Codina Cotar (joint works with M. Petrache)

May 06, 2020

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで



Cysteine molecule simulation, (from Walter Kohn's Nobel prize laudation page)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Quantum mechanics

- Quantum mechanics describes nature at the smallest scales of energy levels of atoms and subatomic particles
- Important applications of quantum mechanics: quantum chemistry, quantum optics, quantum computing, superconducting magnets, light-emitting diodes, the laser, the transistor and semiconductors such as the microprocessor, medical and research imaging such as magnetic resonance imaging and electron microscopy.
- Explanations for many biological and physical phenomena are rooted in the nature of the chemical bond, most notably the macro-molecule DNA → quantum biology

Informal introduction to Quantum mechanics/DFT

- All materials systems we study essentially consist of electrons and nuclear charge.
- Mechanical, electronic, magnetic etc. properties are due to electrons and their interaction with other electrons.
- In order to define electrons and their interaction we use Schrodinger equation (Dirac 1929).
- It allows to predict, e.g., binding energies, equilibrium geometries, intermolecular forces
- Quantum mechanics for a molecule with *N* electrons reduces to a PDE of form $H\Psi = E\Psi$ (called Schroedinger equation) for a function Ψ on \mathbb{R}^{3N} .

- The solution $\Psi(x_1, ..., x_N)$ is called wave function and represents the state of the *N*-particles system.
- N number of electrons, x_i position of electron i

$$|\Psi(x_1,\ldots,x_N)|^2$$

= probability density that the electrons are

at positions x_i .

 Ψ is an anti-symmetric function, which makes $|\Psi|^2$ a symmetric (*N*-exchangeable) probability measure.

- If Schrodinger equation for the many electrons problem could be solved accurately and efficiently then almost any property of the materials could be determined determined accurately.
- Unfortunately, there is neither an accurate nor an efficient method to solve these problems.

Density Functional Theory (DFT)

- To simulate chemical behaviour, approximations are needed.
- Curse of dimensionality: carbon atom: N = 6. Discretise \mathbb{R} by 10 points $\rightarrow 10^{18}$ total grid points.
- DFT is a simplified version of quantum mechanics (QM), widely used in molecular simulations in chemistry, physics, materials science
- **Main idea**: describe complicated N-particle system (a probability on ℝ^{3N}) using only its single-electron marginal density

$$\rho(x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} |\Psi(x_1,\ldots,x_N)|^2 dx_2 \ldots dx_N$$

Feasible system size: systems with more than a dozen or so electrons.

Density Functional Theory

How to devise faster methods for the full model at large N?



"cheap" simulation of heavy-metal pump in *E. Coli* (Su & al., *Nature* '11)

Some history of DFT

- Thomas-Fermi: 1920s simple model
- Hohenberg-Kohn-Sham (1963-1964): practical method based on semi-empirical functions of ρ
- Levy (1979), Lieb (1983): mathematical justification and simplified reformulation of the equation
- 1970s: popular in solid state physics, but not so accurate
- 1990s: explosion in quantum chemistry, due to increase of computational resources + discovery of efficient semi-empirical functionals of ρ
- 1998 Nobel Prize for 'founding father' Walter Kohn

Fun facts

- More than 15 000 papers per year with the keyword 'density functional theory'
- Most cited physicist of all time is a designer of DFT models, J.Perdew (275,877 Google Scholar paper citations as of this morning, compared to 130,029 citations for Einstein).

