YVES ANDRÉ

1. DISCLAIMER

This is the transcription of a lecture given by Yves André for the MSRI Hot Topics Workshop on the homological conjectures. Any errors or typos are my responsibility¹.

This lecture states and gives a very rough sketch of the proof of almost purity theorem in general characteristic.

2. Statement

Let K be a perfectoid field, $\mathfrak{m} = K^{\circ\circ} \subseteq K^{\circ} \subseteq K$ be the maximal ideal in the ring of power bounded elements in the field, and $\varpi \in \mathfrak{m}$ be a pseudo-uniformizer satisfying $|p| < |\varpi| < 1$.

2.1. Theorem. Let A be a perfectoid K-algebra, and let B be a finite étale A-algebra. Then

- (1) \mathbb{B} is perfectoid.
- (2) (almost purity) \mathfrak{B}° is an almost finite étale \mathcal{A}° -algebra.

Furthermore, if $A_{f\acute{e}t}$ is the category of finite étale A-algebras, then tilting gives an equivalence of categories bewteen

$$A_{f\acute{e}t} \cong A^{p}_{f\acute{e}t}.$$

Tate proved this for some perfectoid fields. Faltings proved this for some (many) perfectoid algebras, and it was proved in full generality by Scholze and Kedlaya-Liu independently.

3. Reduction to the Galois case

We will show $(i) \implies (ii)$. The idea is reduce to the Galois case, i.e. the case where $\mathcal{B}^G = \mathcal{A}$ for some finite group G acting on \mathcal{B} , and

$$\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{G} \mathcal{B}$$

sending $b \otimes b' \mapsto (g(b)b')$ is an isomorphism. It's well known that if \mathcal{B} is G-Galois over \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{B} is finite étale over \mathcal{A} . The idea is that the isomorphism gives you some idempotent

Date: March 12, 2018.

¹Typeset by Ashwin Iyengar

element in $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}$, which kills the kernel of multiplication $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$, which you can then use to show that \mathcal{B} is finite projective over \mathcal{A} , from which we can reduce the result. An analogous result holds in the almost setting, as noted in [1].

Now we reduce the Galois situation. After decomposing A, one can assume that the rank [B:A] = n is constant. If $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and $Y = \operatorname{Spec} B$ and $Z = Y \times_X \cdots \times_X Y \setminus D$ where D is the partial diagonals, then in fact $Z = \operatorname{Spec} C$ for some C, which is S_n -Galois over X and S_{n-1} -Galois over Y, both via the first projection.

Then an application of faithfully flat descent reduces us to the Galois case fully.

Now assume (i). By assumption we have $\mathcal{B}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{B} \cong \prod_{G}\mathcal{B}$, and \mathcal{B} is perfected, so $\mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathcal{B}}$ is perfected as well. Additionally, the map

$$\mathfrak{B}^{\circ}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}^{\circ}}\mathfrak{B}^{\circ}\xrightarrow{\sim}(\mathfrak{B}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{B})^{\circ}$$

is an almost isomorphism. But by the decomposition we get

$$\mathcal{B}^{\circ}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}^{\circ}}\mathcal{B}^{\circ}\xrightarrow{\sim}(\mathcal{B}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{B})^{\circ}=\prod_{G}\mathcal{B}^{\circ},$$

So we almost have what we want, except for the completion in the tensor product. But we can get around this by taking a quotient by ϖ , and one can deduce that B°/ϖ is almost Galois over A°/ϖ , hence almost finite étale. Finally, one can deduce that \mathcal{B}° is finite étale over A° .

4. Proof of (I)

The proof is done in seven steps. We will sketch, very roughly, each one.

