In the field of Ehrhart theory, identification of lattice polytopes with unimodal Ehrhart h\*-polynomials is a cornerstone investigation. The study of h\*-unimodality is home to numerous long-standing conjectures within the field, and proofs thereof often reveal interesting algebra and combinatorics intrinsic to the associated lattice polytopes. Proof techniques for h\*-unimodality are plentiful, and some are apparently more dependent on the lattice geometry of the polytope than others. In recent years, proving a polynomial has only real-roots has gained traction as a technique for verifying unimodality of hpolynomials in general. However, the geometric underpinnings of the real-rooted phenomena for h\* unimodality are not well-understood. As such, more examples of this property are always noteworthy. In this talk, we will discuss a family of lattice n-simplices that associate via their normalized volumes to the n^th-place values of positional numeral systems. The h\*-polynomials for simplices associated to special systems such as the factoradics and the binary numerals recover ubiquitous h-polynomials, namely the Eulerian polynomials and binomial coefficients, respectively. Simplices associated to any base-r numeral system are also provably real-rooted. We will put the h\*-real-rootedness of the simplices for numeral systems in context with that of their cousins, the s-lecture hall simplices, and discuss their admittance of this phenomena as it relates to other, more intrinsically geometric, reasons for h\*-unimodality.

### Ehrhart Unimodality and Simplices for Numeral Systems

Liam Solus

KTH Royal Institute of Technology

solus@kth.se

6 September 2017 Introductory Workshop: Geometric and Topological Combinatorics **MSRI** 

- $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  an d-dimensional lattice polytope.
- **o** The Ehrhart series of P:

$$
1+\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}_{>1}}|tP\cap\mathbb{Z}^n | z^t=\frac{h_0^*+h_1^*z+\cdots+h_d^*z^d}{(1-z)^{d+1}}.
$$

The Ehrhart  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $P$ :

$$
h^*(P; z) := h_0^* + h_1^* z + \cdots + h_d^* z^d
$$



Properties:

 $h^*(P; 1) = d!$  vol $(P)$  $=$  normalized volume of P.

$$
h_1^* = |P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| -d - 1.
$$

 $h_0^*, \ldots, h_d^*$ [Stanley, 1980]  $| tP \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 | = (t+1)^2$ 

$$
\circ \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (t+1)^2 z^t = \frac{1+z}{(1-z)^3}
$$

$$
\circ \; h^*(P;z) = 1 + z
$$

### $h^*(P; z)$  is "combinatorial."

If  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  then maybe they coefficients of the polynomial

$$
p(z)=a_0+a_1z+a_2z^2+\cdots+a_dz^d
$$

count a collection of combinatorial objects  $Ω$  as stratified by some parameter  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ .

**Question:** When is  $p(z)$  unimodal?

i.e., when is there a j such that  $a_0 \leq \cdots \leq a_i \geq \cdots \geq a_d$ ?

Unimodality is a distributional statement. ۰

Proofs can reveal hidden structure about Ω.

variety of proof techniques exist [Stanley 1989, Brenti 1993, Bränden 2016]

 $h^*(P; z)$  is combinatorial.

 $h^*(P; z)$  arises via enumeration of lattice points in dilates of P.

#### Two natural questions:

Ł.

What different things does  $h^*(P; z)$  count?

When is  $h^*(P; z)$  unimodal?

$$
\sum_{t\geq 0} (t+1)^n z^t = \frac{A_n(z)}{(1-z)^{n+1}} \qquad A_n(z) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} z^{\text{des}(\pi)},
$$
  
\n*P* := [0, 1]<sup>n</sup>

#### • The Order Polytopes: [Stanley, 1980]

- Lipschitz Order Polytopes [Sanyal, Stump, 2015]
- Double Poset Polytopes [Chappell, Friedel, Sanyal, 2016]
- Twinned Order Polytopes [Hibi, Matsuda, Tsuchiya, 2015]

#### • The  $(n, k)$ -hypersimplices: [Katzman, 2005]

- matroid polytopes [De Loera, Haws, Köppe, 2007]
- 
- 

• r-stable hypersimplices [Braun, LS, 2014] • alcoved polytopes [Lam, Postnikov, 2007]

#### • The s-lecture hall simplices: [Savage, Schuster, 2012]

- s-lecture hall order polytopes [Brändén, Leander, 2016]
- simplices for numeral systems [LS, 2017]
- Lattice Parallelpipeds: [Schepers, Van Langenhoven, 2013]
	- Lattice Zonotopes [Beck, Jochemko, McCullough, 2016]



# When is  $h^*(P; z)$  unimodal?

### How to answer this question:

■ Use the techniques in surveys: Stanley 1989, Brenti 1993, Brändén 2016

(Not always clear how to apply these...)

