# an invitation to Quantum Field Theory on curved spacetimes

MSRI Introductory Workshop: Microlocal Analysis

Michał Wrochna Université de Cergy-Pontoise



# Introduction



+ effective theories (e.g. non-relativistic QED, bound-state QED)

### mathematical QFT and microlocal analysis: long-lasting ties







Arthur Wightman

Lars Gårding

Lars Hörmander

Wightman and Gårding co-authored pioneering work on mathematical QFT in the 60s.

Later, Wightman influenced works of Duistermaat and Hörmander (Gårding's former student) on Fourier Integral Operators.

Scalar linear fields on Lorentzian<sup>1</sup> manifold (M, g)

$$(-\Box_g + m^2)\phi(x) = 0$$

 $\phi(x)$  with values in operators on Hilbert space. Scalar products "two-point functions"

 $\langle v, \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)v \rangle$ 

closely related to Schwartz kernels of FIOs.

Non-linear quantities

$$\phi^2(x_1) = \lim_{x_2 \to x_1} \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2) - \text{ singular part}$$

require renormalisation.

<sup>1</sup>pseudo-Riemannian, signature (1, n - 1)

# Quantization

### **Quantum Mechanics**

- Observables are operators on Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- Typically of the form  $a(x, D_x)$  in  $\mathscr{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

### **Quantum Field Theory**

- ► Particle creation: number of particles not fixed
- Causality: observables commute if localized in *causally* disjoint regions

Given real vector space V and symplectic form  $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$ :

**Quantization problem.** Find Hilbert space  $\mathscr{H}$  and linear  $v \mapsto \phi[v]$  (the quantum fields) with values in operators s.t.:

1. 
$$\phi[v]^* = \phi[v]$$
 for  $v \in V$   
2.  $\exists \Omega \in \mathscr{H}$  (the cyclic vector) s.t.  
span  $\{\phi[v_1] \dots \phi[v_m]\Omega : v_1, \dots, v_m \in V, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$   
is dense in  $\mathscr{H}$ 

3. 
$$\phi[v_1]\phi[v_2] - \phi[v_2]\phi[v_1] = i\sigma(v_1, v_2)\mathbf{1}$$
 for  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ 

(in case of fermionic fields,  $\phi[v_1]\phi[v_2] + \phi[v_2]\phi[v_1] = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \mathbf{1}$ )

*Example:* If V finite-dimensional with basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{2N}$ , then Stone-von Neumann theorem gives unitary equivalence to:

$$\phi[e_{2i}] = x_i, \ \phi[e_{2i+1}] = D_{x_i}, \ i = 1, \dots, N$$

as unbounded operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2N})$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  a (complex) Hilbert space. The bosonic Fock space is

$$\mathscr{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \otimes_{\mathrm{s}}^{n} \mathfrak{h}.$$

For  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ , creation/annihilation operators:

$$\begin{aligned} a^*[h]\Psi_n &:= \sqrt{n+1} \ h \otimes_{\mathrm{s}} \Psi_n, \\ a[h]\Psi_n &= \sqrt{n} \left( \langle h | \otimes_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{1}_{n-1} \right) \Psi_n, \quad \Psi_n \in \otimes_{\mathrm{s}}^n \mathfrak{h} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle h |$  is the map  $\mathfrak{h} \ni u \mapsto \langle h, u \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Fock representation  $\phi[h] := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a[h] + a^*[h])$  satisfies

$$\phi[h_1]\phi[h_2] - \phi[h_2]\phi[h_1] = i \operatorname{Im}\langle h_1, h_2\rangle \mathbf{1} =: i\sigma(h_1, h_2)\mathbf{1}.$$

Cyclic vector  $\Omega = (1, 0, 0^{\otimes 2}, 0^{\otimes 3}, \dots)$ . Non-uniqueness:

new scalar product  $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_j = \sigma(h_1, jh_2) + i\sigma(h_1, h_2)$ 

provided  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma, j)$  is Kähler, i.e.  $j^2 = -1$  and  $\sigma \circ j \ge 0$ . New Hilbert space by complexification:

$$(\alpha + i\beta)h := \alpha h + j\beta h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, \ \alpha + i\beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We focus now on Klein-Gordon fields on Lorentzian (M, g).

Typical assumption:

 $P = -\Box_g + m^2$  on  $M = \mathbb{R}_t \times S$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , (M,g) globally hyperbolic, i.e. no closed time-like curves, and S intersected by each maximally extended time-like curve exactly once.

