## ANNA BELIAKOVA: QUANTUM INVARIANTS OF LINKS AND 3-MANIFOLDS, I

The title of this talk is inspired from Turaev's talk, but we have a different aim in mind: Turaev studies things from a very general perspective, but we're going to focus on specific examples in detail.

There is a procedure called surgery which associates to a framed link in  $S^3$  a closed, oriented 3-manifold. A famous theorem of Lickorish-Wallace asserts that every closed, oriented 3-manifold can be realized in this way, and conversely, two framed links yield diffeomorphic 3-manifolds iff they differ by a series of known moves.

Given a ribbon Hopf algebra, one can build an invariant of framed links; for  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , for example, this is the colored Jones polynomial. Using a procedure called integration, we obtain 3-manifold invariants, in this case the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants. And there's a way to build them directly from 3-manifolds, which uses finiteness.

There is another way to obtain framed link invariants from  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , yielding Kashaev invariants, which are of quantum dimension zero. These are sometimes also called *logarithmic invariants*. The corresponding 3-manifold invariants are called *Hennings CGP invariants*.

More recently, these colored link invariants have been unified into a more general invariant, called the *Habiro cyclotomic invariant*, yielding a unified Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant for 3-manifolds. We'll discuss this invariant in the second talk later this week.

Let  $L: (S^1 \times I)^{\coprod k} \hookrightarrow S^3$  be a framed link, and let  $\nu(L)$  denote its normal bundle, embedded in  $S^3$  via the tubular neighborhood theorem. Given this data, surgery on L is the closed, oriented 3-manifold

(0.1) 
$$S^{3}(K_{f}) \coloneqq S^{3} \setminus \nu(L) \cup_{f} (D^{2} \times S^{1})^{\amalg k},$$

where f is the identification of  $\partial(S^3 \setminus \nu(L))$  and  $(D^2 \times S^1)^{IIk}$  given by the framing. There are two moves K1 and K2 which change the framed link but don't change the diffeomorphism class of the 3-manifold obtained under surgery.



FIGURE 1. Moves on a framed link which do not change the diffeomorphism class of the 3-manifold obtained by surgery.

- The simpler move, denoted K1, exchanges a figure-8 with an empty set.
- K2 is a little more elaborate.

We will define a universal  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  framed link invariant. Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\{n\} \coloneqq \sigma^n - \sigma^{-n}$ , where  $\sigma$  is a formal variable, and let  $[n] = \{n\}/\{1\}$ . Now, we define the quantum group

(0.2) 
$$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \langle e, F^{(n)}, K \rangle,$$

where  $F^{(n)} \coloneqq F^n/[n]!, e = \{1\}E$ , and  $\sigma^H = K = \exp((h/2)H)$ . Let

(0.3) 
$$E = \sigma^{H \otimes H} 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} F^{(n)} \otimes e^n \subset \mathcal{U}_k \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_k.$$

Then R is a simple tensor: write  $R = \alpha \otimes \beta$ . Now we label pieces of a framed link: if, traveling upwards, left travels over right, label the left with  $\beta$  and the right with  $\alpha$ ; if right travels over left, label the left with  $\overline{\beta}$ and the right with  $\overline{\alpha}$ . Label a cup (coevaluation) with k and a cap (evaluation) with  $k^{-1}$ . Call the resulting element of the universal enveloping algebra  $J_L$ . Notice that this always lands in the center of  $\mathcal{U}_k$ , which is freely generated by the *Casimir element* 

(0.4) 
$$C := \{1\}FE + \sigma K + \sigma^{-1}K^{-1}.$$

Let  $V_n$  be the *n*-dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . Then let  $J_L(V_n)$  denote the action of  $J_L$  on  $V_n$ . For example, the Casimir acts on  $V_n$  by  $\sigma^n + \sigma^{-n}$ .

