an invitation to Quantum Field Theory on curved spacetimes

MSRI Introductory Workshop: Microlocal Analysis

Michał Wrochna Université de Cergy-Pontoise

Introduction

 $+$ effective theories (e.g. non-relativistic QED, bound-state QED)

mathematical QFT and microlocal analysis: long-lasting ties

Arthur Wightman

Lars Gårding

Lars Hörmander

Wightman and Gårding co-authored pioneering work on mathematical QFT in the 60s.

Later, Wightman influenced works of Duistermaat and Hörmander (Gårding's former student) on Fourier Integral Operators.

Scalar linear fields on Lorentzian¹ manifold (M, g)

$$
(-\Box_g + m^2)\phi(x) = 0
$$

 $\phi(x)$ with values in operators on Hilbert space. Scalar products "two-point functions"

 $\langle v, \phi(x_1) \phi(x_2) v \rangle$

closely related to Schwartz kernels of FIOs.

Non-linear quantities

$$
\phi^{2}(x_{1}) = \lim_{x_{2} \to x_{1}} \phi(x_{1})\phi(x_{2}) - \text{ singular part}
$$

require renormalisation.

 1 pseudo-Riemannian, signature $(1, n-1)$

Quantization

Quantum Mechanics

- \triangleright Observables are operators on Hilbert space \mathscr{H} .
- \blacktriangleright Typically of the form $a(x, D_x)$ in $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Quantum Field Theory

- \blacktriangleright Particle creation: number of particles not fixed
- ▶ Causality: observables commute if localized in *causally disjoint* regions

Given real vector space V and symplectic form $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$:

Quantization problem. Find Hilbert space *H* and linear $v \mapsto \phi[v]$ (the quantum fields) with values in operators s.t.:

\n- 1.
$$
\phi[v]^* = \phi[v]
$$
 for $v \in V$
\n- 2. $\exists \Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ (the cyclic vector) s.t. $\text{span} \{\phi[v_1] \dots \phi[v_m] \Omega : v_1, \dots, v_m \in V, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in \mathcal{H}
\n

3.
$$
\phi[v_1]\phi[v_2] - \phi[v_2]\phi[v_1] = i\sigma(v_1, v_2)
$$
1 for $v_1, v_2 \in V$

(in case of fermionic fields, $\phi[v_1]\phi[v_2] + \phi[v_2]\phi[v_1] = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ 1)

Example: If *V* finite-dimensional with basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{2N}$, then Stone-von Neumann theorem gives unitary equivalence to:

$$
\phi[e_{2i}] = x_i, \quad \phi[e_{2i+1}] = D_{x_i}, \quad i = 1, ..., N
$$

as unbounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2N})$.

Let h a (complex) Hilbert space. The bosonic Fock space is

$$
\mathscr{H}=\bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty\otimes_{\mathrm{s}}^n\mathfrak{h}.
$$

For $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, creation/annihilation operators:

$$
a^*[h]\Psi_n := \sqrt{n+1} \ h \otimes_{\mathbf{s}} \Psi_n,
$$

$$
a[h]\Psi_n = \sqrt{n} \left(\langle h | \otimes_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{1}_{n-1} \right) \Psi_n, \quad \Psi_n \in \otimes_{\mathbf{s}}^n \mathfrak{h}
$$

where $\langle h |$ is the map $h \ni u \mapsto \langle h, u \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fock representation $\phi[h] := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a[h] + a^*[h])$ satisfies

$$
\phi[h_1]\phi[h_2] - \phi[h_2]\phi[h_1] = i \operatorname{Im} \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle \mathbf{1} =: i \sigma(h_1, h_2) \mathbf{1}.
$$

Cyclic vector $\Omega = (1, 0, 0^{\otimes 2}, 0^{\otimes 3}, \dots)$. **Non-uniqueness**:

new scalar product $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_i = \sigma(h_1, jh_2) + i\sigma(h_1, h_2)$

provided $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma, j)$ is Kähler, i.e. $j^2 = -\mathbf{1}$ and $\sigma \circ j \geq 0$. New Hilbert space by complexification:

$$
(\alpha + i\beta)h := \alpha h + j\beta h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, \ \alpha + i\beta \in \mathbb{C}.
$$

We focus now on Klein-Gordon fields on Lorentzian (*M, g*).