Two main philosophies arise for proving unimodality of  $h^*(P; z)$ :

 $\Phi$  Decompose P and apply algebraic results.

<sup>2</sup> Recursions and real-rootedness.

#### Lattice polytopes associate naturally to semigroup algebras.



$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{cone}(P) &:= \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \{ (p, 1) : p \in P \} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
\text{For } \nu := (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \text{ define a monomial}
$$
\n
$$
x^{\nu} := x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_{n+1}^{\nu_{n+1}}.\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbb{C}[P] := \mathbb{C}[x^{\nu} : \nu \in \text{cone}(P)].
$$

With the grading

$$
\text{deg}(x^v):=v_{n+1},
$$

 $\mathbb{C}[P]$  is a graded semigroup algebra sometimes called the Ehrhart ring of P.

$$
\circ \frac{h^*(P; z)}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \text{the Hilbert series of } \mathbb{C}[P].
$$

## Algebraic Properties of  $\mathbb{C}[P]$ :

- $\circ$   $\mathbb{C}[P]$ 's are examples of **Cohen-Macaulay integral domains**. [Hochster, 1972]
- Consequently, many conjectures on Ehrhart unimodality are related to algebraic properties of  $\mathbb{C}[P]$ .

P is called IDP or has the Integer Decomposition Property if for every  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and every  $v \in tP \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  there exist  $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(t)} \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that

$$
v = v^{(1)} + \cdots + v^{(t)}.
$$

 $\circ$  i.e.  $\mathbb{C}[P]$  is integrally closed.

P is called Gorenstein if  $h^*(P; z)$  is symmetric.

- i.e. if  $deg(h^*(P; z)) = s$  then  $h_i^* = h_{s-i}^*$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, s$ .
- $\circ$  i.e.  $\mathbb{C}[P]$  is a Gorenstein ring.  $\Box$  is a state of  $[Stanley, 1978]$
- If deg( $h^*(P; z)$ ) = n then P is called reflexive.

Conjecture (Hibi, Ohsugi, 1992). If P is Gorenstein and IDP then  $h^*(P; z)$  is unimodal.

• Special case of an algebraic conjecture of Stanley (1989) about standard graded Gorenstein integral domains.

Question (Schepers, Van Langenhoven, 2013). If  $P$  is IDP, is it true that  $h^*(P; z)$  is always unimodal?

### <span id="page-11-0"></span>The Major Positive Result:

- A triangulation  $T$  of  $P$  into lattice simplices is called: **guidilium** triangulations of point configurations of  $r$  into ratter simplices is called.
	- **regular** if it is the projection of the lower hull of a lifting of the lattice points in P into  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .
	- **unimodular** if all simplices  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$  have unit volume (i.e.  $h^*(\sigma; 1) = 1$ ).



#photocred [Triangulations; De Loera, Rambau, Santos, 2010]

#### where  $\mathbf{r}$  is the modular triangulation  $\rightarrow P$  is IDP  $P$  has a **regular unimodular triangulation**  $\Rightarrow$   $P$  is IDP.

**Theorem (Bruns, Römer, 2007).** If P is Gorenstein and admits a regular unimodular triangulation then  $h^*(P; z)$  is unimodal.

Theorem (Bruns, Römer, 2007). If  $P$  is Gorenstein and admits a regular unimodular triangulation then  $h^*(P; z)$  is unimodal.

Applied to a wide variety of polytopes to recover Ehrhart unimodality results

#### Regular unimodular triangulations and/or identification of Gorenstein:



### Box Polynomials and Box Unimodality:

 $\Delta := \text{conv}(v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(d)}, v^{(d+1)}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  a simplex.

 $\circ$  The box polynomial of  $\Delta$  is

$$
B(\Delta;z):=\sum_{v\in\Pi^{\circ}(\Delta)\cap\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}}z^{\nu_{n+1}},
$$





where the **open fundamental parallelpiped** of  $\Delta$  is

$$
\Pi^{\circ}(\Delta):=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{d+1}\lambda_i(v^{(i)},1):0<\lambda_i<1\right\}.
$$



**Theorem (Betke, McMullen, 1985).** Fix a triangulation T of the boundary of a reflexive polytope P. Then

$$
h^*(P; z) = \sum_{\Delta \in \mathcal{T}} h(\text{link}(\Delta); z) B(\Delta; z),
$$

where  $h(\text{link}(\Delta); z)$  denotes the h-polynomial of the link of  $\Delta$  in T.