Occasionally for convenience:  $g = -dt^2 + h_t$  with  $h_t$  Riemannian,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $u = P_{\pm}^{-1}f$  be the unique solution of forward/backward problem  $Pu = f, f \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$ .

$$\sigma(v_1, v_2) = \int_M (v_1 P_+^{-1} v_2 - v_1 P_-^{-1} v_2) d \operatorname{vol}_g$$

defines a symplectic form on  $C_{c}^{\infty}(M; \mathbb{R})/PC_{c}^{\infty}(M; \mathbb{R})$ .

Quantization gives  $v \mapsto \phi[v]$ , interpreted as operator-valued distribution  $\phi(x)$  that solves  $P\phi = 0$ .

### Proposition

Suppose  $\Lambda^{\pm}: C^{\infty}_{\mathrm{c}}(M) \to C^{\infty}(M)$  satisfies:

$$\Lambda^{\pm} \ge 0, \quad \Lambda^{+} - \Lambda^{-} = i(P_{+}^{-1} - P_{-}^{-1}), \quad P\Lambda^{\pm} = \Lambda^{\pm}P = 0$$

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the completion of  $C_c^{\infty}(M)$  w.r.t.  $\frac{1}{2}(\Lambda^+ + \Lambda^-)$ . Then there exists j such that  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma, j)$  is Kähler and

$$\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_j = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \overline{v_1} (\Lambda^+ + \Lambda^-) v_2 \, d \mathrm{vol}_g.$$

This gives  $(\Omega|\phi[v_1]\phi[v_2]\Omega) = \int_M v_1 \Lambda^+ v_2 \, d\text{vol}_g$ ,  $v_i \in C_c^{\infty}(M; \mathbb{R})$ , hence the name **two-point functions**.

Choosing  $\Lambda^{\pm}$  amounts to specifying global **state** of the system, and thus a particle interpretation.

If 
$$P = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_y + m^2$$
 and  $m > 0$ , then **vacuum state**:  
 $(\Lambda_{\text{vac}}^{\pm}v)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{\pm i(t-s)\sqrt{-\Delta_y + m^2}}}{\sqrt{-\Delta_y + m^2}} v(s, y) ds$ 

In general,  $\Lambda^\pm$  should resemble  $\Lambda^\pm_{\rm vac}$  at small distances:

We say that 
$$\Lambda^+$$
,  $\Lambda^-$  define a **Hadamard state** if  
 $\Lambda^{\pm} \ge 0$ ,  $\Lambda^+ - \Lambda^- = i(P_+^{-1} - P_-^{-1})$ ,  $P\Lambda^{\pm} = \Lambda^{\pm}P = 0$ ,  
and  $WF'(\Lambda^{\pm}) \subset \Sigma^{\pm} \times \Sigma^{\pm}$  (Hadamard condition).

Here,  $\sigma_{\rm pr}(P)$  is  $p(t, y, \tau, \eta) = \tau^2 - \eta \cdot h_t(y)\eta$ . Characteristic set:

$$\begin{split} \Sigma &= \Sigma^+ \cup \Sigma^-, \ \Sigma^{\pm} = \Big\{ (t, y, \tau, \eta) : \tau = \pm (\eta \cdot h_t(y)\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \eta \neq 0 \Big\} \\ & \text{f} \ \Gamma \subset T^*M \times T^*M, \ \Gamma' = \big\{ \big( (x_1, \xi_1), (x_2, \xi_2) \big) : \big( (x_1, \xi_1), (x_2, -\xi_2) \big) \in \Gamma \big\}. \end{split}$$

### Theorem

$$\Lambda_{\rm vac}^{\pm}$$
 are Hadamard

Proof. Use 
$$(i^{-1}\partial_t \pm \sqrt{-\Delta_y + m^2})\Lambda^\pm_{
m vac} = 0.$$

Consequences of Hadamard condition  $\operatorname{WF}'(\Lambda^{\pm}) \subset \Sigma^{\pm} \times \Sigma^{\pm}$  :

Theorem [Radzikowski '96]

 $\Lambda^{\pm}$  is unique modulo op. with  $C^{\infty}(M \times M)$  Schwartz kernel.

