Introduction to Fourier Integral Operators - Lecture 3

Raluca Felea and Allan Greenleaf

Introductory Workshop

MSRI Microlocal Analysis Program

- Symbol calculus
- 2 Functional and composition calculus
- **3** Examples and applications
- **4** Extensions and generalizations of FIO calculus
- **5** Readings for all three lectures

### Symbol calculus of Fourier integral distributions

For  $\Lambda \subset T^*X^n \setminus \mathbf{0}$  a smooth conic Lagrangian,

 $I^m(X;\Lambda) =$  all locally finite sums of  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ 

given by oscillatory integrals

$$u = u(a,\phi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{i\phi(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) \, d\theta, \quad a \in S_{1,0}^{m-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n}{4}}$$

with  $\phi(x,\theta)$  a nondegenerate phase on  $X^n \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus 0)$ 

$$\sim Crit_{\phi} := \{(x,\theta) : d_{\theta}\phi(x,\theta) = 0\}$$
$$\sim \Lambda_{\phi} := \{(x,d_x\phi) : (x,\theta) \in Crit_{\phi}\} \subset \Lambda.$$

## Symbol calculus of Fourier integral distributions

• Define *n*-form  $\mu_{\phi}$  on  $Crit_{\phi}$  by requiring

$$\mu_{\phi} \wedge d(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta_1}) \dots \wedge d(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta_N}) = dx_1 \dots \wedge dx_n \wedge d\theta_1 \dots \wedge \theta_N$$

• If  $\lambda_i$  are local coord on  $Crit_\phi$  then  $\mu_\phi = f d\lambda_1 \cdots \wedge d\lambda_n$ , with

$$f = \frac{dx_1 \cdots \wedge dx_n \wedge d\theta_1 \cdots \wedge \theta_N}{d\lambda_1 \cdots \wedge d\lambda_n \wedge d(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta_1}) \cdots \wedge d(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta_n})}$$

• To obtain an invariantly defined principal symbol,  $\sigma_{prin}(u)$ , if

$$a^{0} := \left[a|_{Crit_{\phi}}\right] \in S_{1,0}^{m-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n}{4}}/S_{1,0}^{m-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n}{4}-1},$$

**Def.** The principal symbol  $\sigma_{prin}(u)$  of  $u(a, \phi)$  is the push-forward of the half-density  $a^0 \sqrt{\mu_{\phi}}$  from  $Crit_{\phi}$  to  $\Lambda$ .

Suppose  $A \in I^m(C; X, Y)$ . What about (formal)  $A^*$ ? If

$$K_A(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{i\phi(x,y,\theta)} a(x,y,\theta) \, d\theta, \quad a \in S^{m-\frac{N}{2} + \frac{n_X + n_Y}{4}},$$

then

$$K_{A^*}(y,x) = \overline{K_A(x,y)}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\phi(x,y,\theta)} \overline{a}(x,y,\theta) \, d\theta, \quad \overline{a} \in S^{m-\frac{N}{2} + \frac{n_X + n_Y}{4}}$$

 $\implies A^* \in I^m(C^t; Y, X)$ , where  $C^t$  is the transpose relation.

Suppose  $A_1 \in I^{m_1}(C_1; X, Y), A_2 \in I^{m_2}(C_2; Y, Z)$  are properly supported.

• **Q.** Is  $A_1A_2$  an FIO? **No** in general, but **yes** if we impose some geometric conditions.

Note

$$WF_{A_1A_2} \subseteq WF_{A_1} \circ WF_{A_2} = WK(K_{A_1})' \circ WF(K_{A_2})'$$
$$\subseteq C_1 \circ C_2 \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Z \setminus \mathbf{0})$$

Basic examples show  $C_1 \circ C_2$  need not be a smooth canonical relation. However, under a **transversality** or **clean intersection** condition, it is, and the operator theory follows the geometry.

• Def.  $S_1, S_2 \subset M$  intersect transversally if  $T_m S_1 + T_m S_2 = T_m M$ for all  $m \in S_1 \cap S_2$ . (Holds  $\iff N_m^* S_1 \cap N_m^* S_2 = (0)$ .) Write  $S_1 \oplus S_2$ .

