

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)

Name: Ian Coley Email/Phone: msri@iancoley.org

Speaker's Name: Sarah Scherotzke

Talk Title: The Chern character and categorification

Date: 3 / 29 / 19 Time: 9 : 30 **(am)** pm (circle one)

Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences:

Categorifying the Chern character gives us a connection with noncommutative motives, as the classical Chern character relates to K-theory

An application to the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem is proven and some consequences are sketched.

CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not pay** for **incomplete** forms)

- Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.
 - **Computer Presentations:** Obtain a copy of their presentation
 - **Overhead:** Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
 - **Blackboard:** Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - **Handouts:** Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list.
(YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- Email the re-named files to notes@msri.org with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

THE CHERN CHARACTER AND CATEGORIFICATION

SARAH SCHEROTZKE

Joint with M. Hoyois, N. Sibilla, and P. Safronov. HSS = Hoyois-Sibilla-Scherotzke, HSSS = everyone.

Agenda:

- (1) Classical Chern character
- (2) Categorified Chern character
- (3) Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch and applications

Example 1. Instances of the classical Chern character:

- (1) Algebraic topology: M a compact manifold, then
$$\text{ch}: \{\text{C-vector bundles on } M\} \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^*(M)$$

- (2) Representation theory: G a finite group,
$$\text{ch}: \{\text{f.d. reps over a field } k\} \rightarrow HH_*(k[G])$$
which sends V to the class function $g \in G \mapsto \text{tr}(V \xrightarrow{g} V)$.

- (3) Algebraic geometry, X a scheme or a stack.
$$\text{ch}: \text{Perf}(X) \rightarrow HH_*(X)$$

Note that 3 \implies 2 for the case $X = [\bullet/G]$ the quotient stack.

What's in common? The lefthand sides are stable (= triangulated or abelian) symmetric monoidal categories \mathcal{C} . The righthand sides are Hochschild homology ring of that category. So in general, $\text{ch}: \text{Ob } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow HH_*(\mathcal{C})$ satisfying:

(P1) ch is additive, i.e. it splits short exact sequences or triangles. Specifically,

$$(0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0) \implies \text{ch}(N) = \text{ch}(L) + \text{ch}(M)$$

This means that ch factors through $K_0(\mathcal{C})$.

(P2) ch is multiplicative, i.e. $\text{ch}(N \otimes N') = \text{ch}(N) \cdot \text{ch}(N')$.

Notes by Ian Coley.

(p3) ch admits an S^1 -equivariant refinement, so factors through HC^- negative cyclic homology.

Historically, the first examples were given by [McCarthy, Keller, Ben-Zvi, Nadler] using the functoriality of traces. The second examples by [Toën-Vezzosi] were given using traces of monodromy.

Definition 2. Let \mathcal{M} be a symmetric monoidal category, and $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$ the monoidal unit. An object $L \in \mathcal{M}$ is *dualizable* if there exists $L^\vee \in \mathcal{M}$ with two maps $\text{ev}: \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow L \otimes L^\vee$ and $\text{coev}: L^\vee \otimes L \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfying the triangle identities.

Definition 3. If $L \in \mathcal{M}$ is dualizable, $f \in \text{End}(L)$, then define $\text{Tr}(f) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{M}})$ by

$$\text{Tr}(f): \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} L \otimes L^\vee \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{id}} L \otimes L^\vee \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{swap}} L^\vee \otimes L \xrightarrow{\text{coev}} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$$

From Toën-Vezzosi/DAG: let X be a (derived) stack, and consider $\mathcal{L}X := \text{Map}(S^1, X)$ the derived loop space. Here, S^1 is the pushout of $\text{Spec } k \leftarrow \text{Spec } k \sqcup \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ taken in the category of derived stacks. Then we can also form $\mathcal{L}X$ as the homotopy pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}X = X \times_{X \times X} X & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ X & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & X \times X \end{array}$$

If $X = \text{Spec } A$ is an affine (underived) scheme, then

$$\mathcal{L}X = \text{Spec}(A \otimes_{A \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\text{op}}} A) = \text{Spec}(HH_*(A))$$

Theorem 4. $HH_*(\text{Perf}(X)) = HH_*(X) = \Gamma \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}X} = \text{End}(\mathbb{1}_{\text{Perf}(\mathcal{L}X)})$, where Keller proved the first equality.

Construction of the Chern character: let $E \in \text{Perf}(X)$, which are the dualizable objects in $\text{QCoh}(X)$. Then we can associate

$$E \mapsto (p^*E, \text{monodromy}: p^*E \rightarrow p^*E \text{ from the } S^1 \text{ action}) \mapsto \text{Tr}(\text{monodromy})$$

where we've obtained an endomorphism of the monoidal unit in $\text{Perf}(\mathcal{L}X)$.

