

11

17 Gauss Way Berkeley, CA 94720-5070 p: 510.642.0143 f: 510.642.8609 www.msri.org

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)					
O OF L AT					

Name: ()ri Katz	Email/Phone:	ORIK	ATZ.OK	6 gm	nik. Com
Speaker's Name: Margare	+ Beck				
Talk Title: Stability	for PDEs	the	Mas lou	index	& spatial
Date: <u>10,09,18</u>	Time: <u>10 : 30</u> am / pr	n (circle one)			dynamics
Please summarize the lecture in 5 o	or fewer sentences: Bo	ek discu	sses recent	work that	+

suggests both that the Maslow index Could be an important tool for understanding stability in symplectic systems, particularly in multidimensional systems, & suggests a possible anglogue of spatial dynamics in the multi-dimensional stang.

CHECK LIST

(This is NOT optional, we will not pay for incomplete forms)

Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.

Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.

- Computer Presentations: Obtain a copy of their presentation
 - <u>Overhead</u>: Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
 - <u>Blackboard</u>: Take blackboard notes in black or blue PEN. We will NOT accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - Handouts: Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- □ For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list. (YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- □ Email the re-named files to <u>notes@msri.org</u> with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

Stability, the Maslov Index, and Spatial Dynamics

Margaret Beck Boston University

joint work with Graham Cox (MUN), Chris Jones (UNC), Yuri Latushkin (Missouri), Kelly McQuighan (Google), Alim Sukhtayev (Miami)

MSRI, October 9, 2018

Stability for PDEs

General framework:

 $w_t = \mathcal{F}(w), \qquad arphi = ext{stationary solution of interest}, \qquad \mathcal{F}(arphi) = 0$

Analyze behavior of perturbations: $w(x,t) = \varphi(x) + u(x,t)$ with u(x,0) small

$$u_t = \mathcal{L}u + \mathcal{N}(u), \qquad \mathcal{L} = D\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$$

Stability of φ : does the perturbation $u(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ (or stay small $\forall t$)?

Types of stability:

- Spectral stability: $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{L}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < 0$?
- Linear stability: $u_t = \mathcal{L}u \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|u(t)\| \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty?$
- Nonlinear stability: $u_t = \mathcal{L}u + \mathcal{N}(u) \implies ||u(t)|| \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty?$

Focus on spectral stability for this talk.

Example to keep in mind

Reaction diffusion equation with gradient nonlinearity:

$$w_t = \Delta w + \nabla G(w), \qquad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad w \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad G : \mathbb{R}^n o \mathbb{R}^n$$

Solution of interest: localized stationary solution

$$0 = \Delta \varphi + \nabla G(\varphi), \qquad \lim_{|x| \to \partial \Omega} \varphi(x) = 0$$

Perturbation Ansatz: $w(x, t) = \varphi(x) + u(x, t)$

$$u_t = \mathcal{L}u + \mathcal{N}(u)$$
$$\mathcal{L}u = \Delta u + \nabla^2 G(\varphi(x))u$$
$$\mathcal{N}(u) = \nabla G(\varphi + u) - \nabla G(\varphi) - \nabla^2 G(\varphi(x))u = \mathcal{O}(u^2).$$

Spectral stability: $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) = \sigma_{ess}(\mathcal{L}) \cup \sigma_{pt}(\mathcal{L})$

- Essential spectrum relatively easy to compute; assume it is stable.
- Are there unstable eigenvalues?

Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda u &= u_{xx} + g''(\varphi(x))u = \mathcal{L}u, \qquad x \in (a, b) \\ u(a) &= u(b) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Prüfer coordinates: define (r, θ) via

$$u(x;\lambda) = r(x;\lambda)\sin\theta(x;\lambda), \qquad u'(x;\lambda) = r(x;\lambda)\cos\theta(x;\lambda)$$

To obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} r' &=& r(1+\lambda-g''(\varphi(x)))\cos\theta\sin\theta\\ \theta' &=& \cos^2\theta+(g''(\varphi(x))-\lambda)\sin^2\theta \end{array}$$

Observe:

• $\{r = 0\}$ is invariant, so for a nontrivial solution,

$$u(x; \lambda) = 0$$
 if and only if $\theta = j\pi, j \in \mathbb{Z}$

• For $\lambda \ll -1$, $\theta' > 0$, so solutions will be forced to oscillate

Let $\theta(a; \lambda) = 0$ be the "initial condition", evolve in x; is $\theta(b; \lambda) \in \{j\pi\}$? If so, this corresponds to an eigenfunction with eigenvalue λ .