*Proof.* If  $\tilde{\Lambda}^{\pm}$  also Hadamard two-point functions,  $\Lambda^{+} - \Lambda^{-} = \tilde{\Lambda}^{+} - \tilde{\Lambda}^{-}$ , hence  $\Lambda^{+} - \tilde{\Lambda}^{+} = \Lambda^{-} - \tilde{\Lambda}^{-}$ . These have disjoint wave front sets. Hence  $\operatorname{WF}(\Lambda^{\pm} - \tilde{\Lambda}^{\pm})' = \emptyset$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Consequences of Hadamard condition} & \mbox{WF}'(\Lambda^{\pm}) \subset \Sigma^{\pm} \times \Sigma^{\pm} \\ \mbox{We can define non-linear quantities, e.g. Wick square:} \end{array}$ 

$$:\phi^2(x):=\lim_{x\to x'}\phi(x)\phi(x')-$$
parametrix for  $\Lambda^+(x,x'),$ 

similarly quantum stress-energy tensor :  $T_{\mu\nu}$ :.

So, "not Hadamard" means infinite energy. Example:

**Theorem** Chronology Protection Theorem [Kay, Radzikowski, Wald '96]

If  $\Lambda^{\pm}$  Hadamard, : $\phi^2(x)$ : blows up at any compactly generated Cauchy horizon.

[Duistermaat, Hörmander '72] gives  $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\pm}$  satisfying  $WF'(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\pm}) \subset \Sigma^{\pm} \times \Sigma^{\pm}$ ,  $P\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\pm} = \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\pm}P = 0$ ,  $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\pm} \ge 0$  and  $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{+} - \widetilde{\Lambda}^{-} = i(P_{+}^{-1} - P_{-}^{-1})$  modulo  $C^{\infty}$ 

Theorem [Fulling, Narcowich, Wald '79]

Existence of Hadamard states on any globally hyperbolic (M, g).

Also, existence on AdS spacetimes for  $\rm WF_b$  instead of  $\rm WF$  [W. '17] (using [Vasy '12]), [Gannot, W. '19]

Theorem [Junker '96], [Junker, Schrohe '02], [Gérard, W. '14]

Hadamard states with Cauchy data in  $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^2)$  (more generally, in *bounded geometry* calculus [Gérard, Oulghazi, W. '17])

Especially interesting for wave equation (m = 0): note  $\sqrt{-\Delta} \notin \Psi(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ .

### Conjecture 🕸

Existence of Hadamard states for linearized Einstein equations (at least for perturbations of Minkowski space?)

Maxwell fields: [Furlani '95], [Fewster, Hunt '03], [Dappiaggi, Siemssen '13], [Finster, Strohmaier '15]; Linearized Yang-mills: [Hollands '08] (around 0), [Gérard, W. '15], [Zahn, W. '17]; Linearized gravity: [Benini, Dappiaggi, Murro '14] (problematic topological restrictions)

On real analytic spacetimes:

```
Theorem [Strohmaier, Verch, Wollenberg '02]
analytic Hadamard condition \Rightarrow Reeh-Schlieder effect, i.e.
span { \prod_{i=1}^{p} \phi[u_i]\Omega: p \in \mathbb{N}, u_i \in C_c^{\infty}(O)}
dense in \mathscr{H} for any open C_c^{\infty}(O).
```

The general mechanism is that unique continuation theorems for (non-smooth) solutions of Pu = 0 imply local-to-global phenomena [Dybalski, W. '19].

Theorem [Gérard, W. '19]

Existence of analytic Hadamard states on any analytic globally hyperbolic (M, g).

# Quantum effects induced by geometry

Fundamental principles: finite speed of propagation, causality and universal short-distance behaviour. Unexpectedly, however:

### Unruh effect.

# Hawking radiation on black hole spacetimes. Particle creation in scattering situations.

particles on the industrial at factoms in one makes up wave-particles  $[p_{j,i}]$  the due  $\{p_{j,i}\}$ , one finds that a fraction  $\Gamma_{j,i}$  penetrates through the potential barrier around the black hole and gets out to  $\mathscr{I}^-$  with the same frequency  $\omega$  that it had on the horizon. This produces a  $\delta(\omega - \omega')$  behaviour in  $\gamma_{j,m\omega'}$ . The remaining fraction  $1 - \Gamma_{j,i}$  of the wave-packet is reflected back by the potential barrier and passes through the collapsing body and out onto  $\mathscr{I}^-$ . Here it will have a similar form to  $j_{i,j}^{(m)}$ . Thus for large  $\omega'$ ,

$$|\gamma_{jn\omega'}^{(2)}| = \exp(\pi\omega\kappa^{-1})|\eta_{jn\omega'}^{(2)}|.$$
 (4.3)