**Theorem 0.5** (Habiro [Hab08]). Let  $K_0$  be a 0-framed knot. Then

$$(0.6) J_{K_0} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m \sigma_m,$$

where  $a_m \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$  and

(0.7) 
$$\sigma_m = \prod_{i=1}^m (c^2 - (\sigma + \sigma^{-1})^2).$$

**Example 0.8.** For the knot  $4_1$ ,  $J_{4_1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sigma_m$ . For the knot  $3_1$ , we obtain

(0.9) 
$$J_{3_1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m q^{m(m-3)/2} \sigma_m.$$

In general,

(0.10) 
$$J_{K_0}(V_n) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} a_m \prod_{i=1}^n (q^n + q^{-n} - q^i - q^{-i}) = \sum_{m=1}^n a_m \prod_{i=1}^m \{n+i\}\{n-i\}.$$

This recovers the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant as follows: let  $\xi$  be a  $p^{\text{th}}$  root of unity and plug in  $q = \xi$ . Then define

(0.11) 
$$F_{K_a}(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n]^2 J_K(V_n)|_{q=\xi} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} [n]^2 q^{a(m^2-1)/4} J_{K_0}(V_n)|_{q=\xi}.$$

Then, the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of  $S^3(K_a)$  at  $\xi$  is  $F_{K_a}(\xi)/F_{\text{unknot}}(\xi)$ , where the unknot has framing given by the sign of a.

Remark 0.12. There is another invariant of 3-manifolds given similar-looking data, called the *Turaev-Viro* invariant, computed by triangulating the 3-manifold and labeling tetrahedra by 6*j*-symbols. Beliakova-Durhuus [BD96], Walker, and Turaev showed that the Turaev-Viro invariant of M is equal to the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of M # (-M), i.e. the square of the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of M.

**Theorem 0.13** (Beliakova-Chen-Lê [BCL14]). For all closed, oriented 3-manifolds M and all  $\xi$ , the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of M at  $\xi$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ .

That is, we can write the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of M as a polynomial in  $\xi$  of degree at most p-1, and this is telling us that the coefficients are integers.

Now, let's write  $F_{K_a}(\xi)$  using a Gauss sum:

(0.14) 
$$F_{K_a}(\xi) = \sum_{m \ge 0} a_m \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} q^{a(m^2-1)/4} \{n+m\} \cdots [n]^2 \cdots \{n-m\}$$

This lives in  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm n}, q]$ . Plugging in  $a = \pm 1$ , we see that

(0.15) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} q^{a(m^2-1)/4} q^{bn} = q^{-b^2/a} \gamma_a.$$

Let  $L_a(q^{bn} \coloneqq q^{-b^2/a} \text{ and}$ (0.16)

.16) 
$$I_M = (?) \sum_{m \ge 0} a_m L_a(\{n+m\} \cdots [n]^2 \cdots \{n-m\}).$$

Let  $(q)_n := (1-q)\cdots(1-q^n) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  and  $\check{I}_n \subset \mathbb{Z}[q]$  denote the ideal spanned by  $(q)_n$ . Then  $\check{I}_n \subset \check{I}_{n+1} \subset \check{I}_{n+2} \subset \ldots$ , and we can complete to

(0.17) 
$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}[q] \coloneqq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{Z}[q]/(q)_n,$$

which is the ring of analytic functions on the roots of unity, and is called the *Habiro ring*. An element of  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}[q]$  can be represented as

$$(0.18) f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(q)_k,$$

where  $f_k \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ . This defines an embedding  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}[q] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[1-q]]$ , and  $f \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}[q]$  is determined uniquely by its values at roots of unity. The value  $\omega_{\xi} f$  is well-defined.

**Theorem 0.19** (Habiro [Hab08]). If M is an integral homology sphere, there is a unique  $I_M \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}[q]$  such that for any  $\xi$ ,  $\omega_{\xi}I_M$  is the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant for  $\xi$  and M.

For example, if M is the Poincaré homology sphere,

(0.20) 
$$I_M = \frac{q}{1-q} \sum (-1)^k q^{k(k+1)/2} (q^{k+1})_{k+1}$$

If M is a rational homology sphere with  $b_1(M) > 0$  the theorem, proven by Beliakova-Bühler-Lê [BBL11], is not quite as simple. Recently, Habiro-Lê [HL16] have generalized Theorem 0.19 to the analogues of these invariants defined using an arbitrary simple Lie algebra.

Next time, we'll see how even non-semisimple invariants are determined by Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants.