Typical assumption:

 $P = -\Box_g + m^2$ on $M = \mathbb{R}_t \times S$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, (M, g) globally hyperbolic, i.e. no closed time-like curves, and *S* intersected by each maximally extended time-like curve exactly once.

Occasionally for convenience: $g = -dt^2 + h_t$ with h_t Riemannian, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $u = P_{\pm}^{-1} f$ be the unique solution of forward/backward problem $Pu = f, f \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$.

$$
\sigma(v_1,v_2)=\int_M (v_1 P_+^{-1}v_2 - v_1 P_-^{-1}v_2) d{\rm vol}_g
$$

defines a symplectic form on $\mathcal{C}_{\text{c}}^{\infty}(M;\mathbb{R})/P\mathcal{C}_{\text{c}}^{\infty}(M;\mathbb{R})$.

Quantization gives $v \mapsto \phi[v]$, interpreted as operator-valued distribution $\phi(x)$ that solves $P\phi = 0$.

Proposition

 $\mathsf{Suppose}\,\, \Lambda^{\pm}:\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_\mathrm{c}(M)\rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ satisfies:

$$
\Lambda^{\pm} \geq 0, \quad \Lambda^{+} - \Lambda^{-} = i(P_{+}^{-1} - P_{-}^{-1}), \quad P\Lambda^{\pm} = \Lambda^{\pm} P = 0.
$$

Let h be the completion of $C_c^{\infty}(M)$ w.r.t. $\frac{1}{2}(\Lambda^+ + \Lambda^-)$. Then there exists *j* such that $(h_{\mathbb{R}}, \sigma, j)$ is Kähler and

$$
\langle v_1,v_2\rangle_j=\frac{1}{2}\int_M \overline{v_1}(\Lambda^++\Lambda^-)v_2\,d\mathrm{vol}_g.
$$

This gives $(\Omega | \phi[\nu_1] \phi[\nu_2])$ = $\int_M \nu_1 \Lambda^+ \nu_2 d\text{vol}_g$, $\nu_i \in C_c^{\infty}(M; \mathbb{R})$, hence the name two-point functions.

Choosing Λ^{\pm} amounts to specifying global **state** of the system, and thus a particle interpretation.

If
$$
P = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_y + m^2
$$
 and $m > 0$, then **vacuum state**:
\n
$$
(\Lambda_{\text{vac}}^{\pm} v)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{\pm i(t-s)\sqrt{-\Delta_y + m^2}}}{\sqrt{-\Delta_y + m^2}} v(s, y) ds
$$

In general, Λ^{\pm} should resemble $\Lambda^{\pm}_{\text{vac}}$ at small distances:

We say that
$$
\Lambda^+
$$
, Λ^- define a **Hadamard state** if
\n $\Lambda^{\pm} \geq 0$, $\Lambda^+ - \Lambda^- = i(P_+^{-1} - P_-^{-1})$, $P\Lambda^{\pm} = \Lambda^{\pm}P = 0$,
\nand $WF'(\Lambda^{\pm}) \subset \Sigma^{\pm} \times \Sigma^{\pm}$ (Hadamard condition).

Here, $\sigma_{\text{pr}}(P)$ is $p(t, y, \tau, \eta) = \tau^2 - \eta \cdot h_t(y)\eta$. Characteristic set:

$$
\Sigma = \Sigma^{+} \cup \Sigma^{-}, \quad \Sigma^{\pm} = \left\{ (t, y, \tau, \eta) : \tau = \pm (\eta \cdot h_{t}(y)\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \eta \neq 0 \right\}
$$

If $\Gamma \subset T^*M \times T^*M$, $\Gamma' = \left\{ ((x_1, \xi_1), (x_2, \xi_2)) : ((x_1, \xi_1), (x_2, -\xi_2)) \in \Gamma \right\}.$

Theorem

$$
\Lambda^{\pm}_{\text{vac}}
$$
 are Hadamard

Proof. Use
$$
(i^{-1}\partial_t \pm \sqrt{-\Delta_y + m^2})\Lambda_{\text{vac}}^{\pm} = 0
$$
.

Consequences of Hadamard condition $\boxed{\mathrm{WF}'(\Lambda^\pm) \subset \Sigma^\pm \times \Sigma^\pm}$:

Theorem [Radzikowski '96]

 Λ^{\pm} is unique modulo op. with $C^{\infty}(M \times M)$ Schwartz kernel.

