

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)

Name: Tony Feng Email/Phone: tonyfeng@stanford.edu

Speaker's Name: Karl Schwede

Talk Title: Birational algebraic geometry in positive characteristic

Date: 2 / 1 / 19 Time: 9:30 am / pm (circle one)

Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences: _____

CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not pay** for **incomplete** forms)

- Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.
 - **Computer Presentations:** Obtain a copy of their presentation
 - **Overhead:** Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
 - **Blackboard:** Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - **Handouts:** Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list.
(YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- Email the re-named files to notes@msri.org with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

BIRATIONAL ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

KARL SCHWEDE

1. WHAT GOES WRONG?

At least 3 things go “wrong” in characteristic p algebraic geometry:

- (1) Resolution of singularities. In characteristic p , we don’t know resolution of singularities for dimension ≥ 4 .
- (2) Bertini theorems (general elements of a basepoint free linear system of a nonsingular variety may be singular).
- (3) Kodaira-type vanishing. (More specifically, Kawamata-Viehweg.)

I’m not going to say anything about getting around the first two issues, but I’ll explain methods for getting around the third one.

2. KODAIRA VANISHING

Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbf{C} . Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X , and $\omega_X = \wedge^{\dim X} \Omega_{X/\mathbf{C}}^1$.

Theorem 2.1 (Kodaira vanishing). $H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

This fails in characteristic $p > 0$. To motivate the replacement, we want to show an argument that uses resolution of singularities to weaken the smoothness hypotheses.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a variety over \mathbf{C} . We say that X has *rational singularities* if

- (1) For any resolution of singularities $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$, we have $\pi_* \omega_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_X$, where ω_X is the canonical bundle in the classical sense.
- (2) X is Cohen-Macaulay.

Remark 2.3. This isn’t the usual definition of rational singularities – we have used Kempf’s criterion to reformulate it.

Theorem 2.4. *If X has rational singularities, and \mathcal{L} is a big and nef line bundle (which would be implied by ampleness), and X is projective, then $H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.*

We will give the proof because it will provide useful motivation.

Proof. Take $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ a resolution of singularities. By definition,

$$H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}) = H^i(X, \pi_* \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}).$$

By Grauert-Remmert vanishing, the higher direct images of $\pi_* \omega_Y$ vanish. So this is the same as $H^i(X, (R\pi_* \omega_Y) \otimes \mathcal{L}) = H^i(Y, \omega_Y \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L})$. Although ampleness is not preserved by pulling back through blowups, the pullback of a big and nef line bundle under blowup is still big and nef. This is actually good enough to get $H^i(Y, \omega_Y \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}) = 0$. \square

Remark 2.5. The sheaf $\pi_* Y$ turns out to be independent of the choice of resolution $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$.

3. CHARACTERISTIC p

In characteristic $p > 0$, Kodaira vanishing can fail even for smooth surfaces.

Let X be projective over k with characteristic $p > 0$, and \mathcal{L} be ample. Can we find a proper surjective map $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ (not necessarily birational) such that

$$H^i(Y, \omega_Y \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0?$$

Now we don't have resolution of singularities, and even if we did, they needn't have this property, since Kodaira vanishing can fail.

But we have a map that does not exist in characteristic 0, namely the Frobenius! We have

$$F: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_* \mathcal{O}_X$$

sending $z \mapsto z^p$. Iterating this, we get $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X$. Noting that $Y = X$, we want

$$H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes F^{e*} \mathcal{L})$$

to vanish. But note that $F^{e*} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{p^e}$. For large enough e , this will vanish by Serre's theorem (in fact this is a characterization of \mathcal{L} being ample!).

Remark 3.1. Deligne observed that this trick could be used to give an algebraic proof of Kodaira vanishing *in characteristic 0*.

Definition 3.2. Let X be projective and smooth. Let L be ample, $z \in X$ and $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be the blowup of X at z . Let E be the exceptional divisor. We define

$$\epsilon(L, z) := \sup\{t > 0 \mid \pi^* L - tE \text{ ample}, t \in \mathbf{Q}\}.$$

If L is (very) ample, then $\pi^* L - tE$ is ample for small t . This is called the *Seshadri constant* at z .

You can think of $\epsilon(L, z)$ as giving some measure of "how positive L is at z ".