## References

- [BBL11] Anna Beliakova, Irmgard Bühler, and Thang Lê. A unified quantum SO(3) invariant for rational homology 3-spheres. Invent. Math., 185(1):121–174, 2011. https://arxiv.org/abs/0801.3893.3
- [BCL14] Anna Beliakova, Qi Chen, and Thang T. Q. Lê. On the integrality of the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev 3-manifold invariants. Quantum Topol., 5(1):99–141, 2014. https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.4750. 2
- [BD96] Anna Beliakova and Bergfinnur Durhuus. On the relation between two quantum group invariants of 3-cobordisms. J. Geom. Phys., 20(4):305–317, 1996. https://arxiv.org/abs/q-alg/9502010. 2
- [Hab08] Kazuo Habiro. A unified Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant for integral homology spheres. Invent. Math., 171(1):1–81, 2008. https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0605314. 2, 3
- [HL16] Kazuo Habiro and Thang T. Q. Lê. Unified quantum invariants for integral homology spheres associated with simple Lie algebras. Geom. Topol., 20(5):2687–2835, 2016. https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.03549. 3

MSRI lechere 1: -1-Quantim invariants of living and Plan of the lectures 3-met 2 S cup ovicul. ( 3-nump Surgery { framed } links m S } K1K2 finitenen villon Hopf algebra J Wiften - Reshehuli-T " integrale WRT L grean true ? CGP Costantino-beer-Active flogarithmicz. Laplace Hobivo Laplace Avansfor ( unfiel ) frand () CULK: S'KJU USKJ COS 6-)  $M = S' \setminus N(K) \int \mathcal{D}^2 \times S' = S'(K_f)$ K2. K1 ~ Caro O~ Co



$$C \in V_{n} = \sigma^{n} + \sigma^{q}$$
  
Thus (Hadrivo's cyclo tomic erhansport)  
Let K<sub>0</sub> be a 0-framed enot  

$$J_{K_{0}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m} \sigma_{m} \qquad a_{m} \in \mathcal{Z} \subseteq g^{d} \mathcal{J}$$

$$\sigma_{m} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(G^{2} - (i+\tau^{i})^{2}\right)$$
Remarks: (i) Proof is by construction of counter  
topolog. argument 
$$J_{K_{0}} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}^{eo}) \subset \mathcal{Z}$$
topolog. argument 
$$J_{K_{0}} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}^{eo}) \subset \mathcal{Z}$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = g_{m} = mown \quad only \quad for very few kinds$$

$$(i) = a_{m} \quad are \quad nown \quad only \quad for very few kinds$$

$$(i) = \sum_{m=0}^{m} a_{m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (n+i) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (n+i) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (n+i) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (n+i) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (n+i) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} (n+i) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{$$

-5-

- 4- $J_{3} = Z(-1)^m q^m \frac{m(m+3)}{2} m$ Ju = Z 5m Example; o WRT invariant  $M = S^3(K_a) q = 3 3^2 = 1$  $q = \dim V_n = \hbar V_n K = q^{n-1} + q^{-n-1} = Cn ]$  $F_{K_{\alpha}}(s) = \sum_{h=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h=0}^{2} \left[ \sum_{h=0}^{p-1} J_{k}(v_{h}) \right]_{q=s} =$ = Z ENJ 9 4 J (Un) / 9=5 1=0

 $WRT(M, s) := \frac{F_{Ka}(s)}{F_{U_{synky}}}$   $F_{U_{synky}}(s)$ 

Remark: I another construction Trevaer Vivo which uses Simplicial



Thus: (Masbaum for mime rook) 
$$\forall H \forall S ug = Sl_2$$
  
B- Chun-le  
 $WRT(M) \in ZISJ$   
 $WRT(M) = \frac{2}{2}a_iS^i$   $a_i \in Z$   
 $dange the root.$   
 $a_i$  are not stable of  $3 \rightarrow \frac{2}{9}$ 

- 6 -3 Unification  $F_{k_a}(3) = \sum_{m \neq 0} a_m \sum_{n \neq 0} q^n \left\{ m + m \right\} \sum_{m \neq 0} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \left\{ m + m \right\} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \left\{ m + m \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \left\{ m + m \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \left\{ m + m \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \left\{ m + m \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \left\{ m + m \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \left\{ m + m \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \left\{ m + m \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \left\{ m + m \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \left\{ m + m \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \left\{ m + m \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{m^2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac$ 259 4 93  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ Laplace transform Za (9<sup>by</sup>) = 9<sup>th</sup>a Eam Za (during (n-m3) does m voof of unity Given ME 21915 Then: (Habro q=t1) J! JME line 2593 = 2593 analytic the 2593 = 2593 on roots of unity Mening Marian 128 g  $I_{M} = (1-q)...(1-q^{m})$  s.t.  $ev_{\xi} I_{M} = WRI(M)$ 

Remarks; · 2593 C> 2551-97 · f ∈ 259] is determined by its walnations in a many normals => { WRT & [M]} is determined B-Bighler-Le we generative ME QHS (linung making is averbille)