Proof. If
$$
\tilde{\Lambda}^{\pm}
$$
 also Hadamard two-point functions, $\Lambda^{+} - \Lambda^{-} = \tilde{\Lambda}^{+} - \tilde{\Lambda}^{-}$, hence $\Lambda^{+} - \tilde{\Lambda}^{+} = \Lambda^{-} - \tilde{\Lambda}^{-}$. These have disjoint wave front sets. Hence $WF(\Lambda^{\pm} - \tilde{\Lambda}^{\pm})' = \emptyset$.

Consequences of Hadamard condition $\boxed{\mathrm{WF}'(\Lambda^{\pm})\subset\Sigma^{\pm}\times\Sigma^{\pm}}$: We can define non-linear quantities, e.g. Wick square:

$$
:\phi^{2}(x):=\lim_{x\to x'}\phi(x)\phi(x')-\text{parametrix for }\Lambda^{+}(x,x'),
$$

similarly quantum stress-energy tensor : $T_{\mu\nu}$:.

So, *"not Hadamard" means infinite energy*. Example:

Theorem Chronology Protection Theorem [Kay, Radzikowski, Wald '96]

If Λ^{\pm} Hadamard, : $\phi^2(x)$: blows up at any compactly generated Cauchy horizon.

 $\left[\text{Dustermaat, Hörmander '72}\right]$ gives Λ^{\pm} satisfying $\text{WF}'(\Lambda^{\pm}) \subset \Sigma^{\pm} \times \Sigma^{\pm}$, $P\Lambda^{\pm} = \Lambda^{\pm}P = 0$, $\Lambda^{\pm} \ge 0$ and $\Lambda^{+} - \Lambda^{-} = i(P_{+}^{-1} - P_{-}^{-1})$ modulo C^{∞}

Theorem [Fulling, Narcowich, Wald '79]

Existence of Hadamard states on any globally hyperbolic (M, g) .

Also, existence on AdS spacetimes for WF_b instead of WF [W. '17] (using [Vasy '12]), [Gannot, W. '19]

Theorem [Junker '96], [Junker, Schrohe '02], [Gérard, W. '14]

Hadamard states with Cauchy data in $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^2)$ (more generally, in *bounded geometry* calculus [Gérard, Oulghazi, W. '17])

Especially interesting for wave equation $(m = 0)$: note $\sqrt{-\Delta} \notin \Psi(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$.

Conjecture

Existence of Hadamard states for linearized Einstein equations (at least for perturbations of Minkowski space?)

Maxwell fields: [Furlani '95], [Fewster, Hunt '03], [Dappiaggi, Siemssen '13], [Finster, Strohmaier '15]; Linearized Yang-mills: [Hollands '08] (around 0), $[G\acute{e}$ rard, W. '15], $[Zahn, W. '17]$; Linearized gravity: [Benini, Dappiaggi, Murro '14] (problematic topological restrictions)

On real analytic spacetimes:

```
Theorem [Strohmaier, Verch, Wollenberg '02]
analytic Hadamard condition \Rightarrow Reeh-Schlieder effect, i.e.
             span\left\{\prod_{i=1}^p \phi[u_i]\Omega: \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ u_i \in C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(O)\right\}dense in \mathscr H for <u>any</u> open \mathcal C^\infty_{\mathrm c}(O).
```
The general mechanism is that unique continuation theorems for (non-smooth) solutions of $Pu = 0$ imply local-to-global phenomena [Dybalski, W. '19].

Theorem [Gérard, W. '19]

Existence of analytic Hadamard states on any analytic globally hyperbolic (*M, g*).

Quantum effects induced by geometry

Fundamental principles: finite speed of propagation, causality and universal short-distance behaviour. Unexpectedly, however:

Unruh effect.

Hawking radiation on black hole spacetimes. Particle creation in scattering situations.

packets on the nortzon at late times. If one makes up wave-packets your inc the $\{p_{i\alpha}\}\$, one finds that a fraction $\Gamma_{i\alpha}$ penetrates through the potential barrier around the black hole and gets out to \mathcal{I}^- with the same frequency ω that it had on the horizon. This produces a $\delta(\omega - \omega')$ behaviour in γ_{in} . The remaining fraction $1 - \Gamma_{in}$ of the wave-packet is reflected back by the potential barrier and passes through the collapsing body and out onto \mathcal{I}^- . Here it will have a similar form to $p_{1n}^{(2)}$. Thus for large ω' ,

$$
|\gamma_{j_{\text{new}}}^{(2)}| = \exp(\pi \omega \kappa^{-1}) |\eta_{j_{\text{new}}}^{(2)}| \,. \tag{4.3}
$$

