

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)

Name: Tony Feng Email/Phone: tonyfeng@stanford.edu

Speaker's Name: Janos Kollar

Talk Title: Moduli of canonical models

Date: 1 / 31 / 19 Time: 11 : 00 am pm (circle one)

Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences: Introduction to Moduli spaces

CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not pay** for **incomplete** forms)

- Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.
 - **Computer Presentations:** Obtain a copy of their presentation
 - **Overhead:** Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
 - **Blackboard:** Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - **Handouts:** Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list.
(YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- Email the re-named files to notes@msri.org with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

Moduli spaces of algebraic varieties I

János Kollár

Princeton University

January, 2019

Main question, old style

- Can we parametrize all varieties in a natural way?

Main questions, new style

- What is a “good family” of algebraic varieties?
- Can we describe all “good families” in an “optimal” manner?

Moduli of curves, analytic theory I

Riemann (1857), *Theorie der Abel'schen Funktionen*

Riemann surfaces of genus g depend on $3g - 3$ parameters

Fricke–Klein (1897-1912), *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der automorphen Funktionen*, (1300 pp.)

T_g exists and is contractible:

$T_g =$ discrete, cocompact representations

$\pi_1(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{Aut}(\text{unit disc})$, modulo conjugation

complex structure not natural, not considered much

Siegel (1935), construction of A_g as analytic space

very precise, modern feel, mostly arithmetic

Moduli of curves, analytic theory II

Teichmüller (1940–44), complete theory of T_g

complex structure + functorial aspects

Weil (1958), Bourbaki seminar: “As for M_g there is virtually no doubt that it can be provided with the structure of an algebraic variety”

Grothendieck (1960), Cartan Seminar,
 T_g represents a functor (based on Teichmüller?)
projective families over analytic bases

worth reading: A'Campo-Ji-Papadopoulos:

On the early history of moduli and Teichmüller spaces

Moduli of curves, algebraic theory I

Cayley (1862), *A new analytic representation of curves in space*, Constructs moduli of space curves.

$C \mapsto$ (all lines meeting C)

General theory: van der Waerden, Chow, Hodge-Pedoe

Hilbert (1890), *Über die Theorie der algebraischen Formen*, finite generation of rings of invariants

(“Theologie” according to Gordan)

BUT: nobody seems to have taken its Proj

Hurwitz (1891), *Über Riemann'sche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten*, M_g is irreducible

Moduli of curves, algebraic theory II

Severi (1915), *Sulla classificazione delle curve algebriche e sul teorema d'esistenza di Riemann*,

M_g unirational for $g \leq 10$

existence? not clear what he thinks

uses the word “Mannigfaltigkeiten” (after Riemann)
not “varietà”

Claim: there is a family over a rational variety that gives almost all curves of a fixed genus.

Weil, Matsusaka (1946–56) field of definition/field of moduli

M_g, A_g should be defined over \mathbb{Z} , so

$k_C :=$ residue field of $[C] \in M_g$.

Aim: finding k_C from C (without knowing M_g).

Moduli of curves, algebraic theory II

Satake (1956-60), Baily-Borel (1966), Compactifying A_g ,
not yet as a moduli space: points at infinity are
lower dimensional Abelian varieties
may have been a serious stumbling block
solved by V. Alexeev

Example – Hypersurfaces

- $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d .
- Equation: $\sum_I a_I x^I = 0$ where
 $I = (i_0, \dots, i_n)$ and $i_0 + \dots + i_n = d$.

Classical claim. All degree d hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n
“naturally” form a projective space \mathbb{P}^N where $N = \binom{n+d}{n} - 1$:

$$X_d = (\sum_I a_I x^I = 0) \leftrightarrow \{a_I\}.$$

- works over any field
- counts multiplicities
- similar: hypersurface sections of any $Y^n \subset \mathbb{P}^M$.