Turning this into math

• Key quantum mechanics quantity is the ground state energy E_0 (state of lowest energy)

$$E_0 = \inf_{\Psi} E[\Psi]$$

where

$$E[\Psi] = T_h[\Psi] + V_{ee}[\Psi] + V_{ne}[\Psi]$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{N} = \{ \Psi \in L^{2}((\mathbb{R}^{3N}) | \nabla \Psi \in L^{2}, \Psi$$
antisymmetric, $||\Psi||_{L^{2}} =$

1

• $V_{ee}[\Psi], V_{ne}[\Psi]$ involve expectations for the Coulomb potential (1/|x|) with respect to symmetric probability measures on \mathbb{R}^{3N}

- A simplified reformulation of the above equation is the Hohenberg-Kohn-Sham (HK) model (Levy 1979 - Lieb 1983).
- It is formulated in terms of the single-electron density ρ

$$\rho(x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} |\Psi(x_1,\ldots,x_N)|^2 dx_2 \ldots dx_N.$$

Pair electrons density

$$\rho_2(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-2)}} |\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N)|^2 dx_3 \dots dx_N$$

 $\blacksquare \mathcal{R}_N := \{ \rho : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \, | \, \rho \text{ is the density of some } \Psi \}$

・ロト・「聞・・」 単・ 「聞・・」 ロト

Variational formulation of density functional theory

(Hohenberg/Kohn 1964, M. Levy 1979, E. Lieb 1983) For any external potential *v*, the exact Schroedinger eqn. satisfies

$$E_0 = \inf_{
ho \in \mathcal{R}_N} \left\{ \mathrm{HK}_h[
ho] + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} rac{1}{|x|} \,
ho(x) dx
ight\}$$

with

$$\mathsf{HK}_{h}[\rho]: = \inf_{\Psi \in \mathcal{A}_{N}, \Psi \mapsto \rho} \Big\{ T_{h}[\Psi] + V_{ee}[\Psi] \Big\},$$

 $HK_{h[\rho]}$ is the famous Hohenberg-Kohn functional.

 Not useful for computations (definitely still contains the big space of Ψ(x₁,...,x_N)). But useful starting point for model reduction in asymptotic limits.

Correlations in DFT

- Mathematical structure: Minimize an approximate energy functional $E[\rho]$ which depends on the electron density $\rho(x)$, a function on \mathbb{R}^3 .
- Catch: exact QM energy requires knowledge of electron-pair density

$$\rho_2(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-2)}} |\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N)|^2 dx_3 \dots dx_N,$$

a function on \mathbb{R}^6 , which entails correlations.

- Roughly, DFT models \approx semi-empirical models of the pair density ρ_2 in terms of ρ .
- Standard way out: start by assuming independence (called mean field in physics), add semi-empirical corrections to *E*[ρ] accounting for correlations. Often but not always accurate/reliable.

Popular functionals

All functionals used in practice are of form

Mean field + additive corrections.

Why mean field? Interactions not weaker than single-particle terms.

• The mean field approximation:

$$V_{ee}(\psi) \approx \frac{N^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \rho(dx) \rho(dy) =: J[\rho].$$

Local Density Approximation:

$$V_{ee}(\psi) \approx J[\rho] - \frac{4}{3} (3/\pi)^{1/3} N^{4/3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(x)^{4/3} dx.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

Many-marginals Optimal Transportation

- γ measure in \mathbb{R}^{Nd} , $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_N$ measures in \mathbb{R}^d
- The Cost Function $c : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \dots \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$
- We want to transport mass from a given pile ρ_1 into a number of given holes $\mu_2, \mu_3, \dots, \mu_N$, so as to minimize the transportation cost

$$\int c(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) d\gamma(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N).$$

subject to the constraints

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{(N-1)d}} \gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) dx_2 \dots dx_N = \mu_1(x_1), \dots$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{(N-1)d}} \gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) dx_1 \dots dx_{N-1} = \mu_N(x_N),$$

$$F_{N,c}^{OT}(\mu) := \min \left\{ \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^N c(x_i - x_j) d\gamma_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) \left| \begin{array}{c} \gamma_N \in \mathcal{P}_{sym}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N) \\ \gamma_N \mapsto \mu \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

We are mostly interested in the case $c(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x-y|^s}, 0 < s < d$, i.e.

$$F_{N,s}^{\mathrm{OT}}(\mu) := \min\left\{ \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} \sum_{i,j=1 \atop i \neq j}^N \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|^s} d\gamma_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) \left| \begin{array}{c} \gamma_N \in \mathcal{P}_{sym}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N) \\ \gamma_N \mapsto \mu \end{array} \right. \right.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 - のへぐ

The case s = d - 2 is the Coulomb cost.