### Box Polynomials and Box Unimodality:

**Definition (Schepers and Van Langenhoven, 2013).** A regular triangulation  $T$ of the boundary of an *n*-dimensional polytope  $P$  is called **box unimodal** if  $B(\Delta; z)$  is unimodal for all  $\Delta \in \mathcal{T}$ .

- If P is reflexive and has a box unimodal triangulation (with box polynomials of appropriate degrees...) then  $h^*(P; z)$  is unimodal.
- Question (Schepers, Van Langenhoven, 2013). Does the boundary of every IDP reflexive lattice polytope admit a box unimodal triangulation?
- Question (Braun, 2016). Which lattice simplices have unimodal box polynomials?

### Recursions and Real-rootedness:

An increasingly popular technique for proving Ehrhart unimodality is to show that all roots of  $h^*(P; z)$  are real numbers.



#### The key to proving real-rootedness:

- o Identify recursions.
- Show recursions preserve **interlacing** of real-roots.



f interlaces g, denoted  $f \prec g$ .

A sequence of real-rooted polynomials

$$
f_1 \preceq f_2 \preceq \cdots \preceq f_m
$$

is called **interlacing** if  $f_i \preceq f_j$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ .

To prove real-rootedness we search for recursions for our polynomials that can be stated using interlacing preservers.

This red  $m \times k$  matrix of polynomials is an interlacing preserver:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
z & 1 & 1 & & \vdots \\
z & z & 1 & \ddots & 1 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\
z & z & \cdots & z & \ddots\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\nf_1 \\
f_2 \\
\vdots \\
f_k\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\ng_0 \\
g_1 \\
\vdots \\
g_m\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

 $f_1 \preceq f_2 \preceq \cdots \preceq f_k \Rightarrow g_1 \preceq g_2 \preceq \cdots \preceq g_m$ 

#### The following have real-rooted  $h^*$ -polynomials:



### Key Observations so far:

- <sup>1</sup> Popular techniques for proving Ehrhart unimodality:
	- (i) Prove Gorenstein and existence of regular unimodular triangulation.
	- (ii) Prove box unimodality.
	- (iii) Prove real-rootedness.

<sup>2</sup> Note that (ii) is less popular... Perhaps not well-understood?

<sup>3</sup> Oftentimes, if (i) is easy then (iii) is hard, or vice-versa:

#### • For *r*-stable  $(n, k)$ -hypersimplices:

- Existence of regular unimodular triangulations [Braun, LS, 2014]
- Characterization of Gorenstein **Exercise 2014** [Hibi, LS, 2014]
- Few known to be real-rooted **Exercise 2014** [Braun, LS, 2014]
- For s-lecture hall simplices:
	- All real-rooted [Savage, Visontai, 2014] Partial results on Gorenstein [Hibi, Olsen, Tsuchiya, 2016]
	- Few known to have regular unimodular triangulations [Hibi, Olsen, Tsuchiya, 2016]

[Beck, Braun, Köppe, Savage, Zafeirakopoulos, 2016]

[Brändén, LS, 2017]

- $\bullet$  (i) and (ii) have strong geometric ties to P.
- (iii) is increasingly popular, but removes real-rootedness proof to recursion (independent of geometry?).

Question. Can we better understand the geometric underpinnings of Ehrhart real-rootedness?

Where to start?

### Simplices are hard enough:

#### Benefits:

- Simple combinatorial structure (i.e. Boolean face lattice).
- Easy-to-work-with interpretation of  $h^*$ -polynomials.

#### For large families of simplices still challenging to characterize:

- IDP
- Gorenstein
- Existence of Regular Unimodular Triangulations
- Box Polynomials
- Real-rootedness

Focus on simplices of the form:

$$
\Delta_{(1,q)}:=\mathsf{conv}(e_1,\ldots,e_n,-q)\subset\mathbb{R}^n,
$$

where  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  are the standard basis vectors and  $q := (q_1, \ldots, q_n)$  is a sequence of weakly increasing positive integers.