Hypersurfaces with coordinate changes

Claim. Let $X_i \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be hypersurfaces and $\phi : X_1 \cong X_2$ an isomorphism. Then ϕ extends to a linear coordinate change $\Phi : \mathbb{P}^n \cong \mathbb{P}^n$, except possibly in the following cases

- $n = 1$
- $n = 2$ and $\deg X_i \leq 3$ (Castelnuovo, Serrano)
- $n = 3$ and $\deg X_i = 4$ (needs Lefschetz)

Determinantal examples

$W \subset \mathbf{P}_x^n \times \mathbf{P}_y^n$: intersection of $n + 1$ bidegree $(1, 1)$:
$$\sum_{ijk} a_{ij}^k x_i y_j = 0.$$

Projections:

$$W_x = (\det(\sum_i a_{ij}^k x_i) = 0) \subset \mathbf{P}_x^n \text{ and}$$

$$W_y = (\det(\sum_j a_{ij}^k y_j) = 0) \subset \mathbf{P}_y^n.$$

Oguiso: For $n = 3$ we get smooth degree 4 surfaces,
that are not even Cremona equivalent.

One should study:

$$\text{Hyp}_{d,n} := \{\text{Hypersurfaces of degree } d \text{ in } \mathbb{P}^n\} / \text{PGL}_{n+1}.$$

$\text{Hyp}_{d,n}$ is a horrible space

Closure of a subset $U \subset \text{Hyp}_{d,n}$:

given $X_t := (F(x_0, \dots, x_n; t) = 0)$,
if $[X_t] \in U$ for $t \neq 0$ then $[X_0] \in \bar{U}$.

Fix $X := F(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ and let

$F(x, t) := F(x_0, \dots, x_r, tx_{r+1}, \dots, tx_n)$.

- $X_t \cong X$ for $t \neq 0$ and
- $X_0 = F(x_0, \dots, x_r, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Corollary. $[(x_0^d = 0)]$ is the only closed point of $\text{Hyp}_{d,n}$.

Trying to fix it

- $\text{Hyp}_{d,n}^{\text{reduced}}$
only closed points are $[F(x_0, x_1, 0, \dots, 0) = 0]$.
- $\text{Hyp}_{d,n}^{\text{normal}}$
only closed points are $[F(x_0, x_1, x_2, 0, \dots, 0) = 0]$.

these are: cones with large singular set.

- $\text{Hyp}_{d,n}^{\text{isolated, non-cone}}$ example:

$$X_t := (x_0^{d/2} + t^{d/2} x_1^{d/2}) x_1^{d/2} + x_2^d + \dots + x_n^d$$

- $X_t \cong X_1$ if $t \neq 0$ (apply $(x_0, x_1) \mapsto (tx_0, t^{-1}x_1)$)
1 isolated singularity
- X_0 : 2 isolated singularities of multiplicity $d/2$.

Mori example

Consider $\deg G(x) = d$, $\deg F(x) = de$, $\deg z = d$ and

$$X_t := (z^e - F(x) = G(x) - tz = 0).$$

- for $t \neq 0$: X_t smooth hypersurface of degree de

$$X_t := (G^e(x) - t^e F(x) = 0).$$

- for $t = 0$: X_0 is not a hypersurface but a degree e cover of $(G(x) = 0)$ ramified along $(F(x) = 0)$.

Question. Any prime degree examples for $\dim \geq 3$?
Ottem-Schreieder: no for degrees 5 and 7.

Enter Mumford

“When [Zariski] spoke the words algebraic variety, there was a certain resonance in his voice that said distinctly that he was looking into a secret garden. I immediately wanted to be able to do this too ... Especially, I became obsessed with a kind of passion flower in this garden, the moduli spaces of Riemann.”

Nomen est omen

Providential notation: M_g

short for Mumford_g

Structure: DM -stack

David Mumford-stack*

* *apologies to Deligne*

Mumford's main ideas

Geometric invariant theory

Construction of M_g : Take 5-canonical embedding $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{9g-10}$ and then quotient by PGL_{9g-9} .

