

17 Gauss Way Berkeley, CA 94720-5070 p: 510.642.0143 f: 510.642.8609 www.msri.org

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)

Name:	Tony Feng	Email/Phone:	tonyfeng@stanford.edu
Speaker	Benjamin Antie	eau	
DAG III: examples			
Date:/ / Time:11 : @m/ pm (circle one)			
Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences:			

CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not pay** for **incomplete** forms)

- □ Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.
 - <u>Computer Presentations</u>: Obtain a copy of their presentation
 - **Overhead**: Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
 - <u>Blackboard</u>: Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - <u>Handouts</u>: Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list.
 (YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- □ Email the re-named files to <u>notes@msri.org</u> with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

DAG III: EXAMPLES

BEN ANTIEAU

1. PICARD STACKS

Let $\underline{\text{Pic}} = B \operatorname{GL}_1$ and $\underline{\text{Br}} = B^2 \operatorname{GL}_1$. (In other language, $\underline{\text{Pic}}$ classifies GL_1 -torsors and $\underline{\text{Br}}$ classifies GL_1 -gerbes.)

Remark 1.1. For a discrete ring R, $\underline{\operatorname{Br}}(R)$ is valued in 2-truncated spaces $\mathcal{S}_{\leq 2}$. This shows that it cannot be represented by an Artin stack, as Artin stacks are valued in groupoids.

Example 1.2. Let $\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-4)[1])$. Let's try to compute <u>Br</u>(\mathcal{X}). There is a hypercohomology spectral sequence that can be used to understand this.

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Shv}_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(\text{dAff}_k)$. We define the *k*th *étale homotopy sheaf* $\pi_k^{\text{\acute{e}t}}(\mathcal{F})$ of X to be the étale sheafification of $U \hookrightarrow \pi_k(\mathcal{F}(U))$.

In these terms, we have

$$\pi_i^{\text{\'et}}(\underline{\operatorname{Br}}) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & i > 0, 1 \\ \mathbf{G}_m & i = 2 \\ \pi_{i-2}(\mathcal{O}) & i \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

Here by $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ we mean $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-4)[1]$, viewed as a ring by the square-zero extension structure. By definition, $\pi_0 \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}$ and $\pi_1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-4)$.

There is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{st} = H^s_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(\mathcal{X}, \pi_t^{\acute{e}t}\underline{\mathrm{Br}}) \implies \pi_{t-s}\underline{\mathrm{Br}}(\mathcal{X})$$

The differentials have bidegree $|d_r| = (r, r-1)$, hence the spectral sequence collapses on E_2 in our case.

For t = 3, we get

$$H^{0}(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}(-4)) = 0,$$

$$H^{1}(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}(-4)) = 0,$$

$$H^{1}(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}(-4)) = 0,$$

$$H^{3}(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}(-4)) \approx k.$$

Date: February 4, 2019.

For t = 2, we get

$$H^{0}(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathbf{G}_{m}) \cong k^{\times}$$
$$H^{1}(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathbf{G}_{m}) \cong \mathbf{Z},$$
$$H^{2}(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathbf{G}_{m}) \cong \operatorname{Br}(k)$$

Hence we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \underbrace{k}_{H^{3}(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}(-4))} \to \pi_{0}\underline{\mathrm{Br}}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{Br}(k) \to 0$$

and we similarly see $\pi_1 \underline{\operatorname{Br}}(\mathcal{X}) \cong \operatorname{Pic}(\mathbf{P}^3) \cong \mathbf{Z}, \ \pi_2(\underline{\operatorname{Br}}(\mathcal{X})) \cong k^{\times}.$

Theorem 1.4 (Toën). Every class in $\pi_0 \operatorname{Br}(X)$ is represented by a derived Azumaya algebra.

2. Moduli of objects

Let k be a commutative ring and A be a dg algebra over k.

Definition 2.1. We say A is hfp ("homotopically finitely presented") if $A \in Alg_k^{\omega}$ (the $^{\omega}$ notation means categorically compact objects).

Example 2.2. If P is a perfect complex over k, then its tensor algebra T(P) is hfp.

Example 2.3. Let X/k be smooth and projective. Then $D_{qc}(X) \cong D(A)$ where A is hfp.

Definition 2.4. For $R \in \mathrm{sCAlg}_k$, let $\mathcal{M}_A(R)$ be the category of representations of A in $\mathrm{Perf}(R)$, i.e. $\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{exact}}(\mathrm{Perf}(A), \mathrm{Perf}(R))$. (Here all categories are regarded as stable ∞ -categories.)

Remark 2.5. Since $\operatorname{Perf}(A)$ is generated by A, a functor in $\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{exact}}(\operatorname{Perf}(A), \operatorname{Perf}(R))$ can be identified with $P \in \operatorname{Perf}(R)$ which has an A-action.

Example 2.6. Let X/k be smooth and proper. Then $\mathcal{M}_X \cong \mathcal{M}_A$ where A is such that $D_{qc}(X) \cong \mathcal{D}(A)$. We have $\mathcal{M}_X(R) = \operatorname{Perf}(X_R)$.

