

# PERFECTOID RINGS

WIEŚŁAWA NIZIOŁ

## 1. DISCLAIMER

This is the transcription of a lecture given by Wiesława Nizioł for the MSRI Hot Topics Workshop on the homological conjectures. Any errors or typos are my responsibility<sup>1</sup>.

This lecture introduces the notion of a perfectoid algebra over a perfectoid field, and gives some theorems on tilting equivalences.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Fix a prime  $p > 0$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A perfectoid field is a complete nonarchimedean field  $K$  with residue characteristic  $p$ , with an associated non-discrete rank 1 valuation, such that

$$\text{Frob}_p : K^\circ/p \rightarrow K^\circ/p$$

is surjective, where  $K^\circ$  denotes the power bounded elements in  $K$ . We let  $K^{\circ\circ}$  be the maximal ideal in  $K^\circ$ .

**Remark 2.2.** If  $K$  has characteristic  $p$ , then this is equivalent to saying that  $K$  is a complete perfect field.

To a perfectoid field in any characteristic, we associate the “tilt”

$$K^\flat := K^{\circ\flat}[\frac{1}{\varpi}]$$

where  $\varpi$  is some element in  $K^\circ$  such that  $|p| \leq |\varpi| < 1$ .  $K^\flat$  is perfectoid with valuation  $|x|_{K^\flat} = |x^\sharp|$  induced by the multiplicative “untilt”

$$\lim_{x \mapsto x^p} K^\circ \rightarrow K^\circ, x \mapsto x^\sharp,$$

In fact, by Lemma 3.4 in [1], we can assume that  $\varpi$  is actually  $t^\sharp$  for some  $t \in K^\flat$ , which, by definition, has the same valuation. One key property that will be used throughout the talk is that there is an isomorphism

$$K^\circ/\varpi \cong K^{\flat\circ}/t.$$

---

*Date:* March 12, 2018.

<sup>1</sup>Typeset by Ashwin Iyengar.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})$ . Then  $K^\flat = \mathbf{F}_p[[t^{1/p^\infty}]] [1/t]$ . Pick  $\varpi = p$  and  $t = t$ . Then

$$K^\circ/p \cong \mathbf{Z}_p[p^{1/p^\infty}]/p \cong \mathbf{F}_p[t^{1/p^\infty}]/t = K^{\flat\circ}/t$$

If in fact  $\text{char } K = p$  in the first place, then  $K \cong K^\flat$ .

### 3. PERFECTOID RINGS

**Definition 3.1.** If  $K$  is a perfectoid field, then a Banach  $K$ -algebra  $R$  is called perfectoid if  $R^\circ \subseteq R$  is bounded and open, and  $\text{Frob} : R^\circ/\varpi \rightarrow R^\circ/\varpi$  is surjective.

Then we let  $\text{Perf}_K$  denote the category of perfectoid  $K$ -algebras, whose morphisms are continuous algebra homomorphisms.

**Example 3.2.**

- (1) We may define the perfectoid unit circle by

$$R = K\langle T^{1/p^\infty} \rangle := \widehat{\bigcup_n K^\circ[T^{1/p^\infty}]} [1/\varpi]$$

- (2) One can also define an integral version  $K^\circ\langle T^{1/p^\infty} \rangle$ .

- (3) In characteristic  $p$ , if  $A$  is a Banach  $K$ -algebra with  $A^\circ$  bounded and open, then  $A$  is perfectoid  $\iff A$  is perfect.

The category  $\text{Perf}_K$  enjoys some nice stability properties, namely

- (1) If  $B, C \in \text{Perf}_K$ , then  $B \widehat{\otimes}_K C \in \text{Perf}_K$ , and

$$(B \widehat{\otimes}_K C)^\circ \cong_a B^\circ \widehat{\otimes}_{K^\circ} C^\circ$$

(the  $a$  denotes an almost isomorphism).

- (2) If  $B$  is finite étale over  $A \in \text{Perf}_K$ , then  $B$  is perfectoid and  $B^\circ$  is almost étale over  $A^\circ$ .

### 4. TILTING EQUIVALENCE

The first theorem, due to Scholze, relates  $K$  and  $K^\flat$  as follows:

**Theorem 4.1** (Scholze). *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{Perf}_K \cong \text{Perf}_{K^\flat}.$$

In this section we will describe this equivalence and give a sketch of the proof.

*Proof.* We begin with some definitions:

**Definition 4.2.** A  $K^{\circ a}$ -algebra  $A$  is perfectoid if

- (1)  $A$  is  $\varpi$ -adically complete and (almost) flat over  $K^{\circ a}$ , and

(2)  $K^\circ/\varpi \rightarrow A/\varpi$  is relatively perfect, i.e.

$$\text{Frob}_p : A/\varpi^{1/p} \rightarrow A/\varpi$$

is an isomorphism.

This defines a category  $\text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}}$  for the almost  $K$ -algebra  $K^{\circ a}$ .

**Definition 4.3.** A  $K^{\circ a}/\varpi$ -algebra  $A$  is perfectoid if

- (1)  $A$  is (almost) flat over  $K^{\circ a}/\varpi$ , and
- (2)  $K^\circ/\varpi \rightarrow A$  is relatively perfect, i.e.

$$\text{Frob}_p : A/\varpi^{1/p} \rightarrow A$$

is an isomorphism.

This defines a category  $\text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}/\varpi}$  for the almost  $K$ -algebra  $K^{\circ a}/\varpi$ .