Proposition 3.3. *If $\epsilon(L, z) > \dim X$, then $\omega_X \otimes L$ is globally generated at z .*

Proof. If $n = \dim X$, then $\pi^* L - nE$ is ample (hence big and nef). Consider the maps.

$$H^0(\mathfrak{m}_z \otimes \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^0(\omega_X \otimes L) \longrightarrow H^0(\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{m}_x).$$

We want to show that the rightmost map is surjective. The sheaf intervening looks kinda like what it's in Kodaira vanishing, except for the \mathfrak{m}_z . So we try blowing up:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(\mathfrak{m}_z \otimes \omega_X \otimes L) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\omega_X \otimes L) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\omega_X \otimes L/\mathfrak{m}_x) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \sim \uparrow \\ H^0(Y, \omega_Y(\pi^*L - nE)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, \omega_Y(\pi^*L - (n-1)E)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(E, \omega_E(\pi^*L - nE|_E)) \end{array}$$

Use $\omega_Y = \pi^*\omega_X + (n-1)E$ to see why you get the numbers above. Continuing the sequence, we would see $H^1(Y, \omega_Y(\pi^*L - nE))$ which vanishing in characteristic 0 by Kodaira.

In characteristic p , we aren't done yet because we cannot invoke Kodaira vanishing. Let's try to use Frobenius again. Dualizing $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_Y$ we get $F_*^e \omega_Y \rightarrow \omega_Y$, and also $F_*^e \omega_Y(E) \rightarrow \omega_Y(E)$. Hence we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(X, \mathfrak{m}_z \otimes \omega_X \otimes L) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \omega_X \otimes L) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \omega_X \otimes L/\mathfrak{m}_x) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \sim \uparrow \\ H^0(Y, \omega_Y(\pi^*L - nE)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, \omega_Y(\pi^*L - (n-1)E)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(E, \omega_E(\pi^*L - nE|_E)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^0(Y, F_*^e \omega_Y(p^e \pi^*L - p^e nE)) & \rightarrow & H^0(Y, F_*^e \omega_Y(E + p\pi^*L - p^e nE)) & \rightarrow & H^0(E, \omega_E(p^e \pi^*L - p^e nE|_E)) \end{array}$$

and now the obstruction $H^1(Y, F_*^e \omega_Y(p^e \pi^*L - p^e nE)) = 0$ for large enough e by ampleness.

We'll show shortly that since E is a projective space,

$$H^0(E, \omega_E(p^e \pi^*L - p^e nE|_E)) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(E, \omega_E(\pi^*L - nE|_E)).$$

This will complete the proof. \square

4. FROBENIUS SPLITTING

Definition 4.1. A variety X over a perfect field k of characteristic p is *Frobenius-split* (or “ F -split”) if the map $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_* \mathcal{O}_X$ splits. (This implies that $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X$ splits for all e .)

Proposition 4.2. *Toric varieties (including \mathbf{P}^n) are Frobenius split.*

Proof. We'll give the argument for $X = \text{Spec } k[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$. The splitting $\varphi: F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ will take

$$x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n} \mapsto x_1^{\lambda_1/p^e} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n/p^e}$$

where our convention is that $x_i^{\lambda_i/p^e} = 0$ if $\lambda_i/p^e \notin \mathbf{Z}$. \square

It is clear that if X is Frobenius split and \mathcal{L} is any line bundle on X , then

- (1) $H^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \hookrightarrow H^i(X, F_*^e \mathcal{L}^{p^e})$ is injective, and
- (2) $H^i(X, F_*^e(\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^{p^e})) \rightarrow H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L})$ is surjective.

Remark 4.3. If X is Frobenius-split, then Kodaira vanishing holds for X since

$$H^i(X, F_*^e \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^{p^e}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}).$$

Example 4.4. For abelian varieties, “Frobenius split” is equivalent to “ordinary”. Varieties of general type are never Frobenius split. Indeed, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}om(F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) &\cong \mathcal{H}om(F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X(p^e K_X), \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) \\ &\cong F_*^e \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_X(p^e K_X), \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) \\ &\cong F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X((1 - p^e)K_X). \end{aligned}$$

But if X is of general type, $\mathcal{O}_X((1 - p^e)K_X)$ cannot have global sections for large e .