By a similar argument to that used in Section (2) one would conclude that the number of particles crossing the event horizon in a wave-packet mode peaked at late times would be

 $(1 - \Gamma_{1})$ { $\exp(2\pi \omega \kappa^{-1}) - 1$ } $^{-1}$. (4.4)

For a given frequency ω , i.e. a given value of *j*, the absorption fraction $\Gamma_{i\pi}$ goes to zero as the angular quantum number *l* increases because of the centrifugal barrier. Thus at first sight it might seem that each wave-packet mode of high l value would contain

 $\{\exp(2\pi\omega\kappa^{-1})-1\}^{-1}$

particles and that the total rate of particles and energy crossing the event horizon would be infinite. This calculation would, of course, be inconsistent with the On Cauchy data (ϕ_0, ϕ_1) , hermitian form $\langle \phi_0, \phi_1 \rangle_{L^2(S)} - \langle \phi_1, \phi_0 \rangle_{L^2(S)}$ preserved by evolution.

If C^{\pm} : $C_{\rm c}^{\infty}(S)^2 \to C^{\infty}(S)^2$ satisfy $C^{\pm} \geq 0$, $C^+ + C^- = 1$, then $\Lambda^{\pm} = \pm U^* C^{\pm} U$ are two-point functions, where *U* maps Cauchy data to solutions.

Now suppose ∂_t is a time-like Killing vector field, and rewrite $Pu = 0$ as

$$
i^{-1}\partial_t\psi(t) = H\psi(t), \quad \psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ i^{-1}\partial_t u(t) \end{pmatrix};
$$
 for instance $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on Minkowski.

The Cauchy data of Λ^\pm_vac are $\mathcal{C}^\pm_\mathrm{vac} = \mathbf{1}_{\pm [0,\infty)}(H)$. The Cauchy data of ${\sf thermal\ state\ } \Lambda^\pm_\beta$ (at inverse tempera- $\textrm{ture} \,\, \beta > 0)$ are $\, C_{\beta}^{\pm} = (\boldsymbol{1} - e^{\mp \beta H})^{-1}$

Let $P = -\partial_s^2 + \Delta_y + m^2$ on Minkowski space.

The Killing vector ∂_s is time-like. But in $M_I = \{y \ge |s|\}$, another time-like Killing vector: $X = y\partial_s + s\partial_v$.

Theorem [Fulling '73], [Davies '75], [Unruh '76]

 \sf{Unruh} effect: the vacuum state $\Lambda^{\pm}_{\rm vac}$ w.r.t. $\partial_{\sf s}$ restricts to a **thermal state** (with $\beta = 2\pi\alpha/\kappa$) w.r.t. *X* on M_I .

Proof. New coordinates:

$$
U = s + y, \quad V = s - y \quad \text{on } M,
$$

$$
U = e^{\kappa u}, \quad V = e^{-\kappa v} \quad \text{on } M_{\text{I}}.
$$

Then $\partial_s = \partial_U + \partial_V$ and $X = \kappa (U \partial_U - V \partial_V) = \partial_u + \partial_V$. The crucial identity is $\boxed{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(D_\mathsf{x}) = \chi\big(\frac{1}{2}(\mathsf{x} D_\mathsf{x} + D_\mathsf{x} \mathsf{x})\big),}$ where $\chi(\lambda) = (1 + e^{-2\pi\lambda})^{-1}$. Hence $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(D_U) = T \circ \chi(D_U) \circ T^{-1}$.

Schwarzschild (exterior of eternal black hole) (*M*I*, g*):

$$
g = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2})
$$

Kruskal extension (*M, g*):

$$
g=-\frac{16m^3}{r}e^{-r/2m}(dUdV+dVdU)+r^2(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)
$$

Time-like Killing vector $X = \partial_t$ in M_I . But ∂_t not time-like in whole *M*.

On Schwarzschild (exterior of eternal black hole), time-like Killing vector ∂_t . But ∂_t no longer time-like in whole Kruskal extension.