### Step 1: Tilting from char 0 to char $p$

There exist canonical equivalences

$$\text{Perf}_K \cong \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}} \cong \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}/\varpi}.$$

The first equivalence is explained as follows: given a perfectoid  $K$ -algebra  $M$ , one can associate to it the ‘‘almost’’  $K^{\circ a}$ -module  $M^{\circ a}$ . In the reverse direction, one associates to a  $K^{\circ a}$ -module  $A$  the perfectoid  $K$ -algebra  $A_*[1/\varpi]$ , where

$$A_* = \text{Hom}_{K^{\circ a}}(K^{\circ a}, M)$$

is the right adjoint to  $M \mapsto M^{\circ a}$ . This is justified by the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.4** ([1] Lemma 5.6). *If  $R = A_*[1/\varpi]$ , with Banach  $K$ -algebra structure given by making  $A_*$  an open and bounded subring, then  $R^\circ = A_*$  and  $R \in \text{Perf}_K$ .*

The second equivalence uses almost deformation theory, which is controlled by the cotangent complex. We describe the usual cotangent complex, then mention the almost cotangent complex.

**Definition 4.5.** If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism, then the cotangent complex  $L_{B/A}$  is an object in  $D^{\leq 0}(\text{Mod}_B)$ , the bounded-above derived category of  $B$ -modules. Specifically, we let  $L_{B/A}$  be the complex associated to

$$\Omega_{P_\bullet}^1 \otimes_{P_\bullet} B,$$

where  $P_\bullet \rightarrow B$  is a simplicial resolution of  $B$  by polynomial  $A$ -algebras, and the associated complex is the complex whose differentials are alternating sums of the face maps.

We list some properties of this construction.

- (1) If  $A \rightarrow B$  is smooth, then  $L_{B/A} = \Omega_{B/A}^1[0]$ , i.e. the complex concentrated at 0 with the object  $\Omega_{B/A}^1$ .

- (2) If  $A \rightarrow B$  is étale, then  $L_{B/A} = 0$ .
- (3)  $L_{B/A}$  controls deformations, in a certain sense.
- (4) One can define an extension of this notion to the almost category.

**Example 4.6.** If  $A = \mathbf{F}_p$  and  $B$  is a perfect  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -algebra, then  $L_{B/A} = 0$ . This is because  $\text{Frob}_p : B \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism so  $d\varphi : L_{B/A} \rightarrow L_{B/A}$  is an isomorphism, but  $d\varphi = 0$  because “everything is a  $p$ -power”, in some sense.

More generally, we have the following:

**Lemma 4.7.** *If  $A$  is a perfectoid  $K^{\circ a}/\varpi$ -algebra, then*

$$L_{A/(K^{\circ a}/\varpi)} = 0.$$

One can show that this implies that

$$\text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}/\varpi} \cong \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}/\varpi^n}$$

for all  $n$ , so one can then pass to the limit  $K^{\circ a} = \varprojlim_n K^{\circ a}/\varpi^n$ , to deduce the result. This proves that

$$\text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}/\varpi} \cong \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}}.$$

In characteristic  $p$ , this is simpler: given  $A \in \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}/t}$ , we take

$$\tilde{A} = \lim_{x \mapsto x^p} A \in \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}},$$

where the limit is actually an “almost” limit, taken in the almost category of  $K^{\circ a}$ -modules.

**Step 2** We have a chain of equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Perf}_K & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ a}/\varpi} \\ & & & & \parallel \\ \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ b}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ b \circ a}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ b \circ a}/\varpi} \end{array}$$

The horizontal equivalences are as described above: in particular, the first uses the adjoint construction  $M \mapsto M_*$ , and the second uses almost deformation theory.

Essentially, we have shown that given  $R \in \text{Perf}_K$ , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism)  $S \in \text{Perf}_{K^{\circ b}}$  such that

$$R^{\circ a}/\varpi \cong S^{\circ a}/t.$$

Thus, we may call  $R^{\circ b} := S$ , and we say that  $R$  is the “until” of  $S$ , i.e.  $R = S^\sharp$ . This concludes the proof.

□

5. FINITE ÉTALE EQUIVALENCE

We now state our second theorem, which relates finite étale covers of a perfectoid field to those of their tilts.

**Theorem 5.1** (Scholze). *If  $R$  is perfectoid over  $K$ , then there exists an equivalence*

$$R_{\text{fét}} \cong R_{\text{fét}}^{\flat},$$

where  $R_{\text{fét}}$  is the category of finite étale covers of  $R$ .

**Remark 5.2.** Fontaine and Wintenberger proved this in the case  $R = K$  for certain  $K$ . In particular, they showed that  $\text{Gal}_K = \text{Gal}_{K^{\flat}}$  in certain cases.

*Proof.* We give a sketch of the proof. As before, there are equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R_{\text{fét}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & R_{\text{fét}}^{\circ a} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (R^{\circ a}/\varpi)_{\text{fét}} \\ & & & & \parallel \\ R_{\text{fét}}^{\flat} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & R_{\text{fét}}^{\flat \circ a} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (R^{\flat \circ a}/\varpi)_{\text{fét}} \end{array}$$

This time, the first horizontal arrows use the almost purity theorem. On the bottom, the theorem is for characteristic  $p$ , and on the top, in characteristic 0. The second horizontal arrows again use almost deformation theory, although we won't go into the details.  $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] P. Scholze, *Perfectoid Spaces*, Publications mathématiques de l'IHÉS 116(1), 2012, 245–313.