Categorification

Classical	Categorified
Domain: stable $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$	$(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathrm{ShCat}(X)$ of small stable $(\infty, 1)$ -categories tensored over $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$
Codomain: $\Gamma\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}X} = HH_*(X)$	$(\infty, 1)$ -category $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathcal{L}(X))$
Refinement: through $K(X)$ (nonconnective)	$(\infty, 1)$ -category of noncommutative motives [Blumberg-Gepner-Tabuada, Robalo]

This is in the realm of ‘categorification by delooping’. The righthand column are things of the form \mathcal{M} a symmetric monoidal category with unit $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$, and the corresponding lefthand column is $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{M}})$. The case of noncommutative motives categorifying K-theory is a big theorem of BGT, and the other two are (sort of) definitions.

Theorem 5 (HSS). There exists a functor of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories

$$\mathrm{Ch}: i_1 \mathrm{ShCat}(X)^{\mathrm{dualz}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Perf}(\mathcal{L}X)$$

where i_1 means view that $(\infty, 2)$ -category as an $(\infty, 1)$ -category, satisfying analogous properties:

- (P1) There exists a unique refinement through the category $\mathrm{NMot}(X)$ of noncommutative motives.
- (P2) It’s a symmetric monoidal functor.
- (P3) It factors through $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathcal{L}X)^{hS^1}$, which categorifies negative cyclic homology.

Remark 6. Two remarks:

- (1) Ch categorifies ch . We can view $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ as an element in $\mathrm{ShCat}(X)$, and in fact it’s the monoidal unit. Therefore $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathrm{Perf}(X))$ by P2 needs to be the monoidal unit in $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathcal{L}X)$, which is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}X}$. There’s an induced map after taking endomorphisms on both sides, giving

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{ShCat}(X)}(\mathrm{Perf}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ch}\mathrm{End}(-)} & \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Perf}(\mathcal{L}X)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}X}) \\ = \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ \mathrm{Perf}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}} & HH_*(X) \end{array}$$

- (2) We needn’t use $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ for this, but just any stable symmetric monoidal category (of dualizable objects).

Example 7. Let $X = \text{Spec } k$. A model for $\text{ShCat}(X)$ is pretriangulated k -linear dg categories \mathcal{A} . Then $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) = HH_*(\mathcal{A}) \in \text{Perf}(\mathcal{L}X)$, and we can identify $\text{Perf}(\mathcal{L}X)$ with complexes of finite dimensional k -vector spaces.

III. Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch

Classical: let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of stacks. We get a symmetric monoidal pull-back functor $f^*: \text{Perf}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Perf}(X)$, and a pushforward $f_*: \text{Perf}(X) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(Y)$ which is not symmetric monoidal and thus fails in general to preserve dualizable objects. But since f^* is symmetric monoidal, it commutes with ch . Does f_* ?

Theorem 8 (Grothendieck). If f_* maps into $\text{Perf}(Y)$, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Perf}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} & HH_*(X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{\text{dR}}^*(X) \\ f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{J}_f & & \downarrow \mathfrak{J}_f \vee Td_{X/Y} \\ \text{Perf}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} & HH_*(Y) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{\text{dR}}^*(Y) \end{array}$$

The more classical formulation is the outside square.

Now: let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of derived stacks. Then we have the symmetric monoidal $f^*: \text{ShCat}(Y) \rightarrow \text{ShCat}(X)$ and the not-symmetric-monoidal $f_*: \text{ShCat}(X) \rightarrow \text{ShCat}(Y)$.

Theorem 9 (HSSS). Let f be a *passable* map. Then we have a commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ShCat}(X)^{\text{dualz}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ch}} & \text{Perf}(\mathcal{L}X) \\ f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\mathcal{L}f)_* \\ \text{ShCat}(Y)^{\text{dualz}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ch}} & \text{Perf}(\mathcal{L}Y) \end{array}$$

The proof is very different from the classical one and involves $(\infty, 2)$ -category theory.

Definition 10. $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *passable* if:

- The diagonal $X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is quasi-affine
- $f^*: \text{QCoh}(Y) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(X)$ admits a right adjoint f_* which itself admits a right adjoint.
- $f_*(\text{QCoh}(X)) \in \text{QCoh}(Y)\text{-mod}$ is a dualizable object.

This is actually a mild condition, as all maps between schemes are passable.

Applications:

- (1) There's a new proof of the classical GRR theorem.
- (2) McCarthy's and Keller's construction and Toën-Vezzosi's constructions of the classical Chern character we now know must coincide
- (3) We can recognize the de Rham realization functor is an instance of a categorified Chern character, and thus is a noncommutative invariant (depending only on $\text{Perf}(X)$ as a $\text{Perf}(Y)$ -module)

IV. Higher Invariants

We can now iterate this construction, using the factorisation properties P1:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{ShCat}(X)^{\text{dualz}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ch}} & \text{Perf}(\mathcal{L}X) & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} & HH_*(\mathcal{L}X) = \Gamma\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^2X} \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 & & \text{NMot}(X) & & K(\mathcal{L}X) \\
 & & \searrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & & & K(\text{NMot}(X))
 \end{array}$$

We can think of $K(\text{NMot}(X))$ as *secondary K-theory*, and the above composition as the *secondary Chern character*. What does it mean? Not sure yet.