#### Features:

- Toric varieties are weighted projective spaces
- Reflexivity is characterized **in the contract of the Conrads**, 2002]
- $Reflexivity + IDP$  is characterized  $[Brain, Davis, LS, 2016]$
- Counterexamples to Ehrhart unimodality conjectures [Payne, 2008]
- $h^*(\Delta_{(1,q)}; z)$  has arithmetic formula in terms of  $q$  [Braun, Davis, LS, 2016]

### Simplices for Numeral Systems:

**Question.** What do  $\Delta_{(1,q)}$  with real-rooted  $h^*$ -polynomials look like?

#### Approach:

- $Q :=$  collection of all  $\Delta_{(1,q)}$ .
- $\bullet$  Stratify Q by normalized volume.
- Recursions evolve when normalized volumes associated to place values in positional numeral systems.

Proposition (Nill, 2007). The normalized volume of  $\Delta_{(1,q)}$  is

 $1 + q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_n$ .

**Proposition (Braun, Davis, LS, 2017).** The  $h^*$ -polynomial of  $\Delta_{(1,q)}$  is

$$
h^*(\Delta_{(1,q)}; z) = \sum_{b=0}^{q_1+q_2+\cdots+q_n} z^{\omega(b)},
$$

where

$$
\omega(b)=b-\sum_{i=1}^n\left\lfloor\frac{q_ib}{1+q_1+q_2+\cdots+q_n}\right\rfloor
$$

.

### Positional Numeral Systems:

• A numeral system is a sequence of positive integers (*place values*)

$$
a = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \qquad \text{satisfying} \qquad a_0 := 1 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots.
$$

 $a = (2^n)_{n=0}^\infty = (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, \ldots, 2^n, \ldots)$ 

 $102 = 1 \cdot 2^6 + 1 \cdot 2^5 + 0 \cdot 2^4 + 0 \cdot 2^3 + 1 \cdot 2^2 + 1 \cdot 2^1 + 0 \cdot 2^0$ 

• a numeral is our representation of a number with digits:

• the binary (base 2) representation of 102 is the numeral

 $n = 1100110$ .

**Main Idea.** By associating simplices  $\Delta_{(1,q)}$  for  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with normalized volume  $a_n$ to a numeral system  $(a_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ , we can study the combinatorics of  $h^*(\Delta_{(1,q)}; z)$ recursively in terms of the numerals  $\eta$  w.r.t. to a.

#### Example: The Binary System.

Let  $a = (2^n)_{n=0}^{\infty} = (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, \ldots, 2^n, \ldots)$  be the binary numeral system. For each *n* let  $q := (1, 2, 4, \ldots, 2^{n-1}).$ Then  $h^*(\Delta_{(1,q)}; 1) = 1 + 1 + 2 + 4 + \cdots + 2^{n-1} = 2^n = a_n$ . Recall  $q_1+q$ 

$$
h^*(\Delta_{(1,q)}; 1) = \sum_{b=0}^{q_1+q_2+\cdots+q_n} z^{\omega(b)},
$$

where

$$
\omega(b)=b-\sum_{i=1}^n\left\lfloor\frac{q_ib}{1+q_1+q_2+\cdots+q_n}\right\rfloor
$$

.

Apply some inductive reasoning...

Discover that  $\omega(b)=\#$  of 1's in base 2 representation of  $b:=\mathsf{supp}_2(b)$ 

Theorem (LS, 2017).

$$
h^*(\Delta_{(1,q)}; z) = \sum_{b=0}^{2^n-1} z^{\text{supp}_2(b)} = (1+z)^n.
$$

#### Another Example: The Factoradics.

 $a = ((n + 1)!)_{n=0}^{\infty} = (1, 2, 6, 24, \ldots)$  is the **factoradic** numeral system.

- The factoradic representation of  $0 \leq b < n!$  is the Lehmer Code of  $\pi^{(b)}$ , the  $b^{th}$  lexicographically largest permutation in  $S_n$ .
- Define the generating polynomial

$$
B_n(z) := \sum_{\pi \in S_n} z^{\max Des(\pi)},
$$

where maxDes( $\pi$ ) = 0 if Des( $\pi$ ) =  $\emptyset$ .

- Let  $q = ([z].B_{n+1}(z), [z^2].B_{n+1}(z), \ldots, [z^n].B_{n+1}(z)) \ldots$
- Discover that  $\omega(b)=\mathsf{des}(\pi^{(b)})....$

Theorem (LS, 2017).

$$
h^*(\Delta_{(1,q)}; z) = \sum_{b=0}^{(n+1)!-1} z^{\text{des}(\pi^{(b)})} = A_{n+1}(z).
$$

- $\bullet$  By stratifying Q by normalized volumes associated to numeral systems we are recovering classic families of real-rooted polynomials!
- The examples so far are called reflexive systems since all h\*-polynomials are symmetric.
- If we drop the symmetry requirement, we obtain larger families of simplices with real-rooted  $h^*$ -polynomials.
- These have intriguing connections to **box polynomials**....

