *bounded from below,*

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \text{exp}(\pmb{Q}_1f)\pmb{d}\mu \exp\Big(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\pmb{d}\mu\Big)\leq 1.
$$

For  $\overline{T}_{\theta}^{-}$  $_{\theta}$  , following the ideas of Bobkov-Gentil-Ledoux one combines the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$
\frac{d}{dt}Q_{t}f(x)+\theta^{*}(|\nabla_{x}Q_{t}f(x)|)=0
$$

with the modified log-Sobolev inequality in order to show that the function

$$
F(t) = \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_{\mu} e^{tQ_t f}
$$

is non-increasing. Thus

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mu}e^{Q_1f}=F(1)\leq \liminf_{t\to 0}F(t)\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mu}f,
$$

which proves the dual form of  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^-$  .

For  $\overline{T}^+$  – a similar argument

# Final remarks

- Weak transportation inequalities  $\overline{T}_{\theta}$  on the line have been characterized by Gozlan-Roberto-Samson-Shu-Tetali. It turns out that  $\mu$  satisfies the usual strong transportation inequality iff it satisfies the weak one and the Poincaré inequality (for all locally Lipschitz functions)
- Similarly, Shu-Strzelecki showed that the modified log-Sobolev inequality for convex functions on the line is in fact equivalent to  $\overline{T}_{\theta}$ . In particular, one has the corollary for a large class of cost functions:

#### Corollary (Shu-Strzelecki '16)

*A probability measure on the line satisfies the strong transportation inequality*  $T_{\theta}$  *iff it satisfies the Poincaré inequality for all functions and the modified log-Sobolev inequality for convex functions.*

**Question:** Does this hold in higher dimensions? Again, the proofs for the line go through explicit characterizations. Concentration for convex Lipschitz functions can be extended to general convex function. Here is a special case.

#### Proposition (Strzelecki-A. '17)

*Assume that a probability measure* µ *on* R *satisfies the convex Poincaré inequality. Then for any convex function f*:  $\mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ *and any*  $p > 1$ *,* 

$$
\Big(\mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\otimes N}}\Big|\frac{(f-\mathsf{Med}_{\mu^{\otimes N}}f)_+}{\sqrt{\rho}|\nabla f|_2+\rho|\nabla f|_\infty}\Big|^{\rho}\Big)^{1/\rho}\leq C(\lambda)
$$

*and*

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\otimes N}}(f\mathrm{-Med}_{\mu^{\otimes N}}f)^p_-\right)^{1/p}\leq C(\lambda)(\sqrt{\rho}\mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\otimes N}}|\nabla f|_2+\rho\mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\otimes N}}|\nabla_i f|_\infty).
$$

In the Gaussian case interesting strengthened estimates for lower tails of convex functions were proved recently by Paouris-Valettas. For self-bounded empirical processes similar ineq. obtained by de la Peña-Klass-Lai. Non-Lipschitz convex functions were also considered by Bobkov-Nayar-Tetali.

# Thank you