7/31

- **Prop.** If  $S_1\overline{\square}S_2$ , then (i)  $S_3 := S_1 \cap S_2$  is smooth; (ii)  $\operatorname{codim}(S_3) = \operatorname{codim}(S_1) + \operatorname{codim}(S_2)$ ; and (iii)  $TS_3 = TS_1 \cap TS_2$  at all points.
- Ex. In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :  $\{z=0\}\overline{\oplus}\{z=x\}$ , but  $\{z=0\} \overline{\oplus}\{z=xy\}$ .

• For  $C_1 \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$  and  $C_2 \subset (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Z \setminus \mathbf{0})$ ,

$$C_1 \circ C_2 = \{ (x, \xi, z, \zeta) : \exists (y, \eta) \, s.t. \, (x, \xi, y, \eta) \in C_1, \, (y, \eta, z, \zeta) \in C_2 \}$$
$$= (\pi_1 \times \pi_4) \big( (C_1 \times C_2) \cap (T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z) \big)$$

- To have a chance of  $A_1A_2$  being an FIO associated with a smooth canonical relation, need that the intersection set be **smooth**.
- One way to get this is to demand that the intersection be transverse.

#### Transverse intersection calculus

• Thm. (Hörmander) Suppose

 $A_1 \in I^{m_1}(C_1; X, Y), A_2 \in I^{m_2}(C_2; Y, Z)$  are properly supported. If  $C_1 \times C_2$  intersects  $T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z$  transversally, then  $C_1 \circ C_2$  is a smooth canonical relation and

$$A_1 A_2 \in I^{m_1 + m_2}(C_1 \circ C_2; X, Z)$$

- If either  $C_1$  or  $C_2$  is a local canonical graph, then  $A_1A_2$  is covered by the  $\overline{\cap}$  calculus.
- In particular,  $I^m(C; X, Y)$  is closed under composition on the right with  $\Psi^0(Y)$  and on the left with  $\Psi^0(X)$ .
- If C is a canonical graph and  $A \in I^m(C; X, Y)$  is properly supported, then  $A^*A \in \Psi^{2m}(Y)$ , and A elliptic at  $(x_0, \xi_0, y_0, \eta_0) \Longrightarrow A^*A$  elliptic at  $(y_0, \eta_0)$ .

 Def. S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> ⊂ M intersect cleanly if (i) S<sub>3</sub> := S<sub>1</sub> ∩ S<sub>2</sub> is smooth; and TS<sub>3</sub> = TS<sub>1</sub> ∩ TS<sub>2</sub> at all points. The excess of the intersection is e := codim(S<sub>1</sub>)+codim(S<sub>2</sub>)-codim(S<sub>3</sub>) ≥ 0.

**Ex.**  $S_1 = x$ -axis and  $S_2 = y$ -axis in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , with excess e = 2 + 2 - 3 = 1.

**Ex.**  $S_1 = x$ -axis and  $S_2 = \{y = x^2\}$  do not intersect cleanly in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

• Thm. (Duistermaat-Guillemin; Weinstein) If  $C_1 \times C_2$  intersects  $T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z$  cleanly with excess e, then  $C_1 \circ C_2$  is smooth and

$$A_1A_2 \in I^{m_1+m_2+\frac{e}{2}}(C_1 \circ C_2; X, Z).$$

## Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

**Ex.** Let  $\Sigma \subset T^*X^n \setminus \mathbf{0}$  be a conic hypersurface.

- $\Sigma$  is automatically **co-isotropic**:  $(T\Sigma)^{\omega} \subset T\Sigma$  at all pts.
- Microlocally, can write  $\Sigma = \{p(x,\xi) = 0\}$ ,  $p \in C^{\infty}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , homog of deg 1.
- $(T\Sigma)^{\omega} = \mathbb{R} \cdot H_p$ , where  $H_p$  is the Hamiltonian vector field of p,

$$H_p(x,\xi) = (dp(x,\xi))^{\omega} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}$$

• But  $H_p \in T\Sigma$ , since  $\langle dp, H_p \rangle = \omega(H_p, H_p) = 0$  by skew-symmetry.

