

17 Gauss Way	Berkeley, CA 94720-5070	p: 510.642.0143	f: 510.642.8609	www.msri.org
NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM				
(Complete one for each talk.)				
Tony Feng		tonyfeng@stanford.edu Email/Phone:		
Sam Raskin Speaker's Name:				
Talk Title: The notion of singular support in DAG and its applications II				
Date:// Time:: am / ஹ (circle one)				
Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences:				

CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not pay** for **incomplete** forms)

- □ Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.
 - <u>Computer Presentations</u>: Obtain a copy of their presentation
 - **Overhead**: Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
 - <u>Blackboard</u>: Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - Handouts: Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list.
 (YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- □ Email the re-named files to <u>notes@msri.org</u> with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

THE NOTION OF SINGULAR SUPPORT IN DAG AND ITS APPLICATIONS II

SAM RASKIN

1. Generalizations of discussion from last time

Last time we explained that for a hypersurface $X = \{f = 0\}$ in a smooth Y, and $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{QCoh}(X)$ or $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{IndCoh}(X)$, we have an operator $\eta \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}[2]$. Furthermore, we had the characterization: $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{QCoh}(X) \subset \operatorname{IndCoh}(X)$ if and only if η is locally nilpotent, i.e. $\operatorname{colim} \mathcal{F}[2n] = 0$.

We will now consider a generalization to the case where X is the vanishing locus of several functions f_1, \ldots, f_r in a smooth ambient Y. A generalization of the results from last time: there are natural

$$\eta_i \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}[2]$$

The η_i 's commute in some sense, and $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{QCoh}(X)$ if and only if each η_i acts locally nilpotently.

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbf{P}^{r-1}$ be a closed subvariety. We then get a subcategory $\operatorname{IndCoh}_{\mathcal{N}}(X) \subset$ IndCoh(X) as follows. Let $I \subset k[\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_r]$ the graded ideal corresponding to \mathcal{N} . Then IndCoh $_{\mathcal{N}}(X)$ is the full subcategory of \mathcal{F} such that all $\alpha \in I$ act locally nilpotently on \mathcal{F} , via the canonical map

$$k[\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_r] \to \bigoplus_n \underline{\operatorname{End}}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}[n]).$$

The assignment $\mathcal{N} \to \operatorname{IndCoh}_{\mathcal{N}}(X)$ is containment-preserving; in particular we have

$$\operatorname{QCoh}(X) = \operatorname{IndCoh}_{\emptyset}(X) \subseteq \operatorname{IndCoh}_{\mathcal{N}}(X) \subseteq \operatorname{IndCoh}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(X) = \operatorname{IndCoh}(X)$$

Our next goal is to generalize this to a setting without coordinates.

Remark 1.1. The smoothness of Y is essential. In the proof, we used this when we say that the pushforward of a coherent complex on X is perfect on Y.

2. VARIOUS CONSTRUCTIONS

2.1. Hochschild cohomology. Let \mathcal{C} be a DG category. Let $Z(\mathcal{C}) = \underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{C})}(\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{C}})$, where $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{C})$ is the monoidal DG category of DG functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$.

Then $Z(\mathcal{C})$ is a DG algebra. Since $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the unit in $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{C})$ for the composition, we get that $Z(\mathcal{C})$ is an algebra object in the category of algebras, i.e. an " E_2 -algebra".

Date: February 5, 2019.

Concretely, this means that $H^*(Z(\mathcal{C}))$ is a graded commutative algebra. This $Z(\mathcal{C})$ is called the *Hochschild cohomology* of \mathcal{C} .

How should we think about this? Suppose you have $\eta \in Z(\mathcal{C}) = \underline{\operatorname{End}}(\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{C}})$. Then η can be thought of as a collection of maps $\eta \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$, natural in \mathcal{F} .

Similarly, $\eta \in Z(\mathcal{C})[n]$ can be thought of as $\eta: \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}[n]$ inside $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{C})$, i.e. a collection of $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}[n]$ for all \mathcal{F} , natural in \mathcal{F} .

Example 2.1. If X is a suitably finite DG scheme, then we define $Z(X) := Z(\operatorname{QCoh}(X))$, and $Z(X) \cong Z(\operatorname{IndCoh}(X))$. The idea is that if you have a functor $\eta \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}[n]$ for all $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{QCoh}(X)$, then you get such a functor for each $\mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, and then for all $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{IndCoh}(X)$.

2.2. The Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg map. The HKR map goes

$$\Gamma(X, T_X[-1]) \to Z(X)$$

where $T_X \in \operatorname{QCoh}(X)$ is the tangent complex (dual to the cotangent complex).

Here is a construction of this map. Let X be a DG scheme. We form " $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ " as some kind of group DG ind-scheme. Whatever this is, we should have an action of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ on $\operatorname{QCoh}(X)$.

Let G be a group DG ind-scheme. (It doesn't really matter that G is a group.) There is a construction $\Omega G = \text{pt} \times_G \text{pt}$, where the fiber product is taken in the derived sense. You could think of this as $\text{Aut}_G(\text{pt})$.