By a similar argument to that used in Section (2) one would conclude that the number of particles crossing the event horizon in a wave-packet mode peaked at late times would be

$$(1 - \Gamma_{in}) \{ \exp(2\pi\omega\kappa^{-1}) - 1 \}^{-1}$$
. (4.4)

For a given frequency  $\omega_i$  i.e. a given value of j, the absorption fraction  $\Gamma_{jn}$  goes to zero as the angular quantum number l increases because of the centrifugal barrier. Thus at first sight it might seem that each wave-packet mode of high l value would contain

 $\{\exp(2\pi\omega\kappa^{-1})-1\}^{-1}$ 

particles and that the total rate of particles and energy crossing the event horizon would be infinite. This calculation would, of course, be inconsistent with the On Cauchy data  $(\phi_0, \phi_1)$ , hermitian form  $\langle \phi_0, \phi_1 \rangle_{L^2(S)} - \langle \phi_1, \phi_0 \rangle_{L^2(S)}$  preserved by evolution.

If  $C^{\pm}: C_c^{\infty}(S)^2 \to C^{\infty}(S)^2$  satisfy  $C^{\pm} \ge 0$ ,  $C^+ + C^- = \mathbf{1}$ , then  $\Lambda^{\pm} = \pm U^* C^{\pm} U$  are two-point functions, where U maps Cauchy data to solutions.

Now suppose  $\partial_t$  is a time-like Killing vector field, and rewrite Pu = 0 as

$$i^{-1}\partial_t\psi(t) = H\psi(t), \quad \psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u(t)\\ i^{-1}\partial_tu(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
  
For instance  $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ \sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  on Minkowski.

The Cauchy data of  $\Lambda_{\text{vac}}^{\pm}$  are  $C_{\text{vac}}^{\pm} = \mathbf{1}_{\pm[0,\infty)}(H)$ . The Cauchy data of **thermal state**  $\Lambda_{\beta}^{\pm}$  (at inverse temperature  $\beta > 0$ ) are  $C_{\beta}^{\pm} = (\mathbf{1} - e^{\mp\beta H})^{-1}$  Let  $P = -\partial_s^2 + \Delta_y + m^2$  on Minkowski space.

The Killing vector  $\partial_s$  is time-like. But in  $M_{\rm I} = \{y \ge |s|\}$ , another time-like Killing vector:  $X = y\partial_s + s\partial_y$ .

**Theorem** [Fulling '73], [Davies '75], [Unruh '76]

**Unruh effect**: the vacuum state  $\Lambda_{\text{vac}}^{\pm}$  w.r.t.  $\partial_s$  restricts to a **thermal state** (with  $\beta = 2\pi \alpha/\kappa$ ) w.r.t. X on  $M_{\text{I}}$ .

*Proof.* New coordinates:

$$U = s + y, \quad V = s - y \quad \text{on } M,$$
  
 $U = e^{\kappa u}, \quad V = e^{-\kappa v} \quad \text{on } M_{\text{I}}.$ 

Then  $\partial_s = \partial_U + \partial_V$  and  $X = \kappa (U\partial_U - V\partial_V) = \partial_u + \partial_v$ . The crucial identity is  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(D_x) = \chi (\frac{1}{2}(xD_x + D_xx))$ , where  $\chi(\lambda) = (1 + e^{-2\pi\lambda})^{-1}$ . Hence  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(D_U) = T \circ \chi(D_u) \circ T^{-1}$ . Schwarzschild (exterior of eternal black hole)  $(M_{\rm I}, g)$ :

$$g=-\left(1-rac{2m}{r}
ight)dt^2+\left(1-rac{2m}{r}
ight)^{-1}dr^2+r^2(d heta^2+\sin^2 heta darphi^2)$$

Kruskal extension (M, g):

$$g = -\frac{16m^3}{r}e^{-r/2m}(dUdV + dVdU) + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)$$

Time-like Killing vector  $X = \partial_t$  in  $M_{I}$ . But  $\partial_t$  not time-like in whole M.

On Schwarzschild (exterior of eternal black hole), time-like Killing vector  $\partial_t$ . But  $\partial_t$  no longer time-like in whole Kruskal extension.