 Thus, Σ is foliated by the integral curves of H<sub>p</sub>, called the bicharacteristic curves of Σ, which are nonradial if H<sub>p</sub> ∦ ξ · ∂<sub>ξ</sub>. The curve passing through (x, ξ) ∈ Σ is denoted Ξ<sub>x,ξ</sub>.

### Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

• Def. The flowout relation of Σ,

 $C_{\Sigma} = \left\{ (x, \xi, y, \eta) : (x, \xi) \in \Sigma, (y, \eta) \in \Xi_{x, \xi} \right\} \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0})$ 

is a smooth, conic canonical relation. Note:  $C_{\Sigma}$  is degenerate.  $D\pi_L$ ,  $D\pi_R$  drop rank by 1 everywhere.

•  $C_{\Sigma} \circ C_{\Sigma}$  covered by the clean intersection calc, with excess e = 1:

$$I^{m_1}(C; X, X) \circ I^{m_2}(C; X, X) \subseteq I^{m_1 + m_2 + \frac{1}{2}}(C; X, X)$$

• Results in a loss of 1/2 derivs on  $L^2$ -based Sobolev spaces:

Thm.  $I^m(C; X, X) : H^s_{comp}(X) \to H^{s-m-\frac{1}{2}}_{loc}(X).$ 

## Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

- Flowout relations  $C_{\Sigma}$  describe the propagation of singularities of solutions to Pu = f, where  $P(x, D) \in \Psi_{cl}^m(X)$ .
- Def. P(x, D) ∈ Ψ<sub>cl</sub> is of real principal type if p(x, ξ) := σ<sub>prin</sub>(P) is R-valued, d<sub>x,ξ</sub>p ≠ (0,0) at Σ = p<sup>-1</sup>(0), and no bicharacteristic Ξ<sub>x,ξ</sub>
   of p is trapped over a compact set K ⊂⊂ X.
   (In particular, there are no radial points.)
- Thm. (Duistermaat-Hörmander) If P(x, D) is RPT and Pu = f, then  $WF(u) \setminus WF(f)$  is a union of maximally extended  $\Xi_{x,\xi}$ . Futhermore, there exists a two-sided parametrix Q,  $QP = I - R_1$  and  $PQ = I - R_2$  with  $R_1, R_2 \in \Psi^{-\infty}(X)$ , with  $Q \in I^{\frac{1}{2}-m}(C_{\Sigma})$  away from  $\Delta_{T^*X}$ .

# **Applications: Egorov's Theorem**

- Let Φ : T\*Y \ 0 → T\*X \ 0 be a canonical transformation defined on a conic nhood of (y<sub>0</sub>, η<sub>0</sub>). Then C := graph(Φ) is a canonical graph.
- Let  $F \in I^0(C; X, Y)$  be an elliptic FIO, and  $G \in I^0(C^t; Y, X)$  a parametrix (microlocal inverse mod  $C^{\infty}$ ), with  $C^t = graph(\Phi^{-1})$ :

 $GF \equiv I \text{ and } FG \equiv I \mod C^{\infty}.$ 

• Thm. (Egorov) If  $P(x,D) \in \Psi^m(X)$ , then  $FPG \in \Psi^m(Y)$ , with

$$\sigma_{prin}(FPG)(y,\eta) = \sigma_{prin}(P)(\Phi(y,\eta))$$

•  $\implies$  Large literature on reducing  $\Psi$ DO to normal forms, proving propagation of singularities or local solvability.

Suppose  $Z \subset X^{n_X} \times Y^{n_Y}$ , codim k. Consider



• Def. Z is a double fibration if  $\pi_X : Z \to X$  and  $\pi_Y : Z \to Y$ are submersions. Then,  $\forall x \in X, y \in Y$ ,

 $Y_x:=\pi_Y\pi_X^{-1}(\{x\})\subset Y \text{ and } X^y:=\pi_X\pi_Y^{-1}(\{y\})\subset X \text{ are codim } k$ 

• Choice of smooth densities on X, Y, Z induces pair of **generalized** Radon transforms,  $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{E}'(Y) \to \mathcal{D}'(X)$  and  $\mathcal{R}^t : \mathcal{E}'(X) \to \mathcal{D}'(Y)$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}f(x) = \int_{Y_x} f(y) \, dy \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^t g(y) = \int_{X^y} f(x) \, dx.$$

Z is the incidence relation of a generalized Radon transform,  $\mathcal{R}$ .