Geometry enforces **Hawking temperature** $T_{\rm H} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$, where κ is the horizon's surface gravity: $\text{grad}_{\mathscr{B}} g(\partial_t, \partial_t) = -2\kappa \partial_t$

Theorem [Sanders '15], [Gérard '18] (solving conjecture by [Hartle, Hawking / Israel '76])

Existence of maximally symmetric Hadamard state (Hartle-Hawking-Israel state) on Kruskal extension of Schwarzschild. This state is thermal $(\beta = \mathcal{T}_{\rm H}^{-1})$ w.r.t. ∂_t in exterior region .

Conjecture

The HHI state is analytic Hadamard.

Known in exterior region [Strohmaier, Verch, Wollenberg '02].

On Kerr (exterior of rotating black hole), Killing vector ∂_t not everywhere time-like. Thermal state Λ_{β}^{\pm} w.r.t. ∂_t <u>not</u> Hadamard. Same for $\partial_t + \Omega \partial_{\varphi}$.

Conjecture $\$ 8 [Kay, Wald '91]

No maximally symmetric Hadamard state on ('Kruskal extension' of) Kerr spacetime.

Partial results [Kay, Wald '91], [Moretti, Pinamonti '12], [Lupo '18], [Pinamonti, Sanders, Verch '19]

Conjecture ⁸⁸⁸⁸ [Unruh '76] [Kay, Wald '91]

Existence of Hadamard state in *union of exterior and interior* of Kerr black hole, asymptotically thermal at past event horizon (Unruh state).

Hadamard states from scattering data: [Moretti '08], [Dappiaggi, Moretti, Pinamonti '09], [Gérard, W. '16], [Vasy, W. '18] using [Baskin, Vasy, Wunsch '15].

Conjecture for *Schwarzschild*: [Dappiaggi, Moretti, Pinamonti '11]

Conjecture for *massless Dirac*: [Gérard, Häfner, W. '19] using [Häfner, Nicolas '04]

Genericity of Hawking radiation spectrum: [Fredenhagen, Haag '90]

Scattering on Kerr(-de Sitter): [Häfner '03], [Vasy '13], [Georgescu, Gérard, Häfner '17] using [Dyatlov '11], [Dafermos, Rodnianski, Shlapentokh-Rothman '18]

More 'phenomenological' description of Hawking radiation: [Bachelot '99], [Melnyk '03], [Häfner '09], [Gérard, Bouvier '14], [Drouot '17] The case of Klein-Gordon fields on Kerr spacetime is *open*.

Towards semi-classical Quantum Gravity

Einstein equations $\delta(S + S_{\text{matter}})=0$

where
$$
S = \int_M (\text{scal}_g + \Lambda) d\text{vol}_g
$$
 Einstein-Hilbert action.

For instance, if matter is a classical Klein-Gordon field,

$$
S_{\rm matter}=\frac{1}{2}\int_M(\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla^\mu\phi+m^2\phi^2)d{\rm vol}_g
$$

Relevant term:

$$
T_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}\phi\nabla_{\nu}\phi - g_{\mu\nu}(\nabla_{\sigma}\phi\nabla^{\sigma}\phi + m^2\phi^2).
$$

Naive derivation of semi-classical Einstein equations

$$
e^{-S_{\text{eff}}} = \int [d\phi] e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \phi, P\phi \rangle} = (\det P)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}\log P},
$$

where $\log P = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left(- \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-sP}}{s} + (\gamma - \log \varepsilon) \mathrm{id} \right)$

So one needs to renormalize:

 \overline{a}

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{eff,ren}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \int_M \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff,ren}}(x) d\mathrm{vol}_g,
$$

$$
L_{\text{eff,ren}}(x) = -\int_0^\infty ds \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{(4\pi s)^2} e^{-m^2 s} \sum_{n=3}^\infty \alpha_n(x, x) s^n.
$$

Quantum stress-energy tensor:

$$
T_{\mu\nu}(x): \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{2}{\sqrt{|\det g|}} \frac{\delta L_{\text{eff}}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = K_{\mu\nu}[P^{-1}](x,x) - \text{ sing. part}
$$

Theorem [Gell-Redman, Haber, Vasy '16], [Vasy '17]

If (*M, g*) asymptotically Minkowski (or actually globally hyperbolic, non-trapping Lorentzian scattering space), then $P = -\Box_{\sigma} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is **invertible**.

$$
\mathcal{X} = \left\{ u \in H_{\mathrm{b}}^{s,n/2-1}(M): \ P u \in \mathcal{X} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{Y} = H_{\mathrm{b}}^{s-1,n/2+1}(M),
$$

where $\pm s > \frac{1}{2}$ near sources/sinks (if 0 not a resonance).