Geometry enforces **Hawking temperature**  $T_{\rm H} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$ , where  $\kappa$  is the horizon's surface gravity:  $\operatorname{grad}_g g(\partial_t, \partial_t) = -2\kappa \partial_t$ 

**Theorem** [Sanders '15], [Gérard '18] (solving conjecture by [Hartle, Hawking / Israel '76])

Existence of maximally symmetric Hadamard state (Hartle-Hawking-Israel state) on Kruskal extension of Schwarzschild. This state is thermal ( $\beta = T_{\rm H}^{-1}$ ) w.r.t.  $\partial_t$  in exterior region.

### Conjecture 🕸

The HHI state is analytic Hadamard.

Known in exterior region [Strohmaier, Verch, Wollenberg '02].

On Kerr (exterior of rotating black hole), Killing vector  $\partial_t \underline{\text{not}}$  everywhere time-like. Thermal state  $\Lambda_{\beta}^{\pm}$  w.r.t.  $\partial_t \underline{\text{not}}$  Hadamard. Same for  $\partial_t + \Omega \partial_{\varphi}$ .

Conjecture 総総 [Kay, Wald '91]

No maximally symmetric Hadamard state on ('Kruskal extension' of) Kerr spacetime.

Partial results [Kay, Wald '91], [Moretti, Pinamonti '12], [Lupo '18], [Pinamonti, Sanders, Verch '19]

### Conjecture 錄錄錄 [Unruh '76] [Kay, Wald '91]

Existence of Hadamard state in *union of exterior and interior* of Kerr black hole, asymptotically thermal at past event horizon (Unruh state).

Hadamard states from scattering data: [Moretti '08], [Dappiaggi, Moretti, Pinamonti '09], [Gérard, W. '16], [Vasy, W. '18] using [Baskin, Vasy, Wunsch '15].

Conjecture for Schwarzschild: [Dappiaggi, Moretti, Pinamonti '11]

Conjecture for *massless Dirac*: [Gérard, Häfner, W. '19] using [Häfner, Nicolas '04]

Genericity of Hawking radiation spectrum: [Fredenhagen, Haag '90]

Scattering on Kerr(-de Sitter): [Häfner '03], [Vasy '13], [Georgescu, Gérard, Häfner '17] using [Dyatlov '11], [Dafermos, Rodnianski, Shlapentokh-Rothman '18]



More 'phenomenological' description of Hawking radiation: [Bachelot '99], [Melnyk '03], [Häfner '09], [Gérard, Bouvier '14], [Drouot '17] The case of Klein-Gordon fields on Kerr spacetime is *open*.

Towards semi-classical Quantum Gravity

**Einstein equations**  $\delta(S + S_{matter}) = 0$ 

where 
$$S = \int_{M} (\operatorname{scal}_g + \Lambda) d\operatorname{vol}_g$$
 Einstein-Hilbert action.

For instance, if matter is a classical Klein-Gordon field,

$$S_{
m matter} = rac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} (
abla_{\mu} \phi 
abla^{\mu} \phi + m^2 \phi^2) d \mathrm{vol}_{g}$$

Relevant term:

$$T_{\mu
u} = 
abla_{\mu}\phi
abla_{
u}\phi - g_{\mu
u}(
abla_{\sigma}\phi
abla^{\sigma}\phi + m^{2}\phi^{2}).$$

Naive derivation of semi-classical Einstein equations

$$e^{-S_{\text{eff}}} = \int [d\phi] e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle\phi,P\phi\rangle} = (\det P)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}\log P},$$
  
where  $\log P = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left( -\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-sP}}{s} + (\gamma - \log \varepsilon) \text{id} \right)$ 

So one needs to renormalize:

$$S_{\mathrm{eff,ren}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \int_M L_{\mathrm{eff,ren}}(x) d\mathrm{vol}_g,$$

$$L_{
m eff,ren}(x) = -\int_0^\infty ds \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{(4\pi s)^2} e^{-m^2 s} \sum_{n=3}^\infty \alpha_n(x,x) s^n.$$

Quantum stress-energy tensor:

$$:T_{\mu
u}(x): \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} rac{2}{\sqrt{|\det g|}} rac{\delta L_{ eff}}{\delta g^{\mu
u}} = \mathcal{K}_{\mu
u}[\mathcal{P}^{-1}](x,x) - ext{ sing. part}$$

#### Theorem [Gell-Redman, Haber, Vasy '16], [Vasy '17]

If (M, g) asymptotically Minkowski (or actually globally hyperbolic, non-trapping Lorentzian scattering space), then  $P = -\Box_g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  is **invertible**.

$$\mathcal{X} = \left\{ u \in H^{s,n/2-1}_{\mathrm{b}}(M) : Pu \in \mathcal{X} \right\}, \ \mathcal{Y} = H^{s-1,n/2+1}_{\mathrm{b}}(M),$$

where  $\pm s > \frac{1}{2}$  near sources/sinks (if 0 not a resonance).