• Guillemin-Sternberg: Schwartz kernel of  $\mathcal{R} = \delta_Z$ , which is a conormal, hence Fourier integral distribution: Locally describe Z as

$$Z = \{(x, y) : \Phi_1(x, y) = \dots = \Phi_k(x, y) = 0\}.$$

• Writing  $\delta_Z$  as shorthand for a smooth multiple of  $\delta_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\Phi)$ ,

where

$$C = N^* Z' \subset (T^* X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^* Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$$

and  $\mathcal{R}^t \in I^{\frac{n_X+n_Y-2k}{4}}(C^t; Y, X).$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- If C is a canonical graph, then  $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R} \in \Psi^{\frac{n_X+n_Y-2k}{2}}(Y)$ , elliptic if  $\mathcal{R}$  is.
- $\exists$  parametrix  $Q \in \Psi^{-\frac{n_X+n_Y-2k}{2}}(Y)$ ,  $Q\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R} \equiv I \mod C^{\infty}$ , and thus  $\mathcal{R}f$  determines  $f \mod C^{\infty}, \forall f \in \mathcal{E}'(Y)$ .
- **Ex.** Radon transform:  $Y = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $X = \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$Z = \{(\omega, s, y) : s - \omega \cdot x = 0\}.$$

 $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}f = c_n f * |y|^{1-n}$ , which has inverse  $c_n(-\Delta)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ .

The **filtered backprojection** inversion formulae for the Radon transform,

$$f = c_n \left( (-\Delta)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathcal{R}^* \right) \mathcal{R}f = c_n \mathcal{R}^* (|\partial_s|^{n-1}) \mathcal{R}f,$$

thus generalize (  $\mod C^{\infty}$ ) to a wide variety of GRTs.

• Suppose  $n_X > n_Y$  ( $\mathcal{R}$  is **overdetermined**).

 $\dim(T^*X) = 2n_X > \dim(C) = n_X + n_Y > \dim(T^*Y) = 2n_Y.$ 

- Then  $C = N^*Z'$  is nondegen, i.e.,  $\pi_L : C \to T^*X$  has maximal rank, iff  $D\pi_L$  is injective.
- Clean intersection calculus applies to  $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}$ , with excess  $e = n_X n_Y$ , but to make sure that  $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}$  is only a  $\Psi$ DO, need  $C^t \circ C \subseteq \Delta_{T^*Y}$ .
- Def. (Guillemin) R (or Z or C) satisfies the Bolker condition if, in addition to Dπ<sub>L</sub>: TC → T(T\*X) being injective, the map π<sub>L</sub>: C → T\*X is injective. I.e., not only is π<sub>L</sub> infinitesimally 1-1, it is globally 1-1. (Makes sense for general canonical relations.)

Thm. (Guillemin-Sternberg) Suppose C ⊂ (T\*X \ 0) × (T\*Y \ 0) is a canonical relation satisfying the Bolker condition, and

$$F \in I^{m - \frac{n_X - n_Y}{4}}(C; X, Y)$$

is elliptic and properly supported. Then  $F^*F \in \Psi^{2m}(Y)$ , +elliptic. Hence, u is determined mod  $C^{\infty}(Y)$  by  $Fu \mod C^{\infty}(X)$ ,  $\forall u \in \mathcal{E}'(Y)$ .

- Ex. k-plane transform on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\mathcal{R}_{k,n} \in I^{-\frac{k}{2} \frac{(k+1)(n-k)-n}{4}}(C; M_{k,n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$
- Ex.  $(M^n, g)$  a Riemannian manifold without conjugate points has a (2n-2)-dimensional space  $\mathcal{G}$  of geodesics. The X-ray transform on M, defined by  $Xf(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} f \, ds$ , satisfies the Bolker condition,  $X^*X \in \Psi^{-1}(M)$ , and  $Xf \mod C^{\infty}$  determines  $f \mod C^{\infty}$ .