Looking for eigenfunctions and eigenvalues via

$$heta' = \cos^2 heta + (g''(\varphi(x)) - \lambda) \sin^2 heta, \qquad x \in (a, b)$$

- Initial condition: $\theta(a; \lambda) = 0$; flow forward and see if $\theta(b; \lambda) \in \{j\pi\}$
- For some λ ≪ −1 there must be an eigenvalue. Fix such a λ_k: θ(b; λ_k) = (k + 1)π.
- Increase λ until you again land in $\{j\pi\}$, which is the eigenvalue λ_{k-1} .



• Process stops at largest λ_0 ; θ no longer can complete one half-rotation

Using these ideas one can show:

$$\lambda u = u_{xx} + g''(\varphi(x))u = \mathcal{L}u, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

• There exists a decreasing sequence of simple eigenvalues

$$g''(arphi(\infty)) \quad \lambda_k \quad \lambda_{k-1} \cdots \quad \lambda_0$$

- Corresponding eigenfunctions $u_k(x)$ have k simple zeros Quickly conclude the pulse is unstable: $u_t = u_{xx} + g'(u)$
 - Observe that

$$\partial_x [0 = \varphi_{xx} + g'(\varphi)] \qquad 0 = (\varphi')_{xx} + g''(\varphi)\varphi' = \mathcal{L}\varphi'$$

• Qualitatively, φ and φ' look like



• Therefore, $\varphi' = u_1$ and $\lambda_1 = 0$, and so $\lambda_0 > 0$ and pulse is unstable

Related concept of conjugate points:

$$heta' = \cos^2 heta + (g''(\varphi(x)) - \lambda) \sin^2 heta, \qquad x \in (a, s)$$

- Initial condition: $\theta(a; \lambda) = 0$; flow forward and see if $\theta(s; \lambda) \in \{j\pi\}$
- Fix $\lambda = \lambda_k$ to be an eigenvalue, so if s = b we know $\theta(b; \lambda) = (k+1)\pi$
- Decrease s until you again land in $\{j\pi\}$, which is the conjugate point s_{k-1} .



• Process stops at largest s_0 ; θ no longer can complete one half-rotation

"Square": Relationship between eigenvalues and conjugate points:



One can prove:

- No eigenvalues for s = a; no "time" to oscillate.
- No conjugate points for $\lambda = \lambda_{\infty}$ large; ODE or spectral analysis.
- Number of conjugate points for $\lambda = \lambda_*$ equals the number of eigenvalues $\lambda > \lambda_*$.

To analyze stability, choose $\lambda_* = 0$:



Number of conjugate points = number of unstable eigenvalues = $Morse(\mathcal{L})$

This is a simple case of what is often called the Morse Index Theorem, and it goes back to the work of Morse, Bott, etc.

To summarize, when we have

$$\lambda u = u_{xx} + g''(\varphi(x))u = \mathcal{L}u, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Spectral stability can be determined almost immediately using qualitative properties of the underlying solution *φ*, with little knowledge of *g*.
- A pulse is necessarily unstable. Similarly a front in necessarily stable.



- Positive eigenvalues can be counted by instead counting the number of conjugate points when λ = 0.
- Conjugate points and eigenvalues can be analyzed via the winding of a phase in $\mathbb{R}^2.$
- Monotonicity in λ and s is key.

Can this be generalized to $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ or $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$?