Optimal Transport Connection to DFT

Semiclassical limit

Theorem

Fix $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_N$. *Let* $N \geq 2$. *Then in* d = 3

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \mathrm{HK}_h[\rho] = F_{N,1}^{\mathrm{OT}}(\rho)$$

for every $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_N$, where recall that

$$\mathsf{HK}_{h}[\rho] := \inf_{\Psi \in \mathcal{A}_{N}, \Psi \mapsto \rho} \left\{ T_{h}[\Psi] + V_{ee}[\Psi] \right\}.$$

- Cotar, Friesecke, Klueppelberg: N = 2 (2011)
- Bindini De Pascale (2017): extension to N = 3.
- Cotar, Friesecke, Klueppelberg (2017 extension for $N \ge 3$ to the full model); Lewin (2017 extension for $N \ge 3$ to a relaxed model)

 In physics literature: Seidl'99, Seidl/Perdew/Levy 1999, Seidl/Gori-Giorgi/Savin 2007

Optimal transport DFT community

- C-Friesecke-Klüpperberg (CPAM 2013): characterization for a class of repulsive costs of the minimizing measure for N = 2
- Colombo-Di Marino (2017): Kantorovich problem coincides with infimum over Monge states for $N \ge 2$ and $d \ge 1$
- Colombo-De Pascale-Di Marino (2013): Existence and uniqueness of Monge solution for $N \ge 2$ and d = 1
- Duality and bounds on the support of the optimal transport measure: De Pascale (2015), Buttazzo-Champion-De Pascale (2017), ..
- Regularity-type results: Pass (2013), Moameni (2014), Moameni-Pass (2017), Kim-Pass (2017)...
- Numerics: Benamou-Carlier-Nenna (2015); Di Marino-Gerolin-Nenna (2017),..

Asymptotics for F_N for large N

 First-order "mean field" functional (Cotar-Friesecke-Pass, Calc.Var. PDE-2013; Petrache 2015)

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} {\binom{N}{2}}^{-1} F_{N,c}^{\mathrm{OT}}(\mu) = F_{\infty,c}^{\mathrm{OT}}[\mu] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} l(x-y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y).$$

(c(x, y) = l(x - y) with positive Fourier transform)

Proof by use of de Finetti theorem: exchangeable observations are conditionally independent relative to some latent variable

Next-order term

Study of the energy not encoded in the mean field functional, called in physics Exchange-correlation energy $E_{N,s}^{xc}$

Lieb-Oxford bound

$$N^{-1-s/d} \left(F_{N,s}^{OT}(\mu) - N^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \rho(x) \rho(y) dx dy \right)$$

$$\geq -C_{LO} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x)^{1+s/d} dx.$$

Trivially, we also have

$$F_{N,s}^{\mathrm{OT}}(\mu) - N^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \rho(x) \rho(y) dx dy \leq 0.$$

Question: Does the limit below exist

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{-1-s/d} \left(F_{N,s}^{\text{OT}}[\mu] - N^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \rho(x)\rho(y)dxdy \right) = ?$$

Second-order term 0 < s < d

- d = 1, Coulomb and Riesz costs: Di Marino (2017)
- s = 1, d = 3 for μ with continuous, slow-varying density ρ , i.e., densities satisfying

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\max_{x\in[0,1)^d+k}\rho(x)<\infty$$

(Lewin-Lieb-Seiringer 2017, via Graf-Schenker (1995) decomposition)

• 0 < s < d, any d, any $\rho > 0$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{1+\frac{s}{d}} < \infty$, via new type of Fefferman-Gregg decomposition (1985, 1989) + optimal transport tools (Cotar-Petrache 2017-Adv. Math.)