### An example where Bolker is violated

- X-ray transform on  $(M,g) = (\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$
- $X \in I^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(2n-2)-n}{4}}(C; \mathcal{G}, M)$  with  $C \subset (T^*\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*M \setminus \mathbf{0})$  is nondeg., but  $\pi_L : C \to T^*\mathcal{G}$  is 2-1.
- Composition  $X^*X$  is covered by clean intersection calc, but

$$X^*X \in I^{-1}(\Delta) \cup I^{-1}(\Gamma)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the graph of the canonical transf induced by antipodal map, and X has a large kernel (all odd distributions).

- ∃ need for distributions [operators] whose wavefront sets [relations] are not a smooth Lagrangian [canonical relation]:
- Duistermaat-Hörmander constructed parametrices Q for RPT operators  $P(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{D})$  have

$$WF_Q \subseteq \Delta_{T^*X} \cup C_{\Sigma}$$

where  $C_{\Sigma}$  is the flowout of  $\Sigma$ .  $\Delta \cap C_{\Sigma}$  cleanly with excess e = n - 1.

• Each of  $\Delta_{T^*X}$ ,  $C_{\Sigma}$  is smooth, but their union is not, and  $K_Q$  is **not** simply a sum in  $I^{m_1}(\Delta) + I^{m_2}(C_{\Sigma})$ .

# **Paired Lagrangian distributions**

• Melrose-Uhlmann-Guillemin-Mendoza introduced classes of Lagrangian-like distributions associated with pairs  $\Lambda_0$ ,  $\Lambda_1 \subset T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$ which intersect cleanly in codimension  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$  Denoted

$$I^{p,l}(X;\Lambda_0,\Lambda_1), \quad p,l \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- Just as u ∈ I<sup>m</sup>(Λ) can be characterized either as oscillatory integrals or in terms of iterated regularity, I<sup>p,l</sup> can be characterized either as
  (i) oscillatory integrals with certain types of product type symbols; or
  (ii) distributions satisfying iterated regularity with respect to P<sub>j</sub> ∈ Ψ<sup>1</sup><sub>cl</sub> with σ<sub>prin</sub> vanishing on Λ<sub>0</sub> ∪ Λ<sub>1</sub>.
- If  $u \in I^{p,l}(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1)$  then microlocally away from  $\Lambda_0 \cap \Lambda_1$ ,

 $u \in I^{p+l}(\Lambda_0 \setminus \Lambda_1)$  and  $u \in I^p(\Lambda_1 \setminus \Lambda_0)$ .

• If  $C_0, C_1 \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$  are a cleanly intersecting pair, then

 $I^{p,l}(C_0,C_1;X,Y) = \text{ operators } T \text{ with } K_T \in I^{p,l}(C'_0,C'_1).$ 

- When Y = X,  $C_0 = \Delta_{T^*X}$ : " $\Psi$ DO with singular symbols".
- *I<sup>p,l</sup>*-operators arise in several applications:
  - (i) Parametrices for RPT:  $Q \in I^{\frac{1}{2}-m,-\frac{1}{2}}(\Delta, C_{\Sigma})$  [Melrose-Uhlmann]
  - (ii) Parametrices for restricted X-ray transforms [G.- Uhlmann];
  - (iii) Linearized inverse probs for seismic, radar imaging [Nolan, Felea];(iv) Composing FIOs outside the clean intersection calculus[G.-Uhlmann, Felea].

• Ex. 
$$x = (x', x'')$$
,  $C_0 = \Delta_{T^* \mathbb{R}^n}$ ,  $C_1 = N^* \{ x' - y' = 0 \}$ 

• Def. 1. 
$$K(x,y) = \int e^{i((x'-y')\cdot\xi'+(x''-y'')\cdot\xi'')}a(x,\xi)d\xi'd\xi'',$$

$$\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi''}^{\gamma} a| \le c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} (1+|\xi'|+|\xi''|)^{m-|\beta|} (1+|\xi''|)^{m'-|\gamma|}$$