Eigenvalue equation:

$$\lambda u = u_{xx} + \nabla^2 G(\varphi(x))u = \mathcal{L}u, \qquad u(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Assume:

•
$$X = L^2(\mathbb{R})$$
, dom $(\mathcal{L}) = H^2(\mathbb{R})$.

- φ is a pulse, $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \varphi(x) = \varphi_0$.
- $\sigma(\nabla^2 G(\varphi_0)) < 0.$

Which implies

- \mathcal{L} is self-adjoint, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
- $\sigma_{ess}(\mathcal{L})$ is stable.

Write as a first-order system:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} &=& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ (\lambda - \nabla^2 G(\varphi(x))) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \\ &=& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - \nabla^2 G(\varphi(x))) & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

 $[\mbox{Arnol'd '85}]:$ generalized notion of phase via the Maslov index and proved oscillation theorems

First-order eigenvalue problem:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = J\mathcal{B}(x;\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix},$$
$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}(x;\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - \nabla^2 \mathcal{G}(\varphi(x))) & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{B}(x;\lambda)^* = \mathcal{B}(x;\lambda)$$

Assumption $\sigma(\nabla^2 \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0)) < 0$ implies $J\mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ is hyperbolic:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & l \\ (\lambda - \nabla^2 G(\varphi_0)) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \nu \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\lambda - \nabla^2 G(\varphi_0))u = \nu^2 u.$$

If
$$\mu_j \in \sigma(\nabla^2 G(\varphi_0))$$
, $\mu_j < 0$, $j = 1, \dots n$, then for $\lambda > 0$
 $\nu_j^{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\lambda - \mu_j}$, $\nu_j^+ > 0 > \nu_j^-$, $j = 1, \dots, n$

Dimension of asymptotic stable/unstable subspaces is n: $\dim(\mathbb{E}^{s,u}_{\infty}(\lambda)) = n$

First-order eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} &= J\mathcal{B}(x;\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \\ J &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}(x;\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - \nabla^2 \mathcal{G}(\varphi(x))) & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{B}(x;\lambda)^* &= \mathcal{B}(x;\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

For an eigenfunction $(u, v)(x; \lambda)$ we need $\lim_{x \to -\infty} (u, v)(x; \lambda) = (0, 0)$.

$$\mathbb{E}_{-}^{u}(x;\lambda) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} (x;\lambda) \text{ solution} : \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} (x;\lambda) \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ as } x \to -\infty \right\}.$$

Space of solutions asymptotic to the unstable subspace of $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}^{u}(\lambda)$.

This is a Lagrangian subspace with respect to the symplectic form

$$\omega(U,V)=\langle U,JV\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}.$$

Lagrangian-Grassmanian:

$$\Lambda(n) = \{ \ell \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} : \dim(\ell) = n, \quad \omega(U, V) = 0 \quad \forall U, V \in \ell \}.$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}\begin{pmatrix} u\\v \end{pmatrix} = J\mathcal{B}(x;\lambda)\begin{pmatrix} u\\v \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}(x;\lambda)^* = \mathcal{B}(x;\lambda), \quad J^* = -J = J^{-1}$$

If $U, V \in \mathbb{E}^u_-(x; \lambda)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}\omega(U(x),V(x)) &= \langle U'(x),JV(x)\rangle + \langle U(x),JV'(x)\rangle \\ &= \langle J\mathcal{B}U(x),JV(x)\rangle + \langle U(x),J^2\mathcal{B}V(x)\rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{B}U(x),V(x)\rangle - \langle \mathcal{B}U(x),V(x)\rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} U(x), V(x) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{x \to -\infty} \omega(U(x), V(x)) = 0$$

and so

$$\omega(U(x), V(x)) = 0 \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

[B., Cox, Jones, Latushkin, McQuighan, Suhktayev '18]:

- Proved "square" relating eigenvalues to conjugate points.
- Proved a pulse solution is necessarily unstable.

Key ideas in paper:

$$\lambda u = u_{xx} + \nabla^2 \mathcal{G}(\varphi(x))u = \mathcal{L}u, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{L}) = H^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Compactify domain:

$$\sigma(s) = \tanh(s), \qquad s(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+\sigma}{1-\sigma}\right), \qquad s \in [-\infty,\infty], \qquad \sigma \in [-1,1]$$

Will not comment on this further.