- (1) First, we look at perfectoid fields. But this is already done: if \mathcal{A} is a field, then $G_{\mathcal{A}} \cong G_{\mathcal{A}^{\flat}}$, so finite extensions of \mathcal{A} correspond to finite extensions of \mathcal{A}^{\flat} , and one can show that since extensions of \mathcal{A}^{\flat} are perfectoid, extensions of $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ are as well.
- (2) For perfectoid algebras in characteristic p > 0, proving perfectoid-ness is equivalent to proving that \mathcal{B} is perfect and that \mathcal{B}° is bounded. Since \mathcal{A} is reduced, \mathcal{B} is also reduced. Thus $\Omega_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}} = 0$, so $\Omega_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}^p]} = 0$. But any element c in the kernel of the map $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}^p]} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{B}$ has the property that $c^p = 0$. But $\Omega_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}^p]}$ is this kernel mod itself squared, and one can deduce that

$$\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}^p]} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}$$

is an isomorphism. By a lemma in EGA, one can deduce that $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}^p] \to \mathcal{B}$ is surjective. This shows that the Frobenius is surjective, so \mathcal{B} is perfect.

Now we need to show that \mathcal{B}° is bounded. We define \mathcal{B}_0 , a finite sub- \mathcal{A}° -algebra of \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0[1/\varpi]$. Then \mathcal{B}_0 is contained in the integral closure of \mathcal{A}° in \mathcal{B} , which is in turn contained in

$$\mathcal{B}'_0 = \{ b \in \mathcal{B} : \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}}(b\mathcal{B}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^\circ \}.$$

One has

$$\operatorname{Ann}(\mathfrak{B}_0'/\mathfrak{B}_0)[1/\varpi] = \mathfrak{B},$$

and there is some power n such that $\varpi^n \mathcal{B}'_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_0$.

(3) Now look at characteristic 0. Given $\mathcal{B}^{\flat} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{fét}}^{\flat}$, we have $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{fét}}$. To see this, look at

 $\mathcal{B}^{\flat\circ}/\varpi^{\flat} \cong \mathcal{B}^{\circ}/\varpi.$

But to check finite étale-ness, it's enough to check it mod ϖ , and then we can just use almost purity in characteristic p.

(4) Now we introduce perfectoid spaces. Take $X = \text{Spa}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\circ}), Y = \text{Spa}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^{\circ})$ (so we get a finite étale map $Y \to X$), and the tilt X^{\flat} , defined as usual. Now we want to show that Y is perfectoid.

Pick $x \in X$ with corresponding point $x^{\flat} \in X^{\flat}$. We have $\mathscr{O}_{X,x}$ containing $\mathscr{O}^+_{X,x}$, which are both Henselian, and the completed residue field $\kappa(x)$ containing the completed residue field $\kappa(x)^+$. Note $\kappa(x)$ is perfected, and its tilt is $\kappa(x^{\flat})$. Furthermore,

$$\ker(\mathscr{O}_{X,x}^+ \to \kappa(x)^+)$$

is ϖ -divisible. Then

$$\widehat{\mathscr{O}_{X,x}^+}[1/\varpi] = \kappa(x)$$

(5) This is the Henselian approximation step.

4.1. **Proposition.** If R is a flat K° -algebra, Henselian along ϖ , then

$$R[\varpi^{-1}]_{f\acute{e}t} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\widehat{R}[\varpi^{-1}])_{f\acute{e}t}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

4.2. **Remark.** Consider a smooth *R*-algebra *S*, and let Z = Spec S. By the infinitesimal lifting property of a smooth map, that

$$Z(R) \to Z(R/\varpi)$$

is surjective. This is also true in the Henselian case: embed $Z \subseteq \mathbf{A}^n$ and construct the normal bundle to this embedding. Then a neighborhood of the zero section will be étale over its image in \mathbf{A}^n .

For example, there is a bijection on isomorphism classes $\mathsf{fProj}_R \to \mathsf{fProj}_{R/\varpi}$. One can deduce from this that there is a bijection on isomorphism classes of $R_{\text{fét}} \to (R/\varpi)_{\text{fét}}$.