Theorem

(Cotar-Petrache- Adv. Math 2019) If 0 < s < d and $d\mu(x) = \rho(x)dx$ then then exists $C_{\text{UEG}}(d, s) > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{-1-s/d} \left(\underbrace{F_{N,s}^{\text{OT}}(\mu) - N^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)}{|x-y|^s} dx \, dy}_{=:E_{N,s}^{\text{xc}}(\mu)} \right)$$
$$= -C_{\text{UEG}}(s, d) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{1+\frac{s}{d}}(x) dx.$$

- Uniform marginal (uniform electron gas UEG): Dirac (1929)
- Exact value of $C_{\text{UEG}}(d, s)$ for s = 1, d = 3, is unknown, although the physics community thought for a long time that it is approx 1.4442

Optimal Transport Connection to DFT

Some tools: Fefferman-Gregg decomposition

- Introduced by Fefferman (1985) for s = 1, d = 3
- Extended by Gregg (1989) to 0 < s < 2 + [(d-1)/2]
- Further extended by Cotar, Petrache (Adv. Math 2019) to all 0 < s < d.

Some tools: Fefferman-Gregg type decomposition

Let $M \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $0 < \epsilon < d/2$ and $\epsilon \le s \le d - \epsilon$. Then there exists a constant *C* depending only on *d*, ϵ , a family Ω of ball packings F_{ω} of $\mathbb{R}^d, \omega \in \Omega$, a radius $R_1 > 0$ and a probability measure \mathbb{P} on Ω such that the cost $|x_1 - x_2|^{-s}$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$\frac{1}{|x_1 - x_2|^s} = \frac{M}{M + C} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{A \in F_{\omega}} \frac{1_A(x_1) 1_A(x_2)}{|x_1 - x_2|^s} \right) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) + w(x_1 - x_2) \right\} ,$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● □ ● ● ●

where *w* is positive definite.

Speed of convergence

Grand canonical optimal transport

Let $N \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, N \ge 2, \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

The grand-canonical optimal transport

$$F_{\mathrm{GC},N,\mathsf{c}}^{\mathrm{OT}}(\mu) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n F_{n,\mathsf{c}}^{\mathrm{OT}}(\mu_n) \right\},\,$$

where infimum is taken over

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = 1, \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \alpha_n \mu_n = N \mu,$$

with $\mu_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), \ \alpha_n \ge 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

Speed of convergence

■ The grand-canonical exchange correlation energy

$$E_{\mathrm{GC},N,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathrm{xc}}\left(\mu\right) := F_{\mathrm{GC},N,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathrm{OT}}\left(\mu\right) - N^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathbf{c}(x,y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y).$$

We have

$$F_{\mathrm{GC},N,\mathsf{c}}^{\mathrm{OT}}\left(\mu
ight) \leq F_{N,\mathsf{c}}^{\mathrm{OT}}\left(\mu
ight) \text{ and } E_{\mathrm{GC},N,s}^{\mathrm{xc}}(\mu) \leq E_{N,s}^{\mathrm{xc}}(\mu).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 - のへぐ

Speed of convergence

Speed of convergence (small oscillations) result

Theorem (Cotar-Petrache - Adv. Math. 2019)

Fix $0 < \epsilon < d/2$ and let $\epsilon < s < d - \epsilon$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a probability measure with compactly-supported density. Then there exists $C(d, \epsilon, \mu) > 0$ such that for all $N, \tilde{N} \in \mathbb{R}_+, N \ge \tilde{N} \ge 2$, we have

$$\left|\frac{E_{\mathrm{GC},N,s}^{\mathrm{xc}}(\mu)}{N^{1+s/d}} - \frac{E_{\mathrm{GC},\tilde{N},s}^{\mathrm{xc}}(\mu)}{\tilde{N}^{1+s/d}}\right| \le \frac{C(d,\epsilon,\mu)}{\log \tilde{N}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ─ 臣 = ∽ � � �

Speed of convergence

Some consequences of Small Oscillations

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a probability measure with compactly-supported density.