First prove "square" on half-line with Dirichlet BCs:



 $\mathbb{E}^u_-(s;\lambda)$ is a path of Lagrangian planes, homotopic to the trivial loop, for

$$(s,\lambda) \in [-\infty,L] \times [0,\lambda_\infty]$$

Reference Lagrangian plane - Dirichlet plane:

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : u = 0 \right\}.$$



Maslov index: counts crossings of the path $\mathbb{E}^{u}_{-}(s; \lambda)$ with the reference plane \mathcal{D} .

Homotopy argument
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Mas $(\mathbb{E}^{u}_{-}(s; \lambda)) = 0.$

No crossings on bottom or right side of square; eigenvalues contribute negatively, conjugate points contribute positively:

 $Morse(\mathcal{L}_L) = number of conjugate points on (-\infty, L)$

 $\Phi:[a,b] o \Lambda(n)$ path of Lagrangian planes, $\mathcal D$ reference plane. A crossing is a $t_0\in [a,b]$ such that

$$\Phi(t_0)\cap \mathcal{D}\neq \{0\}.$$

Generically $\Phi(t)$ is transversal to \mathcal{D}^{\perp} for all $t \in [t_0 - \epsilon, t_0 + \epsilon]$, and $\exists \phi(t) : \Phi(t_0) \to \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ so that



Crossing form [Robbin, Salamon '93]:

$$Q(U,V)=rac{d}{dt}\omega(U,\phi(t)V)ert_{t=t_0},\qquad U,V\in\Phi(t_0)\cap\mathcal{D}$$



Crossing form [Robbin, Salamon '93]:

$$Q(U,V)=rac{d}{dt}\omega(U,\phi(t)V)|_{t=t_0},\qquad U,V\in\Phi(t_0)\cap\mathcal{D}.$$

- $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ symmetric, where $k = \dim(\Phi(t_0) \cap \mathcal{D})$.
- t_0 is regular if $\det Q \neq 0$; generic crossings are regular and isolated.
- Signature of Q:

$$\mathrm{sign} Q = n_+(Q) - n_-(Q),$$

 $n_\pm(Q) =$ number of positive/negative eigenvalues

Maslov index for single crossing: if $t_0 \in [a_0, b_0]$ is the only crossing of Φ with \mathcal{D} ,

$$\operatorname{Mas}(\Phi|_{[a_0,b_0]},\mathcal{D}) = \begin{cases} -n_-(Q) & \text{if } t_0 = a_0 \\ \operatorname{sign} Q = n_+(Q) - n_-(Q) & \text{if } t_0 \in (a_0,b_0) \\ n_+(Q) & \text{if } t_0 = b_0 \end{cases}$$

- Endpoint convention is somewhat arbitrary; affects intermediate results but not our end result.
- Define Maslov index of a regular smooth path by defining it on segments around each crossing and summing.

If all crossings of a path $\Phi: [a, b] \to \Lambda(n)$ with $\mathcal D$ are positive, ie Q > 0, then

$$\operatorname{Mas}(\Phi|_{[a,b]},\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{a < t \leq b} \dim(\Phi(t) \cap \mathcal{D})$$

Similarly, if all crossings are negative, then

$$\operatorname{Mas}(\Phi|_{[a,b]},\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{a \leq t < b} \dim(\Phi(t) \cap \mathcal{D})$$



Key aspect of proof is showing monotonicity, ie crossings at conjugate points are positive, and crossings at eigenvalues are negative.

