

INTRODUCTION TO KAM APPLIED TO PDE

CLARENCE WAYNE

References

- W. Craig: Problèmes de petit diviseurs dans les EDP: Soc. Math. France (2000)
- S. Kuksin: Nearly Integrable Inf Dimensional Hamiltonian Systems: Springer LNM 1556 (1991)
- J. Pöschel: "A KAM-Theorem for some Nonlinear PDE's" Ann. Sc. Norm Pisa 23 (1996)
- C.E. Wayne: "An Intro KAM Theory": Lec. App. Math, vol 31: AMS (1996)

proofs are long - we won't be able to do an entire proof here
 there isn't one KAM theory for all relevant circumstances
 you have to find the one relevant to your problem

Def Hamiltonian PDE

Suppose \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$
 and J - anti-symmetric invertible operator.

A Hamiltonian PDE has the form

$$\partial_t u = J \nabla H$$

$$\nabla H \text{ is defined by } \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} H(u + \varepsilon h) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \langle \nabla H, h \rangle$$

We will focus on a simple example: nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS)

$$i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + V(x) u(x) + |u|^{2r} u$$

$$u(0, +) = u(\pi, +) = 0$$

write the eq. as separate real & imaginary parts $u(x, t) = q(x, t) + ip(x, t)$

$$i \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^2} + i \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + V(x) q + iV(x) p + (p^2 + q^2)^r (q + ip)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + V(x) p(x) + (p^2 + q^2)^r p \\ -\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^2} - V(x) q(x) - (p^2 + q^2)^r q \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{work in Sobolev spaces.} \\ \text{(have to be careful about domains)} \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0^1(0, \pi) \times \mathcal{H}_0^1(0, \pi)$$

superscript - this many derivatives in L^2
 subscript - boundary conditions are zero.

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{q} \\ \tilde{p} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \int_0^\pi (p(x) \tilde{p}(x) + q(x) \tilde{q}(x)) dx$$

$$H(p, q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi (p_x^2 + q_x^2 - V(x) (p^2(x) + q^2(x))) dx - \frac{1}{2(r+1)} \int_0^\pi (p^2 + q^2)^{r+1} dx$$

compute the gradient:

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} H(p + \varepsilon h, q + \varepsilon j) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_0^\pi (p_x h_x + q_x j_x - (ph - qj) V(x)) - \int_0^\pi (p^2 + q^2)^r (ph - qj) dx$$

integrate by parts
 $-p_{xx} h - q_{xx} j$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla H = \begin{pmatrix} -q_{xx} - qV - (p^2 + q^2)^r q \\ -p_{xx} - pV - (p^2 + q^2)^r p \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Take } J \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -p \\ q \end{pmatrix}$$

Note: We don't need $J^2 = \text{Identity}$

$$\text{We should have: } \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} = J \nabla H$$

$$J \nabla H = \begin{pmatrix} p_{xx} + pV + (p^2 + q^2)^r p \\ -q_{xx} - qV - (p^2 + q^2)^r q \end{pmatrix}$$

So the PDE is a Hamiltonian field theory.

This is not the only way to represent NLS in the Hamiltonian form.

Remark

Take a Hilbert space of complex valued functions: $\tilde{H} = H_0^1([0,1]; \mathbb{C})$

$$\langle\langle u, w \rangle\rangle = \text{Re} \int_0^\pi u(x) \overline{w(x)} dx \quad \tilde{J} = -i$$

This also produces NLS as a Hamiltonian system.

Other Examples

① Wave Equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + g(u); \quad g \text{ analytic, } g(0) = 0; \quad u(0) = u(\pi) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^2} + g(u) \end{pmatrix}$$

This is Hamiltonian with:

$$H(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{1}{2} (v(x))^2 + (\partial_x u)^2 \right) + G(u) dx \quad G - \text{anti-derivative of } g$$

② KdV equation

shows us why we need to generalize our symplectic operator J

$$H_{\text{KdV}} = \int \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 - \frac{1}{6} u^3 \quad J = \partial_x$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0$$

③ What if domain is not compact or higher dimensional?
much harder to do KAM

④ Do people use Banach spaces?

no for KAM - instead use a scale of Hilbert spaces

Canonical Transformation

change coordinates while keeping the Hamiltonian structure

we have: $\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, J \Rightarrow$ build 2-form $\omega = -\langle J^{-1} du, du \rangle$

Suppose there's another

(after, $J = J^{-1}$)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \tilde{J} \Rightarrow \tilde{\omega}$$

A map between these $\Phi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is a canonical transformation if the pullback $\Phi^* \tilde{\omega} = \omega$