• **Def. 2.** Iterated regularity:  $u \in I^{p,l}(C_0, C_1)$  if  $P_1P_2...P_NK \in H^{s_0}_{loc}$ where  $P_j \in \Psi^1_{cl}$  with  $\sigma(P_j)$  vanishing on  $C_0 \cup C_1$ 

## Beyond the standard FIO calculus

• **Recall:** Melrose-Taylor Radon transform ( $T_5$  from Lec. I),  $\mathcal{R}_{MT} : \mathcal{D}'(\partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ , given by

$$\mathcal{R}_{MT}(f)(\omega,t) = \mathcal{R}_{MT}(f)(\omega,t) := \int \int_{\{y \cdot \omega = t-s\} \subset \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} f(y,s)$$

- $\mathcal{R}_{MT} \in I^{-(n-1)/2}(C)$ , with C not a canonical graph. Both  $\pi_L$ ,  $\pi_R$  have degeneracies of Whitney fold type. Such C called folding canonical relations.  $T \in I(C)$  lose 1/6 deriv on  $L^2$ .
- M-T already observed that the composition  $C^t \circ C$  is not a smooth canonical relation, but  $\subset \Delta_{T^*(\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R})} \cup C_1$ , where  $C_1$  intersects  $\Delta$  cleanly in codim 1.
- Thm. (Nolan-Felea). If C is a folding canonical relation and  $F \in I^m(C; X, Y)$  then  $F^*F \in I^{2m,0}(\Delta_{T^*Y}, C_1)$ .

- L. Hörmander, Fourier integral operators, I. Acta Math. **127** (1971), 79–183.
- J.J. Duistermaat and L. Hörmander, Fourier integral operators, II. *Acta Math.* **128** (1972), 183–269.
- JJ. Duistermaat and V. Guillemin, *The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics.*, Invent. Math. **29** (1975), 39–79.
- Older papers of historical interest for introducing important ideas: V. Maslov, Y. Egorov, ...

- J. J. Duistermaat, Fourier integral operators, Progress in Mathematics 130, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.
- A. Grigis and J. Sjöstrand, *Microlocal Analysis for Differential Operators: An Introduction*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes **196**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.
- F. Treves, Introduction to Pseudodifferential and Fourier Integral Operators, Vol. 2, Plenum, New York, 1980.
- L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. IV. Fourier integral operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **275**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. (reference)

- A. Weinstein, *Lectures on Symplectic Manifolds* (Regional conference series in mathematics), AMS, Providence, 1977.
- R. Berndt, *An Introduction to Symplectic Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **26**, AMS, Providence, 2001.
- A. Cannas da Silva, *Lectures on Symplectic Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Corr. 2nd edition, Springer, New York, 2008.

# Beyond the standard FIO calculus

- R. Melrose and M. Taylor, Near peak scattering and the corrected Kirchhoff approximation for a convex obstacle, Adv. in Math. 55(3) (1985), 242–315.
- R. Melrose, *The wave equation for a hypoelliptic operator with symplectic characteristics of codimension two*, J. Analyse Math. **44** (1984/85), 134–182.
- A. Greenleaf and G. Uhlmann, Composition of some singular Fourier integral operators and estimates for restricted X-ray transforms,
  I. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 40(2) (1990), 443–466;
  and II. Duke Math. J. 64(3) (1991), 415–444.
- R. Felea, *Composition of Fourier integral operators with fold and blowdown singularities*, Comm. P.D.E. **30** (2005), 1717–1740.
- R. Felea and A. Greenleaf, Fourier integral operators with open umbrellas and seismic inversion for cusp caustics, Math. Research Lett. 17 (2010), 867–886.

- R. Melrose and G. Uhlmann, *Lagrangian intersection and the Cauchy problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (1979), 482–512.
- V. Guillemin and G. Uhlmann, *Oscillatory integrals with singular symbols*, Duke Math. J. **48** (1981), 251–267.
- A. Greenleaf and G. Uhlmann, *Estimates for singular Radon transforms and pseudodifferential operators with singular symbols*, Jour. Func. Analysis **89** (1990), 202–232.

• M. Zworski, *Semiclassical Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **138**, AMS, Providence, 2012.

• V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Semi-Classical Analysis*, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 2013.