Path of Lagrangian planes: $\mathbb{E}^{u}_{-}(s; \lambda)$. Parameter is s or λ depending on side. Negative crossings in λ : need to show for s = L fixed

$$Q(U,V)=rac{d}{d\lambda}\omega(U,\phi(\lambda)V)|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}<0,\qquad U,V\in\mathbb{E}^u(L;\lambda_0)\cap\mathcal{D}.$$

Suffices to check that

$$Q(V,V)=rac{d}{d\lambda}\omega(V,\phi(\lambda)V)|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}<0,\qquad V\in\mathbb{E}^u(L;\lambda_0)\cap\mathcal{D}.$$

Let $W(L; \lambda) \in \mathbb{E}^u(L; \lambda)$ so that

$$W(L; \lambda_0) = V, \qquad W(L; \lambda) = V + \phi(\lambda)V.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(V,V) &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} \omega(V,\phi(\lambda)V)|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \omega(V,V+\phi(\lambda)V)|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} \\ &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} \omega(W(L;\lambda_0),W(L;\lambda))|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} = \omega(W(L;\lambda_0),W_{\lambda}(L;\lambda_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Recall:

$$\frac{d}{dx}W = J\mathcal{B}(x;\lambda)W \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \frac{d}{dx}W_{\lambda} = J\mathcal{B}(x;\lambda)W_{\lambda} + MW, \qquad M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Q = \omega(W(L;\lambda_0), W_{\lambda}(L;\lambda_0)), \qquad \frac{d}{dx}W_{\lambda} = J\mathcal{B}(x;\lambda)W_{\lambda} + MW.$$

$$Q = \langle -JW(L;\lambda_0), W_{\lambda}(L;\lambda_0) \rangle = -\int_{-\infty}^{L} \frac{d}{dx} \langle JW(x;\lambda_0), W_{\lambda}(x;\lambda_0) \rangle dx$$
$$= -\int_{-\infty}^{L} [\langle J^2 \mathcal{B} W, W_{\lambda} \rangle \rangle + \langle JW, J \mathcal{B} W_{\lambda} + MW \rangle] dx$$

$$= -\int_{-\infty} \langle JW, MW \rangle dx$$

= $-\int_{-\infty}^{L} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} W, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} W \right\rangle dx$
= $-\int_{-\infty}^{L} (W_1(x; \lambda_0))^2 dx < 0.$



This monotonicity implies:

$$\begin{array}{lll} 0 &=& \operatorname{Mas}(\mathbb{E}^{u}(x;\lambda)_{\operatorname{square}},\mathcal{D}) \\ &=& \operatorname{Mas}(\mathbb{E}^{u}(x;\lambda)_{\operatorname{left}},\mathcal{D}) + \operatorname{Mas}(\mathbb{E}^{u}(x;\lambda)_{\operatorname{top}},\mathcal{D}) + 0 + 0 \\ &=& \{\operatorname{number of conjugate points}\} - \{\operatorname{number of eigenvalues}\}. \end{array}$$

Hence,

 $\{number of conjugate points\} = \{number of eigenvalues\} = Morse(\mathcal{L}_L).$

Remaining steps:

$$\lambda u = u_{xx} + \nabla^2 G(\varphi(x))u = \mathcal{L}u, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Extend result to full line \mathbb{R} : show for $L > L_{\infty}$ large,

- $Morse(\mathcal{L}) = Morse(\mathcal{L}_L)$
- Follows because you can approximate the point spectrum of an operator on ℝ using a large subdomain.

Prove any pulse solution is necessarily unstable:

- Show there is at least one conjugate point.
- Uses reversibility arguments applied to the original PDE $u_t = u_{xx} + \nabla G(u)$, ie $x \to -x$ symmetry.

Remark: very few actual applications of the Maslov index in stability analysis!