Ex Harmonic Oscillator

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^2, J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{q} \\ \tilde{p} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = q\tilde{q} + p\tilde{p}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbb{C}, \tilde{J} = -i, \langle\langle z, w \rangle\rangle = \operatorname{Re}(z\bar{w})$$

$$\Phi: \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} = q + ip = z$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi^* \omega) \left[\begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{q} \\ \tilde{p} \end{pmatrix} \right] &= -\langle\langle i(q+ip), (\tilde{q}+i\tilde{p}) \rangle\rangle \\ &= -\langle\langle (-p+iq), (\tilde{q}+i\tilde{p}) \rangle\rangle \\ &= -(-p\tilde{q} + q\tilde{p}) = p\tilde{q} - q\tilde{p} \\ &= -\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{q} \\ \tilde{p} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \omega \left[\begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{q} \\ \tilde{p} \end{pmatrix} \right] \end{aligned}$$

In the new coordinates, the equations will also be Hamiltonian.

$$H(q, p) = \frac{1}{2} \Omega (p^2 + q^2) \rightsquigarrow \dot{q} = p, \dot{p} = -q$$

$$\tilde{H}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \Omega \langle\langle z, z \rangle\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \Omega |z|^2 \rightsquigarrow \dot{z} = -i\Omega z$$

Alternatively, $\Psi \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tan^{-1}(p/q) \\ \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + q^2) \end{pmatrix}$

Show this is a canonical transformation

$$\Psi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+$$

$$\tilde{\omega} = d\varphi \wedge dI$$

In terms of (I, φ) , $H(I, \varphi) = \Omega I$

$$\dot{\varphi} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial I} = \Omega, \dot{I} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \varphi} = 0$$

These are action-angle variables. The actions (I) are conserved.

Theorem (Poincaré)

Let Φ_H^t be the flow associated to a Hamiltonian system. $\dot{u} = J \nabla H$

For any t , Φ_H^t is a canonical transformation.

KAM is perturbative - we will be interested in cases where the flow is small.

How do we compute $f \circ \Phi_{\varepsilon F}^{t=1}(u)$ = $f(u)$ + $(f \circ \Phi_{\varepsilon F}^{t=1}(u) - f(u))$

identity ———— correction ———— Use fundamental theorem of calculus.

$$= f(u) + \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (f \circ \Phi_{\varepsilon F}^t) dt$$

this is really the Poisson bracket

$$= f(u) + \varepsilon \int_0^1 (Df \circ \Phi_{\varepsilon F}^t) \cdot J \nabla F \circ \Phi_{\varepsilon F}^t dt$$

This is still evaluated at new coordinates. Repeat the process.

$$= f(u) + \varepsilon \underbrace{Df(u) \cdot J \nabla F(u)}_{\{f, F\}(u)}$$

$$+ \varepsilon^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^t \{ \{f, F\}, F \} \circ \Phi_{\varepsilon F}^s ds dt$$

Integrable Systems

Remark Hamiltonian is constant along orbits - constant of motion.

Remark For an integrable system with bounded orbits, there exists a canonical transformation such that in terms of the new variables (I, ϕ) , the Hamiltonian depends only on the actions.

$$H(I, \phi) = h(I)$$

$$\dot{I} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi} = 0 \Rightarrow I(t) = I(0)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial I}(I(0)) \Rightarrow \phi(t) = \omega(I_0)t + \phi_0$$

$$\text{where } \omega(I) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial I}$$

All solutions are periodic or quasiperiodic.

Suppose we have a Hamiltonian that is a perturbation away from an integrable system,

$$H(I, \phi) = h(I) + \varepsilon f(I, \phi)$$

Question Do the invariant tori of the integrable system survive when $\varepsilon \neq 0$?

(Finite Dimensional)

KAM Theorem If $H = h(I) + \varepsilon f(I, \phi)$ is defined on $(I, \phi) \in U \times \mathbb{T}^N$ for $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

and if for some $(\bar{I}, \bar{\tau})$: $|\omega(\bar{I}) \cdot n| \geq \frac{\bar{\tau}}{|n|^\tau}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^N \setminus \{0\}$

and if $\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial I^2}$ is invertible

then for ε sufficiently small, the perturbed system has an invariant torus

with frequency $\omega(\bar{I}_0)$ $\downarrow \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0(\bar{\tau}, \tau, N)$

\uparrow quasiperiodic orbit

Challenge: Diophantine frequencies exist if $\tau > N-1$. What if $N = \infty$?

We would like to prove this sort of theorem for PDEs.

But $\varepsilon_0(N) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$

How can we get around this - at least for simple examples?

