Characters and Sylow 2-subgroups of maximal class

Lecture by Benjamin Sambale Notes by Dustan Levenstein

Joint with G. Navarro and P. H. Tiep.

1 Introduction

Proposition 1.1. For a 2-group P the following are equivalent:

- (1) P is (semi)dihedral or quaternion, (but non-abelian, so Klein-4 is excluded)
- (2) P has maximal (nilpotency) class,
- (3) $|P| \ge 8$ and |P : P'| = 4 (Taussky-Todd),
- (4) P has exactly 5 rational irreducible characters (Isaacs-Navarro-Sangroniz),
- (5) *P* is non-cyclic and the number of involutions of *P* is $\equiv 1 \mod 4$ (Alperin-Feit-Thompson),
- (6) $P \not\cong C_2 \times C_2$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2 P$ has tame representation type.

This theorem can be partially expanded to an arbitrary group:

Proposition 1.2. For a finite group G with $P \in Syl_2(G)$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) P has maximal class,
- (2) *P* is non-cyclic and the number of involutions in G is $\equiv 1 \mod 4$ (Herzog),
- (3) $P \not\cong C_2 \times C_2$ and the principal 2-block $B_0(G)$ has tame representation type,
- (4) missing: character table criterion?

Remark (i) The possible G were classified by Gorenstein-Walter and Alperin-Brauer-Gorenstein.

(ii) The character table does not distinguish the 3 types of $P(D_8 \text{ vs } Q_8)$.

2 Results

Theorem A. For $P \in Syl_2(G)$ the following are equivalent:

- (1) |P:P'| = 4,
- (2) |P| = 4 or there exists $g \in P$ such that $|G : C_G(g)| \equiv 0 \mod 2$ and $\mathbb{Q}(g) := \mathbb{Q}(\chi(g) : g \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta \pm \zeta^{-1})$ where $\zeta = e^{4\pi i/|P|}$,
- (3) $|\operatorname{Irr}_{2'}(B_0(G))| = 4.$

Remark Let $N := N_G(P)$. The Alperin-McKay Conjecture implies

$$4 = |\operatorname{Irr}_{2'}(B_0(G))| = |\operatorname{Irr}_{2'}(B_0(N))| = |\operatorname{Irr}_{2'}(N/O_{2'}(N))| = |\operatorname{Irr}(N/P'O_{2'}(N))$$

holds if and only if |P:P'| = 4.

A similar argument applies to p = 3. Hence,

Conjecture 2.1. Let $P \in Syl_3(G)$. Then |P : P'| = 9 iff $|Irr_{3'}(B_0(G))| \in \{6, 9\}$.

Easier:

Theorem B. $|\operatorname{Irr}_{3'}(B_0(G))| = 3$ iff $|G|_3 = 3$.

Remark (i) Theorem B is well-known for p = 2. In fact $|\operatorname{Irr}_{2'}(B_0(G))| \equiv 0 \mod 4$ whenever $|G| \equiv 0 \mod 4$.

(ii) In general $|\operatorname{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))|$ does not determine $|G|_p$ or |P:P'|:

$$|\operatorname{Irr}(C_{25})| = 25 = |\operatorname{Irr}(C_5^3 \rtimes C_8)|.$$

3 Proofs

Theorem A (2):

- $\begin{aligned} (1) \Rightarrow (2): \ \text{Let } |P| &= 2^n \geq 8 \text{ and } |P:P'| = 4. \text{ Then there exists a } g \in P \text{ of order } 2^{n-1} \text{ conjugate to } g^{-1} \text{ or to } g^{-1+2^{n-2}} \\ (\text{if } n \geq 4). \text{ Hence } |G:C_G(g)| &\equiv 0 \mod 2 \text{ and } |\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}}:\mathbb{Q}(g)| = N_G(\langle g \rangle): C_G(g)| = 2. \\ \text{Since } \mathbb{Q}(g) \text{ lies in the fixed field of the Galois automorphism } \zeta \mapsto \pm \zeta^{-1}. \end{aligned}$
- $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$: Let $g \in P$ s.t. $|G : C_G(g)| \equiv 0 \mod 2$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta \pm \zeta^{-1}) = \mathbb{Q}(g) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{|\langle g \rangle|}$. Then P is a non-abelian group and we may assume that n > 3 (the case n = 3 being easy). Then $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(g) \mid \mathbb{Q})$ is cyclic and therefore $\mathbb{Q}(g)$ is not a cyclotomic field. Therefore $\mathbb{Q}(g) \subsetneq \mathbb{Q}_{|\langle g \rangle|}$. Hence $|\langle g \rangle| = 2^{n-1}$. If P does not have maximal class, then g is conjugate to $g^{1+2^{n-2}}$. This yields the contradiction.

$$\mathbb{Q}(g) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta^2).$$

 $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$: well-known (Brauer, Olsson)

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$: uses the classification of finite simple groups (CFSG).

Easy cases: If $B_0(G)$ is the only block of maximal defect, then the claim follows from Malle-Späth (McKay Conjecture for p = 2.)

Remark The proof of Theorem B (2) also relies on CFSG.

4 Open problems

Let B be any p-block with defect group D and $k(B) := |\operatorname{Irr}(B)|, k_0(B) := |\{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B) : \chi(1)_p = |G : D|_p\}|.$ Question 1: If p = 2 and $k_0(B) = 4$, then is it true that |D : D'| = 4? Question 2: If p = 3 and $k_0(B) = 3$, then |D| = 3? Question 3: If p = 2 and k(B) =then |D| = 4?

Question 4: If k(B) = 3 then p = |D| = 3?