Fix 0 < € < d/2 and let € ≤ s ≤ d − €. Then the sequence of functions</p>

$$f_s(N) := \frac{E_{\mathrm{GC},N,s}^{\mathrm{AC}}(\mu)}{N^{1+s/d}}$$

converges as $N \to \infty$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $s \in [\epsilon, d - \epsilon]$.

Connection to the Jellium model

- N electrons and a neutralizing background in a domain Ω with $|\Omega| = N$.
- Minimize over x_i in Ω

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|^s} - \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x_j - y|^s} dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^s} dx dy$$

• Let minimization be $\operatorname{Jel}_{N,s}(\Omega)$, then the limit

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{Jel}_{N,s}(\Omega)}{N}=-C_{\mathrm{Jel}}(s,d).$$

(Lieb & Narnhofer 1975 for s = d - 2; Cotar-Petrache March 2019 for $d - 2 \le s < d$)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Connection to the Jellium model

- More generally, take $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and density ρ .
- Minimize over $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|^s} - N \sum_{j=1}^N \int \frac{d\mu(y)}{|x_j - y|^s} + \frac{N^2}{2} \int \int \frac{d\mu(x)d\mu(y)}{|x - y|^s}$$

• Again the minimization is $\text{Jel}_{N,s}(\mu)$, then the limit

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{Jel}_{N,s}(\Omega)}{N^{1+s/d}}=-C_{\mathrm{Jel}}(s,d)\int\rho^{1+\frac{s}{d}}(x)dx.$$

(Cotar-Petrache 2019 for $d - 2 \le s < d$)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

$$\operatorname{Jel}_{N,s}(\mu) \le E_{N,s}^{\operatorname{xc}}(\mu)$$

- Lewin-Lieb (2015): comparison with uniform electron gas constant in s = 1, d = 3
- Heuristics for s = 1, d = 3 in Lewin-Lieb (2015): $C_{\text{Jel}}(d, d - 2) \neq C_{\text{UEG}}(d, d - 2)$, questioning the physicists' conjecture that $C_{\text{Jel}}(d, d - 2) = C_{\text{UEG}}(d, d - 2)$.

Equality of second-order constants

Minimum-energy point configurations (Coulomb and Riesz gases)

$$H_{N,V}(x_1,...,x_N) = \sum_{i\neq j} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|^s} + N \sum_{i=1}^N V(x_i), \qquad x_1,...,x_N \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

 $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to] - \infty, +\infty]$ confining potential growing at infinity (*s* = 0: let then $c(x) = -\log |x|$)

- $0 \le s < d$: Riesz gas, integrable kernel.
- s = d 2: Coulomb gas.
- s > d: short-ranged, Hypersingular kernel.
- $s \to \infty$: Best packing problem

Second-order asymptotics $d - 2 \le s < d$

- Sandier-Serfaty, 2010-2012: $d = 1, 2, c(x) = -\log |x|$
- Rougerie-Serfaty, 2016: $c(x) = 1/|x|^{d-2}$
- Petrache-Serfaty, 2017: all previous cases plus Riesz cases max(0, d - 2) ≤ s < d</p>

Let μ_V be the minimizer (among probability measures) of

$$\mathcal{E}_{V}^{s}(\mu) = \int \int \frac{1}{|x-y|^{s}} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) + \int V(x) d\mu(x)$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Equality of second-order constants

Theorem

Under suitable assumptions on V, and if the density ρ_V is smooth enough, we have

$$\min H_{N,V} = N^2 \mathcal{E}_V^s(\mu_V) - N^{1+\frac{s}{d}} C_{\text{Gas}}(s,d) \int \mu_V^{1+\frac{s}{d}}(x) dx + o(N^{1+\frac{s}{d}}),$$

and $-C_{\text{Gas}}(s, d)$ is the minimim value of a functional W on microscopic configurations ν .