But now only assume that $S[\varpi^{-1}]$ is smooth over $R[\varpi^{-1}]$. Then you can a approximate formal solution by real solutions: this is due to Elkik, which uses Newton's lemma.

Then you get a uniform Mittag-Leffler condition for approximation mod powers of ϖ .

In any case, apply the proposition to $R = \mathscr{O}_{X,x}^+$, to obtain

$$(\mathscr{O}_{X,x}^+[\varpi^{-1}])_{\text{fét}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \kappa(x)_{\text{fét}}.$$

But the left hand side is a 2-colimit (over rational subsets U containing x) of $\mathscr{O}_X(U)_{\text{fét}}$. Additionally, we have the same equivalence in the tilted case, between $\kappa(x^{\flat})$ and the 2-colimit of the $(\mathscr{O}_{X^{\flat}}(U^{\flat}))_{\text{fét}}$ for U^{\flat} containing x^{\flat} .

(6) Now we untilt, by taking the 2-colimit over the U^{\flat} containing x^{\flat} of $\mathscr{O}_{X^{\flat}}(U^{\flat})_{\text{fét}}$, then untilting each object in the result colimit category, so we get objects which are perfectoid in characteristic 0. Now by step 3, we have

$$\mathscr{O}_{X^{\flat}}(U^{\flat})_{\text{fét}}^{\sharp} \subseteq (\mathscr{O}_X(U))_{\text{fét}}.$$

Now fix $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{fét}} = \mathscr{O}_X(X)_{\text{fét}}$, and define $\mathcal{B}|_U = \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathscr{O}_X(U) \in \mathscr{O}_X(U)_{\text{fét}}$. Then by cofinality, there exists U^{\flat} such that $\mathcal{B}|_U \cong (\mathscr{O}_{X^{\flat}}(V^{\flat}))^{\sharp}$ for some V^{\flat} finite étale over U^{\flat} .

by using quasi compactness of X, we can find a finite cover $X = \bigcup U_i$ such that

$$\mathfrak{B}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathscr{O}_X(U_i)=\mathscr{O}_X(V_i^{\flat})^{\sharp}$$

for some $V_i^{\flat} \in (U_i^{\flat})_{\text{fét}}$.

(7) The last step is gluing. We can glue the V_i^{\flat} to get an affinoid perfectoid V^{\flat} over X^{\flat} . For this, we need Noetherian approximation.

Now we untilt to get $Y \supseteq X \subseteq V$. Both Y and V are finite étale, and V is perfectoid, so we need to show that Y = V to show that Y is perfectoid. For this, we appeal to Tate acyclicity for X and V.

For X, we get

$$\mathcal{A} \to \bigoplus_i \mathscr{O}_X(U_i) \to \bigoplus_{i < i'} \mathscr{O}_X(U_i \cap U_{i'}) \to \cdots$$

Tensoring with \mathcal{B} over \mathcal{A} and using Tate acyclicity for V, we get

where the second two columns are isomorphic, so we get $B = \mathscr{O}_V$, and thus \mathcal{B} is perfectoid.

This concludes the proof. To finish, we give a non-example, for p = 2.

Let $K = \mathbf{Q}_2(\mu_{2^{\infty}})$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}^{\circ} = \widehat{\bigcup_k} \mathbf{Z}_2[\mu_2^k][[x^{2^{-k}}]]$$

and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\circ}[1/2]$, which is perfected over K. Then let

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}[\sqrt{x^2 + 4}]$$

which is finite and ramified over \mathcal{A} . The claim is that \mathcal{B} is not perfectoid. For instance,

$$\frac{i+1}{2}(\sqrt{x^2+4}-\sqrt{x})\in B^\circ,$$

but has no square root mod 2.

References

 Y. André, La lemme d'Abhyankar perfectoide, preprint, 55 pp. arXiv:1609.00320 [math.AG] August 31, 2016.