#### More Examples: The Base-r Numeral Systems:

The base-r numeral system is  $a = (r^n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ .

Here, we let

$$
q=((r-1), (r-1)r, (r-1)r^2, \ldots, (r-1)r^{n-1}),
$$

since then

$$
h^*(\Delta_{(1,q)}; 1) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (r-1)r^k = r^n = a_n.
$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{(r,n)} := \Delta_{(1,q)}$  be the  $n^{th}$  base-r simplex.

• For  $r > 2$  and  $n > 1$  we let

$$
f_{(r,n)} := (1 + z + z^2 + \cdots + z^{r-1})^n.
$$

#### More Examples: The Base-r Numeral Systems:  $\bullet$   $r = 4$  and  $n = 2$ :

$$
f_{(r,n)} = 1 + 2z + 3z^2 + 4z^3 + 3z^4 + 2z^5 + z^6.
$$

$$
f_{(r,n)} = 1z^{0\cdot(r-1)+0} + 2z^{0\cdot(r-1)+1} + 3z^{0\cdot(r-1)+2} + 4z^{1\cdot(r-1)+0}
$$

$$
+ 3z^{1\cdot(r-1)+1} + 2z^{1\cdot(r-1)+2} + 1z^{2\cdot(r-1)+0}.
$$

$$
f_{(r,n)}^{(2)} = 3 + 2z, \quad f_{(r,n)}^{(1)} = 2 + 3z, \quad f_{(r,n)}^{(0)} = 1 + 4z + 1z^2.
$$

Theorem (LS, 2017). We have the interlacing sequence

$$
f_{(r,n)}^{(r-2)} \prec f_{(r,n)}^{(r-3)} \prec \cdots \prec f_{(r,n)}^{(1)} \prec f_{(r,n)}^{(0)}.
$$

Moreover,

$$
h^*(\mathcal{B}_{(r,n)}; z) = f_{(r,n)}^{(0)} + z \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-2} f_{(r,n)}^{(\ell)}
$$

**Corollary (LS, 2017).**  $h^*(\mathcal{B}_{(r,n)}; z)$  are real-rooted.

### Connections to Box Polynomials:

$$
h^*(\mathcal{B}_{(r,n)}; z) = f_{(r,n)}^{(0)} + z \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-2} f_{(r,n)}^{(\ell)}
$$
  

$$
\Downarrow
$$
  

$$
h^*(\mathcal{B}_{(r,n)}; z) = a(z) + zb(z)...
$$

**Theorem (Stapledon ?).** Let  $P$  is a lattice polytope containing an interior lattice point. There exist unique polynomials  $a(z)$  and  $b(z)$  such that

$$
h^*(P; z) = a(z) + zb(z),
$$

where  $a(z) = z^d a\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$  and  $b(z) = z^{d-1}b\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{B}_{(r,n)}$  is a simplex, we can express these polynomials simply as:

$$
a(z) = \sum_{\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_{(r,n)}} (1 + z + \cdots + z^{n-\dim(\Delta)-1}) B(\Delta; z), \text{ and}
$$
  

$$
b(z) = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_{(r,n)}} (1 + z + \cdots + z^{n-\dim(\Delta)-1}) B(\text{conv}(\Delta, \mathbf{0}); z).
$$

So perhaps we should revisit box polynomials for simplices....

### In Summary:

- Ehrhart unimodality is a rich and challenging area of research!
- Ehrhart unimodality results center around two central ideas:
	- decompose and apply algebraic results.
	- recursions and real-rootedness
- The applicability and usefulness of these techniques is still not completely understood, not even for "popular polytopes" or "simple families."
	- i.e. those polytopes used as examples in this talk.
	- i.e. large families of simplices.
- The relationship and disparity between applicability of approaches (i) and (ii) is not so clear.
	- one is often easier than the other
	- can we better understand their relationship in the case of simplices?

### Things to do:

- Answer the conjecture of Hibi and Ohsugi!
- Answer the question of Schepers and Van Langenhoven!
- Answer the question of Braun!
	- I.e. better understand unimodality of box polynomials for simplices.
- Work on popular examples!
	- Help characterize better the unimodality and applicability of these results for the families of polytopes mentioned here!
	- The applicability of techniques (i) and (ii) is only characterized for a few of the examples we discussed today!
- Get creative!
	- Develop new families of polytopes for which to test theories in Ehrhart unimodality.

## Thank You!