Case 3: multiple spatial dimensions, one equation

Eigenvalue problem:

$$\Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda u, \qquad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

 $u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$

Family of domains [Smale 65]:

$$\{\Omega_{s}: 0\leq s\leq 1\}, \qquad \Omega_{1}=\Omega, \qquad \Omega_{0}=\{x_{0}\}.$$

Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) imes\mathcal{H}^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega),\qquad \omega((f_1,g_1),(f_2,g_2))=\langle g_2,f_1
angle-\langle g_1,f_2
angle$$

Path of subspaces in the Fredholm-Lagrangian Grassmannian of \mathcal{H} :

$$\Phi(s) = \left\{ \left(u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) |_{\partial \Omega_s} : u \in H^1(\Omega_s), \quad \Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda u, \quad x \in \Omega_s \right\}$$

Reference subspace:

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \left(u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) |_{\partial \Omega} = \left(0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) |_{\partial \Omega} : u \in H^1(\Omega_s) \right\}$$

[Deng, Jones '11], [Cox, Jones, Latushkin, Suhktayev '16], ... show one can compute $Morse(\mathcal{L})$ by counting conjugate points in this context; also results for more general boundary conditions.

Case 3: multiple spatial dimensions, one equation

Future work: does this suggest a "spatial dynamics" for \mathbb{R}^d ?

$$0 = \Delta u + F(u), \qquad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$$

Family of domains parameterized by family of diffeomorphisms:

 $\psi_s: \Omega \to \Omega_s, \qquad s \in [0,1], \qquad \Omega_1 = \Omega, \qquad \Omega_0 = \{x_0\}.$

Define boundary data via

$$f(t;y) = u(\psi_t(y)), \qquad g(t;y) = rac{\partial u}{\partial n}(\psi_t(y)), \qquad t \in [0,1], \qquad y \in \partial \Omega$$

and trace map

$$\operatorname{Tr}_t u = (f(t), g(t)).$$

Can (formally) obtain a first-order system

$$\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix}f\\g\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{F}(f,g)\\\mathcal{G}(f,g)\end{pmatrix}$$

Can we make sense of the above equation and put it to good use?

Summary

We've seen how the Maslov index can be used in stability analysis to obtain results of the form

```
number of conjugate points = Morse(\mathcal{L}).
```

Due to recent work, many abstract results exist for systems of equations with $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ d \geq 1.$

Current/future work:

- Find more examples! In some sense, these results are only useful if they
 can be used to actually determine stability in situations of interest. I know
 of two examples for x ∈ ℝ (one mentioned today pulse instability; other
 is [Chen, Hu '14]) and none for x ∈ ℝ^d.
- Further understand relationship between these results and the Evans function.
- Develop a spatial dynamics for \mathbb{R}^d .

Lagrangian subspace calculation

$$\Phi(s) = \left\{ \left(u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) |_{\partial \Omega_s} : u \in H^1(\Omega_s), \quad \Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda u, \quad x \in \Omega_s \right\}$$

If $u, v \in \Phi$ then

$$\begin{split} \omega(u,v) &= \left\langle \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}, u \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}, v \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{\partial \Omega} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} u - \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v \right) dS \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left((\nabla u \nabla v + u \Delta v) - (\nabla u \nabla v + v \Delta u) \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(u (\lambda v - V v) - v (\lambda u - V u) \right) dx = 0. \end{split}$$

Pulse - existence of conjugate point

$$0 = \varphi_{xx} + \nabla G(\varphi(x)),$$

- Generically, φ(x) will be unique as a solution (up to translation) asymptotic to the fixed point φ₀ = lim_{x→±∞} φ(x)
- Equation invariant under x → −x, so φ(−x) is also a solution. By uniqueness, we therefore have

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(-x + \delta)$$

• This implies

$$\varphi(\delta/2+x) = \varphi(\delta/2-x) \quad \forall \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

But then

$$rac{d}{dx}arphi(\delta/2+x)|_{x=0}=arphi(\delta/2-x)|_{x=0} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad arphi_x(\delta/2)=0.$$

• Since φ_x is a eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$, we have

$$egin{pmatrix} arphi_{\mathsf{x}(\mathsf{x})}\ arphi_{\mathsf{x}(\mathsf{x})}\end{pmatrix}\in\mathbb{E}_{-}^{u}(\mathsf{x};\mathsf{0})\qquad\Rightarrow\qquad\mathbb{E}_{-}^{u}(\delta/2,\mathsf{0})\cap\mathcal{D}
eq\{\mathsf{0}\}$$

which is our conjugate point.