Proof by radial estimates ([Melrose '94], [Hassell, Melrose, Vasy '04], [Vasy '13], [Datchev, Dyatlov '13], etc.) for P and $\widehat{N}(P)(\sigma)$ + extra commutator argument.

[Vasy, W. '18]

$$
\mathrm{WF}(P_{\mathrm{F}}^{-1})'=(\mathrm{diag}_{T^*M})\cup\bigcup_{t\leq 0}(\Phi_t(\mathrm{diag}_{T^*M})\cap\pi^{-1}\Sigma)
$$

Theorem [Gérard, W. '16-'19] cf. [Bär, Strohmaier '15]

If (M, g) asymptotically Minkowski, then $P = -\Box_g + m^2$: $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is **invertible**. Here, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} defined using spectral projectors $\mathbf{1}_{\pm [0,\infty)}(H)$ at $t = \pm \infty$.

Proof provides 'semi-group generator' $B(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_t; \Psi^1(M))$, s.t. $P = (D_t - B(t))(D_t + B^*(t))$ mod $\Psi_{sc}^{-\infty, -1-\delta}(M)$ and $B(t) - \sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} \in \Psi_{\rm sc}^{1, -\delta}(M).$

Furthermore,

$$
\mathrm{WF}(P_{\mathrm{F}}^{-1})'=(\mathrm{diag}_{T^*M})\cup\bigcup_{t\leq 0}(\Phi_t(\mathrm{diag}_{T^*M})\cap\pi^{-1}\Sigma)
$$

Dereziński conjectured:

If (M, g) asymptotically static then \square_g is essentially selfadjoint on $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(M)$ and limiting absorption principle

$$
(\Box - m^2)_F^{-1} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} (\Box_g - m^2 - i\varepsilon)^{-1}.
$$

Theorem [Dereziński, Siemssen '18]

If (M, g) static (i.e. $g = -dt^2 + h$) then conjecture is true. Convergence in the sense of strong operator limit $\langle t \rangle^{-s}L^2(M) \rightarrow \langle t \rangle^{s}L^2(M), s > \frac{1}{2}.$

The *scattering cotangent bundle* ^{sc} T^*M has local basis:

$$
\frac{d\rho}{\rho^2}, \frac{dy_1}{\rho}, \ldots, \frac{dy_{n-1}}{\rho}.
$$

A Lorentzian sc-metric *g* is a section of ${}^{sc}T^{*}M \otimes_{s} {}^{sc}T^{*}M$ of Lorentzian signature.

Non-trapping if null bicharacteristics at fiber infinity go from s ources $L^- \subset \overline{{}^{\text{sc}}} \overline{T}^*_{\partial M}M$ to s inks $L^+ \subset \overline{{}^{\text{sc}}} \overline{T}^*_{\partial M}M$ (or stay within).

Theorem [Vasy '17]

Suppose (M, g) is a non-trapping Lorentzian sc-metric. Then \Box_{g} is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{C}^\infty_\mathrm{c}(M)$. Limiting absorption principle for $\Box_g - m^2$ if non-trapping at energy m^2 and Feynman problem invertible.

A few other recent developments in QFT on curved spacetimes:

Perturbative non-linear fields. [Dang '16-'19], [Brouder, Dang, Hélein '16], [Dang, Zhang '17], [Bahns, Rejzner '18], [Dang, Herscovich '19] ; Entanglement entropy. [Witten '18], [Longo, Xu '18], [Hollands '19]; Quantum Energy Inequalities. [Fewster '17], [Fewster, Kontou '19]; Expansions at de Sitter boundary. [Hollands '16], [Vasy, W. '18] [W. '19]; Relationship between fields and geometry. [Dang '19], cf. [Strohmaier, Zelditch '18]

Further reading:

- ▶ S.A. Fulling: Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved *Spacetime* (1989)
- ▶ R. M. Wald: *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime and Black Hole Thermodynamics* (1994)
- ▶ S. Hollands, R. M. Wald: *Quantum Fields in Curved Spacetime* arXiv:1401.2026 (2014)
- ▶ C. Gérard: *Microlocal Analysis of Quantum Fields on Curved Spacetimes* arXiv:1901.10175 (2019)