Ex. Nonlinear Schrödinger

$$i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + V(x)u + \varepsilon |u|^{2p} u$$

$$u = u(x, t) \\ u(0, t) = u(\pi, t) = 0$$

Treat the nonlinear term as the perturbation. Linear equation is integrable.

$\varepsilon = 0$ $\{\varphi_j\}$, $\{\omega_j\}$ eigenfunctions & eigenvalues

$$L \varphi = -\varphi'' + V(x) \varphi, \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi(\pi) = 0$$

$$u_j^p(x, t) = e^{-i\omega_j t} \varphi_j(x) \quad \text{periodic for each } j$$

$$u^{qp}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^N g_j e^{-i\omega_j t} \varphi_j(x) \quad \text{quasi-periodic}$$

only perturb solutions with finitely many frequencies.

Even though solutions with infinitely many frequencies exist

Remark We know a lot about these eigenvalues.

$$\omega_j = j^2 + \bar{V} + \eta_j \quad \bar{V} = \int_0^\pi V(x) dx$$

$$\text{If } V \in H^s(0, \pi), \quad \{\eta_j\} \in h^s = \left\{ \eta_j \mid \sum_{j=1}^\infty \eta_j^2 j^{2s} < \infty \right\}$$

By changing V , we can pick finitely many arbitrary eigenvalues
This takes the role of the invertible hessian.

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi (|u_x|^2 + V(x) |u|^2) dx$$

Change variables: $u(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty z_j(t) \varphi_j(x) \quad z_j \in \mathbb{C}$

(canonical) $H(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \omega_j |z_j|^2 \quad J = -i$

$$\dot{z}_j = -i\omega_j z_j \quad j = 1, \dots, \infty \quad \text{infinitely many harmonic oscillators}$$

these are great if z_j is small. Otherwise, use action angle variables.

For the first D components, make a further canonical transformation.

$$I_j = \frac{1}{2} |z_j|^2; \quad \varphi_j = \arg(z_j)$$

Integrable (unperturbed) system:

$$H(I, \varphi, z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^D \omega_j I_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=D+1}^\infty \omega_j |z_j|^2$$

Perturbed Hamiltonian also has: $\frac{\varepsilon}{4(p+1)} \int_0^\pi |u|^{2(p+1)} dx$

write it as $+\varepsilon F(I, \varphi, z, \bar{z})$

For $\epsilon = 0$: Equations of Motion

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \dot{\phi}_j &= \Omega_j \\ \dot{I}_j &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} j=1, \dots, D \quad \dot{z}_j = -i\Omega_j z_j \quad j=D+1, \dots, \infty$$

this has a D -dim invariant torus $I(t) = I_0$, $\phi(t) = \Omega t + \phi_0$, $z(t) = 0$
 ↑ only first D of the Ω_j

It's more convenient to work close to the origin. $I \rightarrow I_0 + \tilde{I}$

$$H(\tilde{I}, \phi, z, \bar{z}) = c_0 + \sum_{j=1}^D \Omega_j \tilde{I}_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=D+1}^{\infty} \Omega_j |z_j|^2 + \epsilon \tilde{F}(\tilde{I}, \phi, z, \bar{z})$$

Stop writing the \sim 's and c_0 .

Study this Hamiltonian system near $I=0, z=0$.

Goal: Show that (H_ϵ) has a D -dim invariant torus near $I=0, z=0$.

Method: Find a canonical transformation: $\Phi_{\epsilon X}$ such that

$$\tilde{H} = (H \circ \Phi_{\epsilon X})(I, \phi, z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^D \Omega_j I_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=D+1}^{\infty} \Omega_j |z_j|^2 + \epsilon F^{\geq 2}(I, \phi, z, \bar{z})$$

The equations of motion for \tilde{H} :

$$\dot{\tilde{I}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial \phi} = -\epsilon \frac{\partial F^{\geq 2}}{\partial \phi} \quad \dot{z}_j = -i \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = i\Omega_j z_j - i\epsilon \frac{\partial F^{\geq 2}}{\partial \bar{z}_j}$$

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial I} = \Omega + \epsilon \frac{\partial F^{\geq 2}}{\partial I}$$

Last terms on the right of each equation vanish if $F^{\geq 2}$ is quadratic in z, \bar{z} .
 since we're looking near $I=z=0$.

We only have to eliminate low order terms in I, z .

Expand: $F(I, \phi, z, \bar{z}) = \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \leq 2}} \hat{F}(m, n, \mu, \bar{\mu}) e^{in \cdot \phi} I^m z^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{\mu}}}_{\substack{\text{Fourier in } \phi \\ \text{Taylor in } I, z}} + \underbrace{F^{\geq 2}(I, \phi, z, \bar{z})}_{\text{higher terms}}$
 up to quadratic terms.