Proof by radial estimates ([Melrose '94], [Hassell, Melrose, Vasy '04], [Vasy '13], [Datchev, Dyatlov '13], etc.) for P and  $\widehat{N}(P)(\sigma)$  + extra commutator argument.

[Vasy, W. '18]

$$\operatorname{WF}(P_{\mathrm{F}}^{-1})' = (\operatorname{diag}_{T^{*}M}) \cup \bigcup_{t \leq 0} (\Phi_{t}(\operatorname{diag}_{T^{*}M}) \cap \pi^{-1}\Sigma)$$

#### Theorem [Gérard, W. '16-'19] cf. [Bär, Strohmaier '15]

If (M,g) asymptotically Minkowski, then  $P = -\Box_g + m^2$ :  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  is **invertible**. Here,  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  defined using spectral projectors  $\mathbf{1}_{\pm[0,\infty)}(H)$  at  $t = \pm \infty$ .

Proof provides 'semi-group generator'  $B(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_t; \Psi^1(M))$ , s.t.  $P = (D_t - B(t))(D_t + B^*(t)) \mod \Psi_{\mathrm{sc}}^{-\infty, -1-\delta}(M)$  and  $B(t) - \sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} \in \Psi_{\mathrm{sc}}^{1,-\delta}(M)$ .

Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{WF}(P_{\mathrm{F}}^{-1})' = (\operatorname{diag}_{\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{M}}) \cup \bigcup_{t \leq 0} (\Phi_t(\operatorname{diag}_{\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{M}}) \cap \pi^{-1}\Sigma)$$

Dereziński conjectured:

If (M,g) asymptotically static then  $\Box_g$  is essentially selfadjoint on  $C_c^{\infty}(M)$  and limiting absorption principle

$$(\Box - m^2)_{\rm F}^{-1} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} (\Box_g - m^2 - i\varepsilon)^{-1}$$

Theorem [Dereziński, Siemssen '18]

If (M,g) static (i.e.  $g = -dt^2 + h$ ) then conjecture is true. Convergence in the sense of strong operator limit  $\langle t \rangle^{-s} L^2(M) \rightarrow \langle t \rangle^s L^2(M)$ ,  $s > \frac{1}{2}$ .

The scattering cotangent bundle  ${}^{sc}T^*M$  has local basis:

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho^2}, \frac{dy_1}{\rho}, \dots, \frac{dy_{n-1}}{\rho}$$

A Lorentzian sc-metric g is a section of  ${}^{sc}T^*M \otimes_s {}^{sc}T^*M$  of Lorentzian signature.

Non-trapping if null bicharacteristics at fiber infinity go from sources  $L^- \subset \overline{{}^{sc}T}^*_{\partial M}M$  to sinks  $L^+ \subset \overline{{}^{sc}T}^*_{\partial M}M$  (or stay within).

#### Theorem [Vasy '17]

Suppose (M, g) is a non-trapping Lorentzian sc-metric. Then  $\Box_g$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_c^{\infty}(M)$ . Limiting absorption principle for  $\Box_g - m^2$  if non-trapping at energy  $m^2$  and Feynman problem invertible.

A few other recent developments in QFT on curved spacetimes:

Perturbative non-linear fields. [Dang '16-'19], [Brouder, Dang, Hélein '16], [Dang, Zhang '17], [Bahns, Rejzner '18], [Dang, Herscovich '19]; Entanglement entropy. [Witten '18], [Longo, Xu '18], [Hollands '19]; Quantum Energy Inequalities. [Fewster '17], [Fewster, Kontou '19]; Expansions at de Sitter boundary. [Hollands '16], [Vasy, W. '18] [W. '19]; Relationship between fields and geometry. [Dang '19], cf. [Strohmaier, Zelditch '18]

### Further reading:

- S. A. Fulling: Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime (1989)
- R. M. Wald: Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime and Black Hole Thermodynamics (1994)
- S. Hollands, R. M. Wald: Quantum Fields in Curved Spacetime arXiv:1401.2026 (2014)
- C. Gérard: Microlocal Analysis of Quantum Fields on Curved Spacetimes arXiv:1901.10175 (2019)