Then $\Omega \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is "automorphisms of the identity automorphism of X", hence acts on $\operatorname{Id}_{\operatorname{Aut}(X)}$. By transport of structure, it then acts on $\operatorname{Id}_{\operatorname{QCoh}(X)}$.

Passing to Lie algebras, we get

 $\operatorname{Lie}(\Omega \operatorname{Aut}(X)) \to \underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{QCoh}(X))}(\operatorname{Id}_{\operatorname{QCoh}(X)}) = Z(X).$

Here $\operatorname{Lie}(\Omega \operatorname{Aut}(X))$ is the tangent complex to $\Omega \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ at Id. Using the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega \operatorname{Aut}(X) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{pt} \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & \operatorname{pt} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Aut}(X) \end{array}$$

we compute $\operatorname{Lie}(\Omega \operatorname{Aut}(X)) = T_{\operatorname{Aut}(X)}[-1] = \Gamma(X, T_X)[-1].$

2.3. The hypersurface case. Let $X = \{f = 0\} \subset Y$. In other words, we have a fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} Y \\ \downarrow^{q} & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^{1} \end{array}$$

We have a map

$$T_{X/Y} \to T_X \to i^* T_Y \xrightarrow{+1}$$

and

$$T_{X/Y} = q^* T_{0/\mathbf{A}^1} = \mathcal{O}_X[-1].$$

Hence we get a map $\mathcal{O}_X[-1] \to T_X$, which we can think of alternatively as $\xi \in \Gamma(X, T_X[1])$.

By the preceding discussion, we have $\Gamma(X, T_X[-1]) \to Z(X)$ and ξ is a class in degree 2, i.e. a point of $\Gamma(X, T_X[-1])[2]$, and $\xi \mapsto \eta \in Z(X)[2]$.

3. Singular support

We will now give a "coordinate-free" approach.

Given a DG scheme X, we can make a reduced (hence classical by definition) scheme Sing(X).

If X is affine, we define

$$\operatorname{Sing}(X) := \operatorname{Spec}\left(\bigoplus_{n} H^{2n}(Z(X))\right)^{\operatorname{red}}.$$

We then define

$$\mathbf{P}\mathrm{Sing}(X) := \mathrm{Proj}\left(\bigoplus_{n} H^{2n}(Z(X))\right)^{\mathrm{red}}.$$

We're going to give a key example where this is computable.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a finite type DG scheme. We say that X is lci (or quasismooth) if Ω^1_X (the cotangent complex) is Zariski-locally of the form $\text{Cone}(P_1 \rightarrow P_2)$ where $P_i \in \text{QCoh}(X)$ are projective, meaning locally direct summands of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}$ (note that no shifts are allowed!).

Example 3.2. If X is smooth, meaning Ω^1_X is projective, then X is lci.

Example 3.3. If X arises as a fibered product of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W & \longrightarrow Z \end{array}$$

and Y, Z, W are smooth then X is lci (a simple calculation of the cotangent complex).

Fact 3.4. If X is lci, then étale locally $X \cong 0 \times_{\mathbf{A}^n} \mathbf{A}^m$ for some $f \colon \mathbf{A}^m \to \mathbf{A}^n$.

Remark 3.5. For any classical affine scheme X, there is an lci derived scheme X with X as its underlying classical scheme, by choosing a presentation of X of the above form and taking the derived fibered product instead.

Fact 3.6. For X lci affine,

$$\operatorname{Sing}(X) = \operatorname{Spec}\left(\operatorname{Sym} H^1(X^{\operatorname{cl}}, T_X|_{X^{\operatorname{cl}}})\right)^{\operatorname{red}}.$$

The cotangent complex goes towards negative degrees and the tangent complex goes towards positive degrees. So $H^1(X^{\text{cl}}, T_X|_{X^{\text{cl}}})$ is the highest cohomology group, and measures the failure of X to be smooth.

SAM RASKIN

Remark 3.7. Think of Sing(X) as analogous to the cotangent bundle of a smooth variety.

Example 3.8. If $X = Y \times_V 0$, for V a finite-dimensional vector space and Y smooth with $f: Y \to V$, we have $\text{Sing}(X) \subset X^{\text{red}} \times V^*$.

For $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbf{P}\mathrm{Sing}(X)$, we define a subcategory $\mathrm{Ind}\mathrm{Coh}_{\mathcal{N}}(X) \subset \mathrm{Ind}\mathrm{Coh}(X)$. Corresponding to \mathcal{N} is a graded ideal $I \subset H^*(Z(X))$ and $\mathrm{Ind}\mathrm{Coh}_{\mathcal{N}}(X) \subset \mathrm{Ind}\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ is the subcategory where homogeneous elements of I act locally nilpotently.

Example 3.9. If $\mathcal{N} = \mathbf{P}\operatorname{Sing}(X)$ then $\operatorname{IndCoh}_{\mathcal{N}}(X) = \operatorname{IndCoh}(X)$.

Example 3.10. If $\mathcal{N} = \emptyset$ then $\operatorname{IndCoh}_{\mathcal{N}}(X) = \operatorname{QCoh}(X)$. To see this, one reduces to the global complete intersection case by étale descent, and then it follows from our earlier discussion.