We can compute the effects of a canonical transformation to lowest order

from a small Hamiltonian using the Poisson bracket, (recall from last time)

$$H \circ \Phi_{\epsilon X}(I, \phi, z, \bar{z}) = H(I, \phi, z, \bar{z}) + \epsilon \{X, H\} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)}_{\text{forget}} \\ = \sum_{j=1}^D \Omega_j |z_j|^2 + \epsilon \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \leq 2}} \dots + \epsilon F^{\geq 2}(\dots) + \epsilon \{X, H\} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

Choose X so these cancel.

$$\text{Expand: } X(I, \phi, z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \leq 2}} \hat{X}(m, n, \mu, \bar{\mu}) e^{in \cdot \phi} I^m z^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{\mu}}$$

$$\text{Compute: } \{X, H\} = \sum_{\dots} -i \left(\underbrace{n \cdot \Omega}_{\uparrow \leq 0} + \underbrace{(\mu - \bar{\mu}) \cdot \Omega}_{\uparrow > 0} \right) \hat{X}(m, n, \mu, \bar{\mu}) e^{in \cdot \phi} I^m z^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{\mu}}$$

This means we should choose X :

$$X(I, \phi, z, \bar{z}) = -i \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |n| \leq 2}} \frac{\hat{F}(m, n, \mu, \bar{\mu}) e^{in \cdot \phi} I^m z^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{\mu}}}{(n \cdot \Omega + (\mu - \bar{\mu}) \cdot \Omega)}$$

If $n=0$, $\mu = \bar{\mu}$, this is an additional integrable piece - exclude this
 We need some sort of Diophantine condition.
 What allows us to do this is that we have greatly restricted the $m, \mu, \bar{\mu}$

Melnikov Condition (M)

$$|n \cdot \Omega_{\leq D} + (\mu - \bar{\mu}) \cdot \Omega_{> D}| \geq \frac{\gamma \langle \mu - \bar{\mu} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}}{(1+|n|)^{\tau}} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}^D \setminus \{0\} \\ |n + \bar{\mu}| \leq 2$$

where $\langle \mu - \bar{\mu} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \left| \sum_{j=D+1}^{\infty} (\mu - \bar{\mu})_j j^2 \right| + 1$

Most dangerous case is when both μ and $\bar{\mu}$ have length one,

$$\mu = \delta_k, \quad \bar{\mu} = \delta_l$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mu - \bar{\mu}) \cdot \Omega_{> D} = \Omega_k - \Omega_l \approx k^2 - l^2 \approx \langle \mu - \bar{\mu} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

Two Key Facts

- ① Condition (M) ensures that the sum for χ converges.
- ② There are "lots" of frequencies that satisfy (M).

Why is ② true?

Consider all $\Omega \in B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^D$

Claim If $\tau > D+3$ and γ is sufficiently small,

then the measure of the set of Ω that violates (M) is $O(\gamma)$

Estimate $B(n, \mu, \bar{\mu}) =$ measure of set violating (M) for fixed $n, \mu, \bar{\mu}$
 (bad set)

$$\text{meas}(B(n, \mu, \bar{\mu})) \leq \frac{C_D \gamma \langle \mu - \bar{\mu} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}}{(1+|n|)^{\tau}}$$

Sum over $n \neq 0$, μ satisfying $|n + \bar{\mu}| \leq 2$.

Lemma There exists $\Theta > 0$ such that if (M) is violated,

$$|n| \geq \Theta |\mu - \bar{\mu} \cdot \Omega_{> D}|$$

i.e. $n \cdot \Omega_{\leq D} + (\mu - \bar{\mu}) \cdot \Omega_{> D}$ is small, the two terms must be opposite sign and similar magnitude.

Focus on dangerous case $\mu = \delta_k, \bar{\mu} = \delta_l$.

$$\text{meas} = \sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{k, l} \frac{C_D \gamma \langle \mu - \bar{\mu} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}}{(1+|n|)^{\tau}} \leq \tilde{C}_D \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\gamma n^4}{(1+|n|)^{\tau}} < C \gamma$$

(for $\tau = 3$?)

↑ this sum is finite - only N^2 terms

Remark

this depends on how the linear frequencies grow with j - should be super-linear
 coincident normal frequencies (periodic boundary conditions) or
 almost coincident normal frequencies (higher dimensions) also cause problems.

→ need more KAM theorems.

① What if you have more complicated integrable behavior?
see KAM for KdV - book by Kappeler, Pöschel

② What if there's a continuous spectrum?
unless miraculous cancellation, no KAM theorem
some multiple will couple into continuum \rightarrow decay to radiation

③ Infinite-Dimensional Tori?
very few results
need something much stronger than (M)
results use a nonlinear term that smooths.