Definition 2.7. For any category C, let $\iota C \subset C$ be the maximal subgroupoid. Let $M_A = \iota \mathcal{M}_A$.

Now, $\pi_0 M_R$ is equivalent to the category of isomorphic classes of objects in the triangulated category Ho $(M_A(R))$.

For $P \in \pi_0(M_R)$, a perfect *R*-module with an action of *A*, we have

$$\pi_i(M_A(R), P) \cong \begin{cases} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ho}(M_A(R))}(P, P)^{\times} & i = 1\\ \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{Ho}(M_A(R))}^{1-i}(P, P) & i \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.8 (Toen-Vaquié). Let A be hfp over k.

- (1) M_A is locally geometric and lfp.
- (2) For Spec $R \xrightarrow{P} M_A$, we have

 $P^* \mathbf{L}_{M_A} \cong R \operatorname{Hom}(P, P)^{\vee} [-1].$

Proof. We have a map $M_A \to M_k$ that forgets the A-action. This is representable, so for the purpose of the proof we can replace A by k.

Let $M_k^{[a,b]}(R) \subset M_k(R)$ be the subcategory of perfect *R*-modules with Toramplitude in the range [a,b]. It is true that

$$\varinjlim M_k^{[a,b]} \cong M_k$$

(ultimately coming from quasicompactness of Spec R).

(1) Next, we use that

$$M_k^{[0,0]} = \coprod_{n \ge 0} \mathrm{BGL}_n \,.$$

(2) Assume that $M_k^{[a,b]}$ is geometric for every $b-a \leq n-1$, for $n \geq 1$. We want to understand $Q \in M_k^{[a,b]}$. We can make a triangle

$$C \to P \to Q \to C[1]$$

where $C \in [0, n-1]$, $P \in [0, 0]$ surjects onto Q in H_0 . This lets us induct. (3) Consider the diagram

$$U \xrightarrow{U} \iota \operatorname{Fun}(\Delta^{1}, M_{k}) \downarrow \downarrow$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$M_{k}^{[0,n-1]} \times M_{k}^{[0,0]} \longrightarrow \iota \operatorname{Fun}(\partial \Delta^{1}, M_{k}) \cong M_{k} \times M_{k}$$

What is the fiber of U over a pair $(C, P) \in M_k^{[0,n-1]} \times M_k^{[0,0]}$? We need to give a morphism $C \to P$, which is a point of $C^{\vee} \otimes P$, so the fiber is Spec Sym $(C^{\vee} \otimes P)$. So the morphism $U \to M_k^{[0,n-1]} \times M_k^{[0,0]}$ is geometric, hence (by induction) U is geometric. We claim that the map $U \to M_k^{[0,n]}$ (obtained by gluing C and P) is smooth and surjective.

Rather than give the details, we point out an example: if P = k, C = k[n-1], then $U = B^{n-1}\mathbf{G}_a$.

3. Derived Picard Stack

Let X/k be smooth and proper, geometrically connected, and *d*-dimensional. Let $\underline{\operatorname{dPic}}_X(R) \subset M_X(R)$ be the subcategory of invertible objects in $\operatorname{Perf}(X_R)$. Exercise: show that this is open. Our formalism implies that it is geometric.

 $\mathbf{L}_{\underline{\mathrm{dPic}}_X,\mathscr{L}[d]} \cong R\Gamma(X,\mathcal{O}_X)^{\vee}[-1]$ is concentrated in degrees [-1,d-1], which tells us that it is represented by a derived Artin stack.

The adjunction $\mathrm{sCAlg}_k \leftrightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_k$ induces

$$Shv_{\acute{e}t}(dAff_k) \leftarrow Shv_{\acute{e}t}(Aff_k) : cl_*$$
$$Shv_{\acute{e}t}(dAff_k) \leftarrow Shv_{\acute{e}t}(Aff_k) : cl_!$$
$$cl^* : Shv_{\acute{e}t}(dAff_k) \rightarrow Shv_{\acute{e}t}(Aff_k)$$

BEN ANTIEAU

For example, for $R \in \mathrm{sCAlg}_k$ and $S \in \mathrm{sCAlg}_k$, we have

- (i) cl^* Spec $R \cong$ Spec $\pi_0 R$,
- (ii) $cl_!$ Spec S = Spec S, and
- (iii) cl_* Spec $S(R) = \text{Hom}(S, \pi_0(R)).$

We have $cl^* d\operatorname{Pic}_X \cong \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Pic}_{X/k}$, where *n* tracks the homological degree. The map to $\pi_0^{\text{ét}}$ looks like the disjoint union of the classical Picard schemes. The fiber of $cl^* d\operatorname{Pic}_X \to \pi_0^{\text{ét}} cl^* d\operatorname{Pic}_X$ is $B\mathbf{G}_m$.

What about the derived picture? The map $dPic_X$ to $\pi_0^{\text{ét}}dPic_X$ has fiber $BGL_{1,R\Gamma(X,\mathcal{O}_X)}$. This is the sheafification of the presheaf $R \mapsto BGL_1(R\Gamma(X,\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes^L R)$.

What we want is more like $dPic_X \rightarrow dPic_X / BGL_1$ whose fiber is BGL₁.

4