Stable curves (with Deligne) Compactifying by stable curves gives a very nice \bar{M}_g .

Cohomology of M_g : This is a non-linear analog of Grassmannians. So $H^*(M_\infty, \mathbb{Z})$ governs many enumerative questions.

Geometric Invariant Theory of Hypersurfaces

There is a notion of stability.

- $\text{Hyp}_{d,n}^{\text{stable}}$ is as nice as possible:
noncompact, nearly smooth algebraic variety, and
- $\text{Hyp}_{d,n}^{\text{semistable}}$ is less nice but
compact algebraic variety.

Good property: smooth \Rightarrow stable.

Bad properties:

- no idea what else is stable if $d \geq 4$
- semi-stable points correspond to many different hypersurfaces.

Genus 2 curves or $\text{Hyp}_{6,1}$

- C : smooth, projective curve of genus 2
- C : smooth, compact Riemann surface of genus 2

Structure theorem. There is a unique $\tau : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree 2 ramified at 6 points.

Affine equation: $z^2 = f_6(x)$ (no multiple roots)

Corollary. M_2 , the set/space of all smooth, projective curves of genus 2 is

- $\{6 \text{ points in } \mathbb{P}^1\}/\text{PGL}_2$, equivalently
- $(\text{Sym}^6 \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \text{diagonals})/\text{PGL}_2$.

Compactifying M_2

Typical example: 4-fold root for $t = 0$:

$$f_6(x:y, t) = (x - ta_1y) \cdots (x - ta_4y)(x - a_5y)(x - a_6y)$$

Coordinate change $x = tx', y = y'$ and dividing by t^4 :

$$(x' - a_1y') \cdots (x' - a_4y')(tx' - a_5y')(tx' - a_6y')$$

which has only 2-fold root.

Lemma. Same trick achieves: at most triple root at $t = 0$.

Triple root case: $x^3(x - a_4y)(x - a_5y)(x - a_6y)$.

$x = tx', y = y'$ and dividing by $t^3 a_4 a_5 a_6$ we get

$$(x')^3 \left(\frac{t}{a_4} x' - y'\right) \left(\frac{t}{a_5} x' - y'\right) \left(\frac{t}{a_6} x' - y'\right).$$

For $t = 0$ this becomes

$(x')^3 (y')^3$: two triple roots.

GIT compactification \bar{M}_2^{GIT}

Points correspond to:

- : two triple roots (unique point) and
- : at most double roots.

Corresponding curves:

- : $z^2 = x^3(x-1)^3$ rational with 2 cusps.
- : at most double roots $z^2 = f_6(x)$.

Irreducible with at most nodes, except:

- $z^2 = x^2(x-1)^2(x+1)^2$. Contract one of the components:
rational with 1 triple point like the 3 coordinate axes.

End of old style story.

\bar{M}_2^{GIT} is an unpleasant compactification.

- Stacky problem at $z^2 = x^2(x-1)^2(x+1)^2$.
- Local universal families:

At 2 cusp point $z^2 = x^3(x-1)^3$, deformations are

$$z^2 = (x^3 + ux + v)((x-1)^3 + s(x-1) + t).$$

Problem: $(u = v = 0)$ or $(s = t = 0)$ define disallowed curves.

Deligne–Mumford compactification \bar{M}_2

- at most double roots $z^2 = f_6(x)$: keep these
- $z^2 = x^2(x-1)^2(x+1)^2$: keep as is.
- $z^2 = x^3(x-1)^3$ **change to:**

double cover of pair of intersecting lines,
ramified at 3+3 pts plus the node:
= two elliptic curves meeting at a point.

Source of triple root problem: 3 **choices**

- contract one elliptic curve
- contract other elliptic curve
- blow up intersection point and contract both