

June Huh
10/9/2017

Negative correlation and Hodge-Riemann relations

X smooth projective variety over $K = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$

$A(X) =$ algebraic cycles
 \mathbb{Q} -coefficients / homological equivalence

$L \in A^1(X)$ hyperplane class

Conjecture: We should have

"PD" $d = \dim(X)$
(Poincaré Duality)

$A^k(X) \times A^{d-k}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, $(x, y) \longmapsto \deg(x, y)$
is non degenerate for all k .

"HL" $A^k(X) \longrightarrow A^{d-k}(X)$, $x \longmapsto L^{d-2k} \cdot x$
(hard Lefschetz) is bijective for $k \leq d/2$.

"HR" $A^r(X) \times A^k(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, $(x_1, x_2) \longmapsto (-1)^k \deg(L^r x_1 x_2)$
(Hodge-Riemann)
is positive definite on the kernel of L^{d-2k+1}
for all $k \leq d/2$

V = vector space of dimension d over $K = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

E = spanning set of vectors in V , denoted by $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

\mathcal{L} = subspaces spanned by subsets of E .

$$= \bigsqcup_{k=0}^d \mathcal{L}_k \quad \text{collection of } k\text{-dimensional subspaces.}$$

$$B(\mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^d B^k(\mathcal{L}), \quad B^k(\mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{L}_k} \mathbb{Q} x_S$$

graded \mathbb{Q} -algebra with the following multiplication:

$$x_{S_1} \cdot x_{S_2} = \begin{cases} x_{S_1 \cup S_2} & \text{if } S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$L = x_1 + \dots + x_n = \text{sum of all lines in } \mathcal{L} \\ = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{L}_1} x_S \in B^1(\mathcal{L}).$$

$$B^d(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathbb{Q}, \quad x_V \mapsto 1$$

we denote this isomorphism by "deg".

$$\text{deg}(L^d) = \text{deg}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^d = d! \cdot \# \text{ bases of } V \text{ in } E$$

$\deg(x_i; L^{d-1}) = (d-1)! \cdot \# \text{ of bases of } V \text{ in } E$
containing i .

$\deg(x_i x_j; L^{d-2}) = (d-2)! \cdot \# \text{ of bases of } V \text{ in } E$
containing i and j .

Def: A matroid M on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = E$ is
 $\mathcal{B} \subseteq 2^E$ such that:

For any $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, $x_1 \in B_1 \setminus B_2$,
there is $x_2 \in B_2 \setminus B_1$ such that $(B_1 \setminus x_1) \cup x_2 \in \mathcal{B}$.

Ex: ① $G =$ ^{connected} graph $E =$ set of edges
 $\mathcal{B} =$ spanning trees of G .

② $V =$ vector space over \mathbb{K}
 $E =$ spanning set of vectors in V
 $\mathcal{B} =$ bases of V in E .

Def: For a matroid M on $E = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ define a
 $m \times m$ matrix $HR(M)$ by

$$HR(M)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i=j \\ b_{ij} & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases},$$

where $b_{ij} = \# \text{ of bases of } M \text{ containing } i \text{ and } j$.

Thm (H.-Wang): For any matroid M , $HR(M)$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue.

Ex: $G = \square = K_4$

$$HR(M) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$i \begin{matrix} j \\ \square \end{matrix} b_{ij} = 3$

$i \begin{matrix} \square \\ j \end{matrix} b_{ij} = 4$

eigenvalues: $16, -2, -2, -4, -4, -4$.

Negative Correlation

G = finite connected graph

T = random spanning tree

"For any edges $i \neq j$, $\Pr(i \in T) \geq \Pr(i \in T | j \in T)$ "

In other words, $\frac{b_i}{b} \geq \frac{b_{ij}}{b_j}$,

for b = # spanning trees

b_i = # spanning trees containing i

b_{ij} = " " " " i and j

Q: Does this hold for arbitrary matroid M ?

Yes, if M is realizable over every field.

In 1974, Seymour and Welsh found

M over \mathbb{F}_2 with $\frac{b_{ij}}{b_i b_j} = 1.02 \dots$ for some i, j .

Obs: $HR(M) : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Restrict $HR(M)$ to span $(e_i, e_j, e_i + \dots + e_m)$ to get a 3×3 matrix $HR_{ij}(M)$.

Applying Cauchy interlacing, we see that $HR_{ij}(M)$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue.

$$\rightarrow \det HR_{ij}(M) \geq 0 \iff 2 \geq \frac{\binom{\text{rank}(M)}{i}}{\binom{\text{rank}(M)-1}{i-1}} \frac{b_{ij}}{b_i b_j}$$

Conclusion: For any matroid M , elements i, j ,

$$\frac{b_{ij}}{b_i b_j} < 2$$

Question (Correlation constant of a field):

How large can $\frac{b_{ij}}{b_i b_j}$ over a given field be?

Current record (Benjamin Schröter):

1.14 ... for \mathbb{F}_2

1.07 ... for \mathbb{Q}