Stability for PDEs, the Maslov Index, and Spatial Dynamics - Talk by Margaret Beck

Lecture notes (Ori S. Katz)

October 10, 2018

Abstract

Understanding the stability of solutions to PDEs is important, because it is typically only stable solutions which are observable. For many PDEs in one spatial dimension, stability is well-understood, largely due to a formulation of the problem in terms of so-called spatial dynamics, where one views the single spatial variable as a time-like evolution variable. This allows for many powerful techniques from the theory of dynamical systems to be applied. In higher spatial dimensions, this perspective is not clearly applicable. In this talk, I will discuss recent work that suggests both that the Maslov index could be a important tool for understanding stability when the system has a symplectic structure, particularly in the multi-dimensional setting, and also suggests a possible analogue of spatial dynamics in the multi-dimensional setting.

For simplicity in the talk we will stick to reaction-diffusion equation.

Is the solution of interest stable?

Types of stability: Spectral - any elements of the spectral that has a real positive part, linear stability, and non-linear stability.

We will discuss spectral stability.

Assume the nonlinear term has a gradient structure. $G : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$.

Decomposition of the spectrum to essential spectrum and point spectrum. We will assume the essential stable is computable and stable. Are there unstable eigenvalues for the point spectrum?

Case 1 - 1D, Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem with Dirichlet boundaey conditions.

Prefer coordinates in this simple case are polar coordinates.

Note that the equation for θ decouples from the equation for r. So for eigenvalues, study the oscillations of θ . Increasing λ causes θ to oscillate less and less.

Related concept of conjugate points - fix λ , vary the length of the interval by varying s. If s = b we return to previous case.

This process - shows there is a relationship between eigenvalues and conjugate points. Can look for eigenvalues by fixing length, or conjugate points by fixing eigenvalues. Obtain a square picture, with exactly the same number of eigenvalues as conjugate points.

For stability, choose $\lambda_* = 0$. This is a simple case of the Morse Index Theorem, and in this simple example it can be intuitively understood.

Note: Monotonicity in λ and s is the key.

Can this be generalized?

Next level of difficulty: 1D spatial domain, vector equation producing a system of equations.

Third assumption - all the eigenvalues of the Hessian of the perturbation G are negative. This means that the essential spectrum is stable.

As a first-order system, it is important that the middle matrix (\mathcal{B}) is symmetric.

 \mathcal{B}_{∞} is \mathcal{B} when $x \to \infty$.

The eigenvalues of these asymptotic matrices describe the spatial asymptotic behavior.

Note that the dimension of the stable/unstable matrix is n while the entire system's dimension is 2n.

 \mathbb{E}_{-}^{u} is the set of solutions that have nice properties as $x \to -\infty$.

Proof of the square:

 $Mas\left(\mathbb{E}_{-}^{u}\left(s;\lambda\right)\right)$ is the Maslov index of the path.

Maslov index: There are a few equivalent ways to define it. We use the crossing form definition - Robbin-Salamon-'93. Crossing form - derivative in time of the symplectic form at $(U, \phi(t) V)$.

 $n_{+(-)}(Q)$ is the number of positive (negative) eigenvalues.

Key aspect of proof - showing monotonicity, i.e. crossings at conjugate points are positive (positive definite matrix) and at eigenvalues are negative (negative-definite matrix).

Crossing form - Q(V, V). W_{λ} - λ -derivative.

Remaining steps - to extend the results to the full line $L \to \infty$ and get the square.

These results can be used to show that any pulse solution is necessarily unstable.

Remark: there are indications that the Maslov index could be applicable in high-dimensional cases. However there are very few applications of the Maslov index in stability analysis.

Extension to multiple spatial dimensions, one equation:

Following works by Deng Jones '11, Cox Jones Latushkin Suhtayecv '16.

Family of domains $\{\Omega_s : 0 \le s \le 1\}$ where the full domain is $\Omega_1 = \Omega$.

There are very few results in higher spatial dimensions. Can the "domain shrinking" suggest a "spatial dynamics" for \mathbb{R}^d ?