- $C_{\text{Gas}}(s, d)$ minimizer of a limiting energy \mathcal{W}
- Abrikosov crystallization conjecture: in d = 2, the regular triangular lattice is a minimizing configuration for W.
- For d = 3, it is conjectured that for 0 < s < 3/2 the minimizer should be a BCC lattice and for 3/2 < s < 3 it should be an FCC lattice.
- In high dimensions, there is more and more evidence that Coulomb and Riesz gases minimizers are not lattices, although this is very much speculative at the moment.
- Open for all $d \ge 2$ dimensions, except d = 8,24 (Viazovska).
- For s = 1, d = 3, the value of $C_{\text{Gas}}(1,3)$ is thought to be approx. 1.4442

Equality of second-order constants

Comparison between Jellium, UEG and Riesz Gases $(d-2 \le s < d)$

For 0 < s < d we can show

$$\operatorname{Jel}_{N,s}(\mu_V) \le H_{N,V} - N^2 \mathcal{E}_V^s(\mu_V) \le E_{N,s}^{\operatorname{xc}}(\mu_V)$$

For d - 2 < s < d, we have (Cotar-Petrache - July 2017)

$$C_{\text{UEG}}(s,d) = C_{\text{Jel}}(s,d) = C_{\text{Gas}}(s,d).$$

For s = d - 2, we have (Cotar-Petrache - March 2019)

$$C_{\text{UEG}}(s,d) = C_{\text{Jel}}(s,d) = C_{\text{Gas}}(s,d).$$

Equality of second-order constants

Continuity of $C_{UEG}(s, d)$

For 0 < s < d, the function

$$s \to C_{\text{UEG}}(s, d)$$

is continuous

The proof works by interchanging the limits of $s \to s_0$ and $N \to \infty$ in

$$N^{-1-s/d}\left(F_{\mathrm{GC},N,s}^{\mathrm{OT}}(\mu) - N^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)}{|x-y|^s} dx dy\right)$$

Key steps of the proof for Riesz costs (Cotar-Petrache July 2017)

- Step 1: Our crucial idea was to reduce the Jellium minimization problem to a Jellium problem with minimization over peridioc configurations
- In particular, this reduction allows to prove for $d 2 \le s < d$

$$C_{\text{Gas}}(s,d) = C_{\text{Jel}}(s,d) = C_{\text{Per}}(s,d).$$

Note that Cotar-Petrache 2017 is the first time where these equalities were proved for Jellium. Previously, it was only proved for Coulomb and Riesz gases that

$$C_{\text{Gas}}(s,d) = C_{\text{Per}}(s,d).$$

- Step 2: Our crucial idea was to use the periodic minimizing configurations to construct a competitor for the $E_{N,s}^{xc}$ problem, albeit with the *wrong marginal*, depending on N
- Use the subadditivity of the $E_{N,s}^{xc}$ problem to get back to the OT problem with the *correct* marginal.

Key steps in the proof for Coulomb costs (Cotar-Petrache March 2019)

Prove (for the first time) a suabdditivity for Jellium: Let $N_1, N_2 \ge 2, N := N_1 + N_2$, and let $\Omega_N = \Omega_{N_1} \cup (\Omega_N \setminus \Omega_{N_1})$. Set $0 < \epsilon \le \min(2, d/2)$. Then for $0 < d - 2 \le s \le d - \epsilon$

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Jel}_{N_1+N_2,s}(\Omega_N) &\leq \operatorname{Jel}_{N_1,s}(\Omega_{N_1}) + \operatorname{Jel}_{N_2,s}(\Omega_N \setminus \Omega_{N_1}) \\ &+ C_{\operatorname{add}}(\epsilon, d) \frac{N_1 + N_2}{\log(\min(N_1, N_2))}. \end{split}$$

• Use the equality of $C_{\text{Jel}}(s, d)$ and $C_{\text{UEG}}(s, d)$ from Riesz costs d-2 < s < d and the continuity of $C_{\text{UEG}}(s, d)$.

Equality of second-order constants

Next-order terms: open problems

- **Open problem**: Find *C*_{UEG}(*s*, *d*) (connected to the crystallization conjecture)
- **Open problem:** Prove or disprove $E_{N,s}^{xc}/N^{1+s/d}$ is decreasing in *N* (recall that E_N^{xc} is negative here)